STUDIES
IN
INTERNATIONAL
LAW
TOTLLIPSON

Cornell Aniversity Pibrary

BOUGHT WITH THE INCOME FROM THE

SAGE ENDOWMENT FUND

THE GIFT OF

Henry W. Sage

1891

A.260305

19/1/12

1257



The original of this book is in the Cornell University Library.

There are no known copyright restrictions in the United States on the use of the text.

TWO STUDIES IN INTERNATIONAL LAW

TWO STUDIES IN INTERNATIONAL LAW

COLEMAN PHILLIPSON, M.A.,

OF THE INNER TEMPLE, BARRISTER-AT-LAW,

Quain Prizeman in Comparative Law at University College, London

STEVENS & HAYNES,

gate **Publishers,

BELL YARD, TEMPLE BAR,

LONDON.

1908

A.260305

BRADBURY, AGNEW, & CO. LD., PRINTERS, LONDON AND TONBRIDGE.

DEDICATED

то

SIR JOHN MACDONELL, C.B., M.A., LL.D.,

MASTER OF THE SUPEEME COUET, AND QUAIN
PROFESSOR OF COMPARATIVE LAW,
UNIVERSITY OF LONDON.

PREFACE.

THE two following essays, dealing with important questions of international law, were written at the suggestion of Sir John Macdonell, whose hints have been to me of inestimable value.

The aim of the writer has been to examine these questions not from an isolated standpoint but from a comparative point of view. There is no doubt that investigation by the comparative method, supplemented by historical treatment, is most fruitful in all branches of study, but nowhere is it more helpful, more richly suggestive, more self-corrective than in matters of jurisprudence.

The nations of the world are more or less alike in their strivings, their hopes, their aspirations. In so far as States differ in their national circumstances and necessities will they be regulated by their private municipal law; in so far as they are alike, in so far as they possess common interests which bring them together, will they necessarily be subject to the rules of public international law. And so by international law is understood a body of rules and principles governing the relationships of independent States, and possessing a legal

character. Its sanction, no doubt, exercises less force than that of municipal law; but to infer from this that the established rules of international law do not confer distinct rights and impose corresponding obligations is to jump to an erroneous and harmful conclusion. The fact that disputes and controversies have waged round certain points which this or that State has asserted to be universally binding, or has repudiated—the fact that some particular part is not yet accepted by all, or is of doubtful authority, does not surely invalidate the whole. International law, like every other science or regulative body of doctrine, is a living organism, and as such is inevitably subject to an evolutional process. Yesterday, in the sphere of physical science, we had nothing better than conflicting tentative hypotheses, to-day we have more accommodating theories, to-morrow we hope to attain to exact scientific law.

And so in the case of public international law. With the extension of rapid communication, with the promotion of wider commercial relationships between the various States of the world, with the increasing social, scientific, and literary intercourse, fuller understanding and sympathy between them, the law of nations will more effectively develop and gradually adjust itself to meet the necessities of a fuller knowledge and satisfy the moral consciousness of mankind in

accordance with the truest conception of the just and the fair.

Striking manifestations of the desire for a more intimate rapprochement between the nations have already been observed. At the Hague Conference of 1899 twenty-six independent States were represented; at the 1907 Conference delegates were sent by forty-four States. And though every Power was animated by a sense of its own sovereignty and independence, yet the fact of their assembling in order to arrive at some definite principles of international practice implied a full recognition that their sovereignty was only relative, their independence really interdependence, and that a body of harmonised, universally accepted principles regarded as law alone possessed absolute sovereignty. There may be some individuals who will express dissatisfaction with the actual concrete result of these conferences; such discontented ones would look for instantaneous creation rather than steady growth. Nevertheless, the future historian when dealing with the present decade will certainly regard it as an epoch of remarkable significance in the modern history of the world.

C. PHILLIPSON.

MITRE COURT BUILDINGS, TEMPLE.

Feb. 13th, 1908.

ANALYTICAL CONTENTS.

	PAGE
I. THE INFLUENCE OF INTERNATIONAL	
ARBITRATION ON THE DEVELOPMENT	
OF INTERNATIONAL LAW.	
Bibliography	2
1. Arbitration Schemes 5	—15
(a) Relation of new conceptions of life to those	
of law	5
(b) No inter-statal arbitration in Asia	6
(c) Arbitration in Greece—the Amphictyonic	
Council	6
(d) Rome's foreign policy—averse to arbitration	7
(e) Few real examples in the Middle Ages .	8
(f) Sully's scheme, 1603	8
(g) Grotius, 1625	9
(h) Leibnitz, 1693	9
(i) Abbé St. Pierre, 1713	9
(j) Bentham, 1789	9
(k) Kant, 1796	9
(1) Penn, Franklin, and Sir James Dalrymple.	10
(m) Society of Friends in New York, 1816 .	10
(n) American Peace Association, 1835	10
(o) Cobden's motion in the House of Commons,	
1849	10
(p) Resolution of the United States Senate,	
1853	10
(q) Approximations to an arbitral tribunal—	
the International Commission of the	

1. Arbitration Schemes (cont.)—		PAGE
Mouths of the Danube—the	United	
States Supreme Court—the Feder		
of Switzerland—the Suez Canal		
international Courts in Egypt		10
(r) Mr. Henry Richard's motion, 1873		11
(s) Associations formed—Institute of		
national Law, 1873—Association		
Reform and Codification of the		
Nations—the International Par		
tary Union		12
(t) Compromise clauses in treaties—th	e treaty	
of Guadalupe-Hidalgo (1848)		
significance		12
(u) The Hague Convention and gener	al arbi-	
tration treaties		14
2. Modern Conception of Arbitral Pro-		
AND ITS RELATION TO THE CONCEPT		
Law		526
(a) Definition of international arbitrat	ion .	16
(b) Relation to private arbitration.		16
(c) When awards may be set aside.		17
1		70
		18
(e) Forms of arbitral procedure .		18 19
Mixed commissions—(1) dip	 olomatic	
Mixed commissions—(1) dip form; (2) quasi-judicial for	 olomatic m .	
Mixed commissions—(1) dip form; (2) quasi-judicial for Arbitrations by Sovereigns	 olomatic m . — their	19 19
Mixed commissions—(1) dip form; (2) quasi-judicial for Arbitrations by Sovereigns influence on international la		19
Mixed commissions—(1) dip form; (2) quasi-judicial for Arbitrations by Sovereigns influence on international la (f) Development of the conception of		19 19
Mixed commissions—(1) dip form; (2) quasi-judicial for Arbitrations by Sovereigns influence on international la (f) Development of the conception of tion	olomatic m . — their w . arbitra-	19 19 20 22
Mixed commissions—(1) dip form; (2) quasi-judicial for Arbitrations by Sovereigns influence on international la (f) Development of the conception of tion (g) Influence of the Anglo-Saxon race	olomatic m . — their w . arbitra-	19 19 20
Mixed commissions—(1) dip form; (2) quasi-judicial for Arbitrations by Sovereigns influence on international la (f) Development of the conception of tion (g) Influence of the Anglo-Saxon race (h) How arbitration may advance the	olomatic m . — their w . arbitra-	19 19 20 22 22
Mixed commissions—(1) dip form; (2) quasi-judicial for Arbitrations by Sovereigns influence on international la (f) Development of the conception of tion (g) Influence of the Anglo-Saxon race (h) How arbitration may advance the of law	olomatic m . — their w . arbitra-	19 19 20 22 22 23
Mixed commissions—(1) dip form; (2) quasi-judicial for Arbitrations by Sovereigns influence on international la (f) Development of the conception of tion (g) Influence of the Anglo-Saxon race (h) How arbitration may advance the	blomatic m . — their w . arbitra interests	19 19 20 22 22

CONTENTS.

3.	THE CHIEF ARBITRATIONS OF THE NINETEENTH	PAGE
	CENTURY AND THEIR INFLUENCE ON INTER-	
	NATIONAL LAW 27-	_49
	(a) Value of historical study	27
	(b) Number of cases difficult to state	27
	(c) Most important instances and matters	
	therein dealt with	28
	(d) The Jay treaty, 1794, and its great	
	importance	29
	(e) Treaty of Ghent (1814), and dispute as to	
	the mode of its interpretation	30
	Occupatio bellica	30
	(f) Arbitrator going beyond the submission.	30
	(g) The Portendic affair, 1843—notification of	
	blockade	31
	(h) Acts justified by state of war—the France-	
	Mexico dispute (1844)	31
	(i) Exigencies of war and closure of ports—	
	the British-Argentine arbitration	32
	(j) The General Armstrong case—a neutral's	
	responsibility	32
	(k) The Pacifico affair — injury to foreign	
	subjects	33
	(l) The Mixed Commission of London, 1853—	
	1885—claims and counter-claims	33
	The MacLeod case—public and private	
	responsibility	34
	The "Florida Bonds"—the case of the	
	Creole — jurisdiction over the in-	
	ternal order of a merchant vessel	
	when in a foreign port	34
	(m) The Macedonian — seizure of private	
	property on land	35
	(n) Other cases relating to unlawful arrests	
	and seizures—the Forte; the Butterfield	
	claim; the Costa Rica packet (1897) .	36

3. THE CHIEF ARBITRATIONS OF THE NINETEENTH CENTURY, ETC. (cont.)—	PAGE
(o) Delagoa Bay case (1875)—occupation and title	36
	<i>3</i> 7
(p) The Alabama case (1872)—great issues .	31
The "Three Rules of Washington"	00
and interpretation of "due diligence"	38
(q) The Behring Sea case (1893)	41
(r) The Venezuela boundary dispute	42
(s) Classification of arbitrations	43
(t) Limits of arbitration	45
(u) Arbitrable disputes and the Hague Con-	
vention	46
(v) Recent examples of arbitration	47
The Alaska boundary dispute	47
(w) Cases at the Hague Court	48
"Pious Fund" case—and principle of	
$\it res~adjudicata$	48
Venezuela preferential payment dis-	
pute—and doctrine of lien	49
(x) Prospects of arbitration	49
II. THE RIGHTS OF NEUTRALS AND BELLIGERENTS AS TO SUBMARINE CABLES, WIRELESS TELEGRAPHY, AND INTERCEPTING OF INFORMATION IN TIME OF WAR.	
Bibliography	52
1. Introductory	-58
(a) Relations of States	55
	55
``	56
. ,	57
· ·	58

		PAGE
2.	As to Submarine Cables in War . 58-	-103
	(a) No recognised laws yet as to cables	58
	(b) Cables as instruments of war	59
	(c) The Institute of International Law on cables	60
	(d) Schemes suggested for their protection .	60
	(1) Balestrini cable (1864)	61
	(2) United States proposal (1869) .	61
	(3) Treaty suggested for protection .	62
	(4) Telegraphic Union at Rome (1871)	62
	(5) Brussels Conference (1874)	63
	(6) Fischer's "neutralisation" scheme	
	(1876)	64
	(7) Institute of International Law at	
	Paris (1878)	67
	(e) Classification of cables	68
	(f) Scholz's theory of Kabel-territorium.	69
	(g) Cases presented in practice	71
	(1) Cable between two portions of	
	same belligerent's territory .	71
	(2) Cable between the two belligerents	72
	(3) Cable between two neutrals	75
	(4) Cable between belligerent and	
	neutral	76
	(h) The St. Petersburg Convention and sub-	
	marine cables	76
	(i) Rights of belligerents on their own territory	77
	(j) Does the St. Petersburg Convention include	
	submarine cables?	79
	(1) Recent examples showing affirmative	80
	(2) Interception of messages by Great	
	Britain	81
	(3) Action of Great Britain in accord-	
	ance with the Convention	81
	(k) Rights of a belligerent on occupied enemy	
	tomitom	29

2. As to Submarine Cables in War (cont.)—	PAGE
(1) Distinction between connecting-	
cable and landing-cable	82
(2) Occupation—and objects not in-	
struments of war	83
(3) Rights of a belligerent in occupa-	
tion as to the telegraph	84
(l) Rights of belligerents as to cables on sea.	84
(1) The Paris Convention (1884)—	
no application to state of war.	84
(2) Belligerents and cables in the open	0.0
sea connecting them with neutrals	86
Cables not utilised for warlike	OP
purposes	87
(m) Antagonism between rights of neutrals	87
and of belligerents	87
(2) Duties of belligerents	88
(3) Essence of neutrality	88
(4) Neutral commerce and restrictions	90
(5) Despatches as contraband or as	00
quasi-contraband	93
(6) Liability of mail-steamers	93
(n) If destruction permissible, where may be cut	94
(1) Not in neutral waters	94
(2) In enemy territorial waters .	95
a. Effective blockade as criterion	95
β . Difficulty as to extent	96
y. Destruction of cables and	
right of angary	97
(3) Destruction on the high sea.	98
(o) Conflict of belli rigor and libertas com-	
merciorum	99
(p) Necessity in war and international law .	101
(q) Rules safer in a system of compensation.	103
When damages payable	103

CONTENTS.	xvii
3. Wireless Telegraphy in War 104-	PAGE _113
(a) The Times innovation in Russo-Japanese	110
war	105
(b) Russian declaration as to wireless telegraphy	100
correspondents	105
(c) Rights of a belligerent as to correspon-	100
	106
dents	107
(e) The Russian declaration and the three-mile	101
limit	108
(f) Wireless apparatus as contraband	108
(g) Interception of wireless messages	108
(h) Belligerent's apparatus on neutral territory	109
Additional Note.—Rules of the Institut de Droit	100
International as to wireless telegraphy	110
~	110
THE SECOND HAGUE CONFERENCE	
(1907). — SUBMARINE CABLES, WIRE-	
LESS TELEGRAPHY, ETC 114-	-117
1. The Second Hague Conference and war on land	114
2. Danish proposals as to submarine cables	114
3. Improvements in rules as to use of wireless	
telegraphy in war	115
(a) Belligerents not to set up apparatus on	
neutral territory	115
(b) Neutrals to prevent such acts, and punish	
infringement of rule	116
(c) Neutrals to treat the two belligerents	
equally	116
• •	
THE SECOND HAGUE CONFERENCE.—	108
INTERNATIONAL ARBITRATION. 118-	
1. President Roosevelt on arbitration	118
2. Different estimates as to work done at the Hague	118
3. Comparison of the procedure of 1899 Conference	
and that of 1907	119
I.S. <i>b</i>	

CONTENTS.

THE SECOND HAGUE CONFERENCE (cont.)—	PAGE
4. Main defect of the 1907 procedure	119
5. Division of the business of the Conference .	120
6. Progress in rules relating to arbitration	120
7. References to Second Hague Conference in	
Parliament, January 29th, 1908	121
8. Tentative work of 1899 Conference	122
9. Element of compulsory arbitration introduced	
at the 1907 Conference	123
(a) If difference falls within a general treaty of	
arbitration	124
(b) If difference arises from contractual debts.	124
10. Attempt to draw up a list of subjects for	
compulsory arbitration	125
11. Duty of Court to determine validity of claim,	
amount of debt, etc	125
12. Constitution of the Court	126

THE INFLUENCE OF INTERNATIONAL ARBITRATION ON THE DEVELOPMENT OF INTERNATIONAL LAW.

I.S. B

SOME AUTHORITIES CONSULTED.

- A. André: "De l'Arbitrage Obligatoire dans les Rapports Internationaux" (Douai, 1903).
- Sir T. Barclay: "Problems of International Practice and Diplomacy" (London, 1907).
- J. C. Bluntschli: "Gesammelte Kleine Schriften" (Nördlingen, 1879—1881).
- H. Bonfils: "Manuel de Droit International Public" (Paris, 1905).
- C. Calvo: "Dictionnaire de Droit International," 2 vols. (Paris, 1885).
- C. Calvo: "Le Droit International," 6 vols. (Paris, 1888, etc.).
- R. de Card: "Destinées de l'Arbitrage International" (Paris, 1892).
- A. Corsi: "Arbitrati Internazionali" (Pisa, 1893).
- W. E. Darby: "International Tribunals" (London, 1904).
- F. Dreyfus: "L'Arbitrage International" (Paris, 1892).
- J. Dumas: "Les Sanctions de l'Arbitrage International" (Paris, 1905).
- A. Gaché: "Le Conflit Vénézuelien et l'Arbitrage de la Haye" (Paris, 1905).
- W. E. Hall: "International Law" (Oxford, 1904).
- F. Holls: "The Hague Peace Conference" (London, 1901).
- F. von Holtzendorff: "Handbuch des Völkerrechts," 4 vols. (Berlin, 1885—1890).
- L. Kamarowski: "Le Tribunal International," French Trans. by Serge de Westman (Paris, 1887).
- H. La Fontaine: "Pasicrisie Internationale" (Bruxelles, 1904).
- A. de Lapradelle et N. Politis: "Recueil des Arbitrages Internationaux," Vol. I. (Paris, 1905).

- J. F. Lopez: "Derecho y Arbitraje Internacional" (Paris, 1891).
- G. Louis-Jaray: "La Politique Franco-Anglaise et l'Arbitrage International" (Paris, 1904).
- A. Mérignhac: "Traité Théorique et Pratique de l'Arbitrage International" (Paris, 1895).
- G. Moch: "Histoire Sommaire de l'Arbitrage Permanent" (Monaco, 1905).
- J. B. Moore: "History of the International Arbitrations to which the United States has been a Party," 6 vols. (Washington, 1899).
- M. Novacovitch: "Les Compromis et les Arbitrages Internationaux du XII° au XV° Siècle" (Paris, 1905).
- Marqués de Olivart: "Tratado de Derecho Internacional Público," 4 vols. (Madrid, 1903).
- Sir R. Phillimore: "International Law" (London, 1885).
- P. Pradier-Fodéré: Traité de Droit International Public," 8 vols. (Paris, 1885—1906).
- S. Pufendorf: "De Jure Naturae et Gentium" (Amstelodami, 1688).
- M. Revon: "L'Arbitrage International" (Paris, 1892).
- J. B. Scott: "Cases on International Law" (Boston, 1904).
- M. Vattel: "Droit des Gens," 3 vols. (Paris, 1838).

ARBITRATION SCHEMES.

THE question of international arbitration has Relation occupied a prominent place in the discussions conceptions Though there of life to those of law. and schemes of modern times. is a tendency, in many respects, to cling to the old order of things, yet the inevitable recognition of a new environment, of changed and everchanging circumstances in general, material as well as spiritual, the recognition of the complexity of life and ideals, forces on the modern age the necessity of adopting, to a large extent, a new order and amended systems. The principle that might is right may not be quite dead, even in its cruder applications; but in reference to individuals, it has largely been supplanted by the conception of sympathy and brotherhood, and in reference to States by strivings towards fairness and justice. Disputes between individuals are now settled by the rules of municipal law; disputes between States are now more frequently settled by means other than war: by calling in the established rules of international law, by diplomatic arrangements, by the mediation of other States, by arbitration. As for the latter.

arbitrators in giving their decisions have, where possible, applied the established principles of the law of nations; and in other cases have formulated modified or new principles, which, being accepted by States, have furnished a new contribution to international law. In recent times there have been many important triumphs of arbitration; but, contrary to a wide circle of opinion, the principle is by no means new, for schemes have long ago been propounded, and applications of some form or other of arbitral procedure have been made as early as the Greek age. It will be of interest to give a brief outline of such schemes, the importance of which lies as much in their relation to the conception of international law, as in the widespread idealistic efforts to substitute for war more amicable means of adjusting differences.

No arbitration in Asia.

Arbitration in Greece. In Asiatic or Egyptian history, there was no inter-State arbitration; the Oriental ideals of life and religion, the mystic philosophic theories, and the conception of an all-governing fate were not conducive thereto. In ancient Greece, with her far-seeing polity, her active public life, we find numerous instances of some kind of interstatal or intermunicipal arbitration. In her private law, arbitration was a recognised branch of procedure. The different functions of the judge and of the arbitrator were emphasised by Aristotle: "The arbitrator looks to what is fair,

the judge to what is law." 1 The Amphictyonic The Amphic-Council, an ecclesiastical body, acted as a diplomatic assembly on certain occasions; they laid down principles of international law, and settled disputes between one city and another, e.g., between Athens and Delos (343 B.C.), between Thebes and Sparta (380 B.C.); and other cases are recorded as early as 600 and 606 B.C. Differences were also referred to a town or to an individual. Thus Sparta arbitrated between Athens and Megara as to the possession of Salamis, Periander settled the dispute as to Sigeum between Athens and Mitylene, and Themistocles between Corinth and Corcyra as to Leucadia. Indeed, Thucydides insisted on the moral necessity of the proceeding: "It is not lawful to attack beforehand, as a wrongdoer, one who is willing to refer the cause to an arbitral tribunal." 2

Arbitration was foreign to Rome's policy of Arbitration

foreign to Rome's policy.

1 ὁ γὰρ διαιτητής τὸ ἐπιεικὲς ὁρᾳ, ὁ δὲ δικαστής τὸν νόμον (Rhet. i. 13, 19).

² I. 85. In the speech of Archidamus: καὶ πρὸς τοὺς 'Αθηναίους πέμπετε μεν περί της Ποτειδαίας, πέμπετε δε περί ων οί ξύμμαχοί φασιν άδικεισθαι, άλλως τε και ετοίμων όντων αὐτῶν δίκας δούναι. ἐπὶ δὲ τον διδόντα οὐ πρότερον νόμιμον ὡς ἐπ' ἀδικούντα ἰέναι. (And now send to the Athenians and remonstrate with them about Potidaea first, and also about the other wrongs of which your allies complain. They say they are willing to have the matter tried; and against one who offers to submit to justice you must not proceed as against a criminal until his cause has been heard.)

pacification — debellare superbos. A certain amount of impartiality, at least, is essential; but this was not a characteristic of the Romans. In 445 B.C., the Republic acted as arbitrator between Ardea and Aricia as to a piece of disputed land, and took possession of it herself.¹ Three centuries later a similar thing took place in the case of Neapolis and Nola. As the boasted "arbiter of the universe," Rome was not fitted to arbitrate at all. The institution of the fetiales and that of the recuperatores scarcely approached arbitration in any real sense.

Few arbitrations in the Middle Ages. In the Middle Ages there were very few cases of arbitration. It was an age of conflict—the new reformed religion against the old, the vassal against his lord, party against party, city against city. On some occasions the popes acted as mediators; e.g., Innocent III. between King John and his barons, Leo X. between Maximilian and the Doge of Venice, Boniface VIII. between Edward I. and Philippe le Bel.

Sully's scheme 1603. In more modern times Henry IV. of France was the first ruler to conceive a scheme of a permanent court of arbitration. His minister Sully drew it up in 1603 under the King's direction.² Europe was to be divided into fifteen States, whose differences were to be

¹ Cf. Cicero's disapproval of this conduct, De Officiis, I. x.

² "Memoirs," liv. 30. (The disputed authenticity is of minor consequence here.)

referred to a permanent council. The object of le grand dessein was, however, subordinated to political considerations, and came to nothing.

Shortly after Grotius published his "De Jure Grotius, Belli et Pacis," in which he emphasised that nations ought to be compelled to bring their disputes before congresses held by Christian Powers, which should be enabled to exact obedience-"imo et rationes ineantur cogendi partes, ut æquis legibus pacem accipiant." 1

In 1693 Leibnitz dealt with the theme, and Leibnitz, twenty years later the Abbé St. Pierre prepared Abbé a scheme in his "Projet de Paix Perpétuelle" for St. Pierre, settling disputes between States by the establishment of a General League of Christendom. This work stimulated others, though it failed in its real object itself. "It was madness in its author to be wise," said Rousseau, "when the majority of people were fools."

Jeremy Bentham in 1789 proposed the consti- Bentham, tution of a general diet, or congress, to which two representatives should be sent from each State; and its decisions were to be enforced by putting under the ban of Europe a recalcitrant State.

A little later Kant proposed, in his "Essay on Kant, 1796. Perpetual Peace" (1796),2 the abolition of armies and national debts and the federation of the States, but makes no mention of a tribunal.

¹ II., c. 33, s. 8.

² "Zum ewigen Frieden. Ein philosophischer Entwurf."

Somewhat similar suggestions to that of Bentham were put forward by Penn, Franklin, and the Scotch jurist Sir James Dalrymple.

Society of Friends in New York 1816. In 1816 the Society of Friends in New York demanded the adoption of arbitration in international differences. The movement in the United States now became very strong. Peace societies were formed in England and America. In 1835 the American Peace Association presented a petition to the Senate of Massachusetts for the purpose of organising a "standing court of nations." Similar petitions were presented in Maine and Vermont; but it was then thought that such schemes were premature.

Cobden's motion, 1849.

In England Cobden advocated the adoption of arbitration; but his motion in the House of Commons was opposed and defeated by Lord Palmerston (1849). Four years later the United States Senate agreed to insert in future treaties a special clause providing for arbitration in case of any disagreement arising out of such treaties, the arbitrators to be distinguished jurists not occupied with politics.

Approximations to arbitral tribunal.

The International Commission of the Mouths of the Danube, constituted by the Congress of Paris (1856), furnished a good example of the united action of the Powers dealing with delicate questions. The United States Supreme Court was regarded by many enthusiasts as more or less analogous to a standing international tribunal;

and a still nearer approach to such a tribunal was seen in the Federal Court of Switzerland, settling disputes quickly and peacefully arising between the various cantons, which are different not only in their origin and customs, but to a large extent in their legal systems. Calvo states 1 that the very existence of the Swiss Federal Court is a demonstration that the conception of a permanent tribunal for settling interstatal disputes is not a mere chimera, but is reasonable and practicable. But, in this connection, one might refuse to admit that the difficulties involved in any effort to bring about a judicial organisation of the European States are no greater than those in the case of the Swiss cantons. A later approximation is found in the case of the international courts in Egypt due to the opening of the Suez Canal. They have adjudicated with success between the subjects of some twenty different nationalities.

In 1873 Mr. Henry Richard, following Mr. Henry Richard's Cobden's suggestion, introduced a motion into motion, 1873. the House of Commons proposing arbitration clauses in treaties, and also urged the adoption of the same line of action by foreign States, in order to secure an organised uniform system. Mr. Richard's opinion was backed by a majority of the House, which thus expressed its altered and more favourable attitude towards the

¹ "Droit International Public," III., p. 477.

Associations formed.

movement.¹ Similar motions were carried on the Continent, e.g., in Italy, in Holland, in Belgium.

A fresh impetus was given to the project. Various associations were formed, and the question of international arbitration held a prominent place in their programmes. In 1873 were founded the Institute of International Law, which met at Ghent the same year, and the "Association for the Reform and Codification of the Law of Nations," which first met at Geneva the year after.² In 1888 was formed "The Permanent Parliamentary Committee in favour of Arbitration and Peace," with a permanent office at Berne, and met the following year in Paris.

Compromise clauses in treaties.

An important aspect of all these plans is the tendency to conclude general arbitration treaties between nations; that is, not merely arbitration on certain specified differences, but on all controversies, present or future, relative to certain subjects, e.g., navigation or commerce. Since 1862 compromise clauses (clauses compromissoires) were agreed to between Great Britain on the one hand, and Italy, Greece, Portugal, Mexico, Uruguay respectively on the other; between Belgium on the one hand, and Italy, Greece, Sweden,

^{1 &}quot;Malgré les objections de M. Gladstone, la motion fut votée. La reine, par déférence pour le parlement, y fit une répouse évasive et ironique" (Bonfils, op. cit. p. 548).

² In 1895 the name was altered to "The International Law Association."

³ Or "The International Parliamentary Union."

Norway, and Denmark respectively on the other; between France and Korea; between Italy and Montenegro; between Austria-Hungary and Siam; between Spain and Sweden and Norway; between Denmark and Venezuela; and several other cases.¹ Further examples of this kind are the conventions of the Postal Union of 1874 and 1891, and for the international transport of goods by rail of 1890.²

The treaty of peace signed at Guadalupe-Hidalgo (1848), between the United States and Mexico, established the principle of permanent arbitration as to the differences of any kind that might arise between the two States. This was the first treaty of its kind in modern history. Other States soon entered into treaties of general arbitration, e.g., Belgium with Hawaii (1862) and Siam (1868), with Venezuela (1884), with Ecuador (1887); Switzerland with San Salvador and Ecuador (1888); Spain with Honduras and Colombia (1894). The greater States did not show the same eagerness to bind themselves. owing to a sympathetic message of President Garfield, the Swiss Government offered to enter into a permanent arbitration treaty with the

¹ "Encyclopædia Britannica" (new volumes), sub voce "International Arbitration."

² Cf. the full treatment by M. Pradier-Fodéré (op. cit. VI., p. 356 et seq.) of the desirability and efficacy of compromise clauses, and also his reply to the various objections advanced (p. 371 et seq.)

United States, but the plan fell through owing to the death of the chief American negotiator. At the Inter-American Congress, 1889-1890, a treaty was signed to secure compulsory arbitration for the whole of the American continent, but Shortly after a treaty was it was not ratified. arranged between Great Britain and the United States to provide for the settling by arbitration of all differences which diplomacy should fail to adjust; but it was not ratified, probably because the conditions proved too elaborate. In 1894 Holland and Portugal, by art. 7 of their commercial treaty, agreed to settle all disputes not concerning their independence or autonomy. The first half of last century saw several attempts to establish a standing arbitration tribunal; and at the very close of the century the Hague Peace Conference attained a great practical result. A permanent international court of arbitration was established; and art. 16 of the Hague Convention provides for the adjustment of international differences of a legal character in general, and in particular of differences concerning the interpretation or application of international treaties. Several States at once concluded treaties of this nature. Thus Great Britain in 1903 and 1904 entered into such arbitration agreements with France, Spain, Italy, Germany, Sweden, Norway, Portugal, and Austria-Hungary; Denmark and Holland (1904) agreed to refer all

The Hague Convention and general arbitration treaties. differences without exception to an arbitration tribunal. Indeed, since the signing of the Anglo-French treaty in October, 1903, over forty similar treaties have been signed and ratified; and this number is exclusive of the eleven signed by Mr. Hay, the United States late Secretary of State, for, owing to a disagreement between the President and the Senate, they were not ratified.

MODERN CONCEPTION OF ARBITRAL PROCEDURE AND ITS RELATION TO THE CONCEPTION OF LAW.

Before dealing with the principal cases of arbitration and their effect, direct or indirect, on the development of international law, it will be well to say a few words on the modern conception of this procedure, its relation to the conception of law in general, and the somewhat modified forms that have been used.

International arbitration, in the words of the Hague Convention, is "the determination of controversies between States by judges of their own choice upon the basis of respect for law"; in a wider sense it includes inquiries conducted in a judicial manner by representatives of the States in question. In some respects the international procedure resembles, in others differs from, the civil form. An international arbitrator may be a sovereign, and he may delegate his office; but a private arbitrator cannot do so

Relation of private to international arbitration.

¹ Art. 15 of sect. iv.: "L'arbitrage international a pour objet le règlement de litiges entre les États par des juges de leur choix, et sur la base du respect du droit."

without express authority. In private arbitration the decision may be enforced by the court; but in international arbitration the sanction is not strictly a legal one, for the obligation to abide by the decision rests on the good faith and honour of the parties, on the force of public opinion, and on their original voluntary submission in the preliminary treaty.1 Both kinds when awards are alike in that awards may be set aside for may be set aside for set aside. excess of jurisdiction, for doubtful or meaningless expression of the sentence, or for wrongful conduct or wilful misdirection of the arbitrator.2 M. Bluntschli further points out that the international arbitrator must give his award according to the rules of international law. Here there is a great difficulty. One cannot always say for certain what rules are universally accepted as established; and then there may be certain other principles which, some jurists may think, ought to be embodied in international law, and which are not yet part of it. An arbitrator may find that his award involves a principle which he knows is not yet law, but which he regards as just and equitable under the circumstances in question and under all like circumstances, and

¹ See further on this point infra, pp. 24-25.

² Compare the list of twelve reasons given by Dr. von Bulmerincq (in Holtzendorff's Handbuch des Völkerrechts, IV., p. 43) and regarded by him as sufficient to vitiate an award. But the grounds given can be readily classified under those suggested above.

be absorbed into, and consistent with the spirit of, the recognised body of law. It is submitted that such a decision ought not to be repudiated, for it would furnish an additional rule which, being generally accepted, acted on, or acquiesced in, would become part of the law of nations. point of fact, this is exactly what has happened in many cases during the last century, as the subsequent examination of the arbitration instances will show.1 Indeed, only one award was rejected, and not unjustly, for it went beyond the terms of the reference. In order to be valid, an award must be in accordance with the terms of the submission. Hence it will be void as to things which were not submitted, and as to a stranger. Secondly, and following from this condition, it must not go to a time beyond the submission. Thirdly, it should confer some advantage wherever possible, and not be merely negative. Lastly, it should be clear, reasonable, possible, final, and mutual.

therefore which he considers a rule fitting to

Essential qualities of an award.

An examination of the large number of arbitrations in the last hundred years shows

¹ Pufendorf suggests that in such cases the "law of nature" ought to be called in as a guide. "Caeterum illud manifestum est, uti qui inter cives jus dicit, regulariter sequitur leges civiles, quibus litigantes sunt subjecti, ita qui pronunciaturus est inter eos, qui communes leges civiles non agnoscunt, jus naturale pro norma habebit" ("De Jure Naturae et Gentium," op. cit. p. 65).

that three somewhat different forms of pro- Forms of cedure were employed: arbitration by mixed procedure. commission, for which Great Britain and the United States have manifested a special partiality; federal arbitration, used in the German States or in the Swiss cantons; arbitration in the strict sense, used by France chiefly.

An early example of arbitration by mixed com- Mixed mission is found at the end of the thirteenth century. It sat at Paris to indemnify merchants of various nations for damages caused by a French admiral in English waters. Similarly, two mixed Anglo-Dutch commissions sat after the treaty of peace of April 5th, 1654, to consider the claims of merchants suffering from the war. The mixed Anglo-American commission of 1794 sat in pursuance of the Jay treaty and considered most important questions.

commission.

It is often difficult to differentiate precisely Diplomatic between diplomatic mixed commissions and mixed commissions and arbitral mixed commissions; but the diplomatic form tends to become, in course of time, rather

arbitral commissions.

¹ Hall, "International Law," p. 142.

² Cf. Pradier-Fodéré (op. cit. VI., p. 312 et seq.), who describes arbitral mixed commissions as having "une sorte de caractère semi-judiciaire." Frontier commissions may, according to circumstances, partake of the nature of the one kind or of the other. "Il est entendu qu'il ne faut pas confondre les commissions mixtes de délimitation et celles qui sont chargées de statuer sur des contestations au sujet des frontières. . . ." (p. 314).

of a mixed nature, diplomatic plus arbitral; e.g., the frontier commissions as to the river St. Croix, the islands of the Bay of Fundy, the north-eastern frontier, the frontier of the great North American lakes. A decision of the former kind has the appearance of a judgment, though its function is more frequently limited to determine certain facts sought or to apply a previous arrangement, e.g., to trace out a boundary in accordance with the terms of a treaty without interpreting the latter; but a solution of points of law may also be involved. An arbitral mixed commission is bound more directly to decide as to a question which may be embodied in a judicial formula, and which decision may take the form of a rule of law. The chief disadvantage of a mixed commission lies in the suspicion of partiality and prejudged and predetermined conclusions, especially where arbitrator additionally appointed to act as umpire belongs to one of the parties to the dispute; e.g., the Commission of London, 1794-1804, in which the fifth representative, Trumbull, was American; the Commission of London, 1853-1855, in which Mr. Bates, the third commissioner, was English.

Arbitration in the strict sense, e.g., by a sovereign.

Arbitration in the strict sense, as by a sovereign, has both good and bad qualities. In his sovereign and independent capacity the arbitrator can more freely pronounce his sentence. At the same time he would not care to lay himself or his

ruling open to criticism, and so his award would very rarely be accompanied by a statement of the grounds or principles upon which it was based. Many important questions of law have been involved in some of the cases of arbitration by Arbitrations by sovereigns sovereigns; thus, the legal effects of military occu- and their influence on pation in the dispute which arose between Great influence on international Britain and the United States as to the interpretation of art. I of the Treaty of Ghent (December 24th, 1814), when Alexander I. of Russia arbitrated; limits of the power of an arbitrator as to disputed territory in the north-eastern frontier case, the decision of William I. of Holland being rightly repudiated, because he disregarded the terms of the reference; the question of blockade in the Portendic affair (1843), the award of Frederic William IV. of Prussia not being supported by stated reasons or principles; the effects of declaration of war in regard to the responsibility of a belligerent towards his adversary in the arbitration by Queen Victoria between France and Mexico (1844); the effect of declaration of war as to confiscation, William III. of Holland arbitrating between France and Spain (1852); the responsibility of a neutral State for belligerent hostilities in its territorial waters. in the General Armstrong case, Louis Napoleon arbitrating between the United States and Portugal (1852). Some of these awards have been severely criticised, but, nevertheless, they all have

important bearings on the progress of international law.

Development of the conception of arbitration.

There has been a gradual development in the conception of arbitration in general, and of its procedure in particular. The successive stages in its progress synchronise with the conclusion of great wars; the greater assertion of freedom and decline of autocratic institutions conduce to a more general acceptance of arbitration; the place of this procedure in international relationships and its influence on the law of nations have mainly been secured through the efforts of the Anglo-Saxon race, with its political far-sightedness, practical skill, and constant devotion to free institutions. With the coming of the Renaissance and its revolutionary spirit the tendency arose of substituting jurists of prominence for sovereigns and popes in the work of arbitration. The pope's position as judge or arbitrator falls with the decline of his position as ultimate sovereign. But the appeal to jurists is largely due, again, to the example set by Great Britain and America. In their early days the American States were involved in boundary disputes, which furnished opportunities to the organising genius of the race. Washington himself took part in the adjustment of the Virginia frontier line. Both in England and in the United States (and but little on the Continent) private arbitration had long been resorted to; and thus the

Influence of the Anglo-Saxon race. transition was rendered all the more easy through a ready analogy. The progress has been from mediation and diplomacy to a greater or lesser combination of arbitration and diplomacy, and then to pure, i.e. judicial, arbitration. system, of course, has not yet been perfected; but there are clearly understood usages, and attempts to stereotype these at present would prove disastrous. The subject-matter is constantly growing, the relationships between States are always being modified and made more complicated, and so elasticity in arbitral procedure is obviously indispensable to its very vitality and growth.

To be a powerful instrument in the establish- How arbitrament of law, arbitration must exercise a certain advance the independence and fearlessness, and, if necessary, a law. bold initiative in the laying down of principles, not necessarily to subvert accepted rules, but to add to them and amend them as occasion demands. Arbitrators should ask themselves. as Kant would do in the case of moral law, whether they would fairly and conscientiously wish that the principles embodied in their awards should become universal law. The already-expressed opinions of jurists may aid them considerably, and yet at times may hinder them. In the first half of the nineteenth century arbitrators followed rather than guided the opinions of writers. The work of Grotius,

Vattel, and Bynkershoek, though much of it is excellent now and will long continue to hold good, exercised too great authority over them. To follow slavishly the opinions of others is not necessarily to derive the greatest benefit from them. In the latter part, however, of the century there are clear signs of independent thought on the part of arbitrators. Only if delivered with strict impartiality, with deliberate judgment, and after thorough investigation will decisions and awards be able to command general respect, and help on the growth of law, even if they have not always proved effective enough to prevent wars.

Essential basis of arbitration judicial.

The question of arbitration is perhaps of greater consequence in the world's affairs from the standpoint of the jurist than from that of the peace idealist. With the perfection of arbitral procedure the political or diplomatic character will disappear, and the purely judicial spirit will prevail. As M. Renault truly says, "J'ose croire et affirmer que l'arbitrage international ne se développera sérieusement qu'en quittant d'une manière absolue le domaine politique et diplomatique où il a été longtemps

¹ Cf. Seneca's distinction between the peace-maker as arbitrator in the strict sense of the term, and the peace-maker as judge; in the case of the latter, "illum formula includit, et certos, quos non excedat, terminos ponit. . . ." (De Beneficiis, Bk. III. c. 7, s. 5).

confiné pour rester pleinement dans le domaine judiciaire où il ne fait qu'entrer. C'est à cette seule condition qu'il inspirera confiance aux Gouvernements et aux peuples, qu'il offrira des garanties surtout aux petits Etats, trop souvent exposés à être victimes de considérations politiques." 1 The great advantage of the Hague tribunal is that it will do much to foster such a judicial spirit. Under such circumstances an Sanction of arbitral decision will be as binding as the verdict of a municipal court, at least if the arbitration treaty does not stipulate the contrary.2 The absence of a positive sanction has had no disastrous effect. Awards have often been accepted by powerful States in the face of injustice, real or imagined—for example, the Alabama decision. Voluntarily to conclude an arbitration treaty carries with itself a contractual obligation. At the Conference of London, 1871, it was declared a principle of international law that no Power can release itself from or modify the terms of a treaty; and, as Sir Robert Phillimore says, "the sentence is binding upon

^{1 &}quot;Recueil des Arbitrages Internationaux." Lapradelle et N. Politis (Paris, 1905), Vol. I. p. x. (Preface by M. Renault).

^{2 &}quot;. . . Il est certain que leur décision prononcée dans les limites du compromis et du pouvoir qui leur a été conféré oblige les États contendants par les mêmes raisons et aux mêmes conditions que les traités" (Pradier-Fodéré, op. cit. VI., p. 428).

the parties whose own act has created the jurisdiction over them." Notwithstanding the severe criticism passed by some Continental writers on arbitral procedure and its efficacy in the past, it will now be seen more in detail what important contributions to the body of international law have thereby been made in the nineteenth century.

¹ III. p. 5.

THE CHIEF ARBITRATIONS OF THE NINETEENTH CENTURY AND THEIR INFLUENCE ON INTER-NATIONAL LAW.

THE above, it is hoped, has briefly shown the mutual action and reaction in general of arbitration and international law. It remains to be seen in what more specific matters the former has influenced the latter.

The historical study of arbitrations is of the value of greatest value. In this way one may see how study of international disputes have been settled by them, what methods were adopted, what applications made, and what principles inferred, how these impressed the nations in dispute, and how they were regarded by other States. Thus certain clearly defined conceptions are formed, and are gradually associated with the idea of international It is difficult to state the number of cases Difficult to that have been decided. Some writers include the number proceedings, such as mixed diplomatic commissions, which others exclude; and others, againe.g., several French writers—are inclined to disregard all but arbitrations in the strict sense.

of cases.

Professor Bassett Moore¹ enumerates 136 cases in the last century, of which the United States is considered to have been a party to fifty-three. M. La Fontaine² gives 177 instances from the Jay treaty (1794) to the close of the nineteenth century; and he assigns seventy to Great Britain, fifty-six to the United States, twenty-six to France, nine to Italy, four to Russia, and not a single case to Germany. Dr. Darby³ mentions 471 cases; but, in his laudable enthusiasm, he has included a very large number which merely indicate amicable inter-State arrangements, and which cannot be regarded as arbitrations.

Most important cases.

Matters dealt with by the cases.

The most important cases are the north-eastern frontier dispute, the General Armstrong case, the Alabama claims, the Behring Sea dispute, the Venezuela and the Alaska boundaries. These cases and others to be mentioned below deal with a large variety of questions relating to the definition of boundaries, territorial waters, the rights and duties of neutrals in general, and in particular the responsibility of a neutral Government for hostilities committed in its territory by a belligerent, the exercise of the right of seizure of vessels and confiscation of cargoes, the effects of declara-

¹ "History of International Arbitrations to which the United States has been a Party," 6 vols. (Washington, 1898).

² "Pasicrisie Internationale" (Bruxelles, 1902); and "Revue de Droit International" (1902).

³ "International Tribunals" (London, 1904).

tion of war, contraband, slavery, the force of res adjudicata, and other matters.

The Jay treaty, 1794, marks an epoch in the Jay treaty, history of arbitration. It is the source, direct or 1794. indirect, of the series of arbitrations from that date to 1831. The proceedings by mixed Anglo-American commission in reference to art. 7 of the treaty influenced subsequent procedure, which henceforth tended to become more judicial and less diplomatic. Some of the pointsably discussed relate to the claims of British and American subjects respectively in regard to merchant ships captured during the war, contraband of war, restoration of slaves, competence of the arbitral tribunal to determine its own jurisdiction, the enemy character of private property, the responsibility of a neutral State for a belligerent's operations within its territory, the international effect of a case tried by a prize court. It is to be noted that the United States soon made an effort to apply the principles of the Jay treaty in its relations with other States. In 1802 a commission arbitrated on the claims of the United States against Spain for depredations committed during the preceding war; and its decision was afterwards confirmed by an agreement which resulted in the cession of Florida (1819) as against the sum of five million dollars due by Spain as compensation to American citizens. A somewhat similar agreement was made with France

(1813) for the cession of Louisiana, the United States meeting the claims of its own subjects against France.

Mode of interpreting treaties.

The method of interpreting treaties and the juridical effects of military occupation were involved in the dispute between Great Britain United States as to art. and the 1 of the Treaty of Ghent (1814). The decision of Alexander I. of Russia adopted the grammatical sense of the phraseology, and hence it cannot be followed as a precedent. A literal interpretation is obviously unsound should it conflict with the intention, for "les paroles ne sont destinées qu'à exprimer les pensées; . . . les termes ne sont rien sans l'intention qui doit les dicter." 1 Further, the difficult question of occupatio bellica was involved. For a long time it was considered as destroying sovereignty; but since the Treaty of Utrecht it is generally held to constitute merely a suspension of its active exercise.

Military occupation its effect.

Arbitrator not to go beyond the submission. In the north-eastern frontier dispute between Great Britain and the United States William I. of Holland was the arbitrator. His decision was repudiated (1831), because, the two parties having submitted different lines, he suggested a third, and thus went beyond the submission. The dispute, however, was amicably settled by the Webster-Ashburton treaty (1842).

France, in taking punitive measures against

1 Vattel, "Droit des Gens," II., c. xvii., §§ 273, 274.

certain Moorish tribes on the west coast of Africa, blockaded the coast of Portendic, but failed to give notification to the owners of Notification of blockade. British vessels trading there in gum, etc. claim for compensation was submitted to the King of Prussia, who decided (1843) that the blockade caused losses to the British traders. and that France was bound to make these losses good. This important award, involving the questions of commercial liberty and blockade, would have been of greater value had it been fortified by means of governing principles.

In the dispute between France and Mexico as to whether indemnity was due on the one hand to Mexico for the capture of Mexican ships of war after the fall of Fort Ulloa, and on the other hand to French subjects who had been expelled the country, Queen Victoria, acting as arbitrator (1844), dismissed the claims of both parties, since "the acts of both countries were justified by the Acts justified by state of war existing between them." France of war. was not satisfied with the award; and in the French Chamber M. Lacrosse said: "Tout ce qu'on pouvait accorder sans compromettre des intérêts respectables, c'était de laisser la fixation de ces indemnités à une commission mixte départagée, au besoin, par une tierce puissance. Mais le principe même des indemnités est mis en arbitrage, et c'est trop."1

¹ Lapradelle and Politis, op. cit. I., p. 559.

Exigencies of war and closure of ports. The Argentine Republic during its war with Uruguay (1845) gave a fortnight's notice as to the closure of its ports to all ships which had touched at Monte Video. Six British vessels were thus refused admittance to Buenos Ayres. The British Government complained of the shortness of the notice, and demanded compensation in respect to these vessels. The President of Chile decided that the exigencies of war justified the measures on the part of the Argentine.

The General Armstrong case.
A neutral's responsibility.

The question of a neutral's responsibility formed the subject of the General Armstrong case. During the war between Great Britain and the United States in 1814 the General Armstrong, an American privateer, fired upon British boats which had just entered the port of Fayal, in Portuguese territory, but was soon cannonaded in return and destroyed within the limits of the port. The United States claimed indemnity from Portugal for a breach of neutrality through not interfering when the British squadron opened fire. In 1851 matter was referred to Louis Napoleon, then President of the French Republic. In his award (1852) he recognised the principle that a neutral power must indemnify a belligerent who suffers a loss within the neutral jurisdiction through the action of the adversary, if the neutral takes no steps to prevent it. But Portugal was absolved from blame, since the appeal to her local authorities was made too late, and also as the governor had not sufficient force to be able to interfere with effect.

In the Pacifico affair, which was ultimately The Pacifico compromised by the appointment of a mixed affair. Injury to commission (1850), damages were awarded to foreign Pacifico, a native of Gibraltar, and hence a British subject, who had suffered at the hands of mob in Athens. Pacifico appealed to the British authorities, and not to the Greek courts. The English Foreign Secretary defended the appointment of commissioners by alleging that the Greek courts would probably not mete out justice at that time. Sir Robert Phillimore, however, thinks the evidence of this was "not of that overwhelming character which alone could warrant an exception from the well-known and valuable rule of international law upon questions of this description," 1 viz., that application for redress must first be made to the local tribunals.

In the mixed Commission of London, 1853- Mixed 1885, to adjust differences between Great Britain of London. and the United States, the umpire appointed was of one of the parties. In such cases he is intended to act as a judge, but in reality he is only an additional representative. The various claims and counter-claims related to the "Florida

^{1 &}quot;International Law," II., 41.

Bonds "dispute, the MacLeod case, and, more particularly, the famous case of the *Creole*.¹ Interesting opinions were expressed on the extent of sovereignty and its conditions, the coincidence of a State's jurisdiction and the extent of its territory, slavery in reference to the law of nations, the effect of treaties which are contrary to the law of nations.

The MacLeod case.
Public and private responsibility.

The essential point of the MacLeod case is the acknowledgment by the American Secretary of State that "the Government of the United States entertains no doubt that, after the avowal of the transaction as a public transaction authorised and undertaken by the British authorities, individuals concerned in it ought not, by the principles of public law and the general usage of civilised States, to be holden personally responsible in the ordinary tribunals of law for their participation in it."

The Creole case. Jurisdiction over the internal order of a merchant vessel when in a foreign port.

The Creole was an American vessel which was seized, on its way from Richmond to New Orleans, by the slaves on board; the master was murdered, and the crew compelled to sail her to Nassau (1841). The ringleaders were then put under arrest by the British governor there, but, considering their accomplices as mere passengers, he allowed them to land freely, in spite of the

^{1 &}quot;Le conflit était fort intéressant, car il soulevait la question des pouvoirs respectifs des autorités du port et du capitaine" (A. Mérignhac, op. cit., p. 56).

protests of the American consul. The United States demanded their surrender, but England replied that the moment they landed on British territory they became free men. The commission failed to agree, and, the reference being made to Mr. Bates, an English jurist, he decided that the English authorities had no right over slaves found on board an American vessel, the officers of which ought to have been protected in the exercise of the duties imposed upon them by the laws of their own country. Thus the principle here laid down is that the internal order and regulation of a merchant vessel in a foreign port are not subject to the municipal law of such port.

In the Chile-Peru war in 1821 a large sum of The brig money, the produce of goods landed by the Mace-donian. American brig Macedonian, was seized by Chile Seizure of private on Peruvian territory. The claim of the United property on land. States for the recovery of that sum was upheld by the King of the Belgians (1863), who further awarded interest from the date of the formal demand (1841) to the time when arbitration was This decision confirmed the rule that agreed on. private property, whether belonging to an enemy or to a neutral, is not seizable on land.1

^{1 &}quot;L'arbitre affirmait, dans sa décision, que la propriété privée n'est pas saisissable sur terre, qu'elle appartienne à des neutres on à des ennemis; et que, d'autre part, le gouvernement des Etats-Unis ne pouvait réclamer que dans la mesure des intérêts de ses nationaux " (Mérignhac, op. cit., p. 58).

The Costa Rica Packet (1897).

Further instances of arbitration relating to unlawful arrests and seizures are the case of the King of Belgium H.M.S. Forte. arbitrating between Great Britain and Brazil;1 the Butterfield claim (1890); the Costa Rica Carpenter, the master of this packet (1897). whaling-vessel and a British subject, seized and sold the cargo of a Dutch craft, which had drifted from its moorings, and was carried to Buru, no one being then on board. Carpenter was taken to Macassar for trial, and proved that the wrongful appropriation, if any, occurred on the high seas, more than three miles from land. The court. therefore, had no jurisdiction in the case, and he was set free. Being now unable to take advantage of the whaling season, he sold the packet at a loss, and claimed damages from the Netherlands, which he obtained by the award of Russia. acting as arbitrator.

Delagoa Bay case (1875). Occupation and title. The Delagoa Bay case (1875) is important as illustrating the kind of occupation which confers a title. From 1823 to 1875 there was a dispute between England and Portugal as to some territory at Delagoa Bay. The former claimed it under a cession by native chiefs in 1823, the latter on the ground, amongst others, of continuous occupation. The controversy was referred

¹ Ronard de Card, op. cit., p. 59, says that the result was "pacifique très remarquable dans un débat où les susceptibilités anglaises étaient fortement excitées."

to the French Government, which held that the interruption of occupation in 1823 was not sufficient to oust a title supported by occasional exercise of sovereignty through a period of nearly three centuries, and adjudged the territory to Portugal.

The subject of neutrality received more The elaborate treatment in the Alabama case case (1872). (1872), one of the most important instances of arbitration in modern times, both intrinsically and for the fact that it invested the principle of arbitration itself with great authority. The rules drawn up refer to the exercise of "due diligence" by a neutral to prevent the arming or equipping on its territory of vessels to be used against a belligerent, the use of neutral ports as bases of operations, the renewal of supplies, the enlistment of men. etc.

Very briefly, the facts are as follows: During the American civil war, 1862, the United States notified to the British Government that a vessel was built in England to order of the insurgents for warlike purposes. This vessel, afterwards called the Alabama, left Liverpool unarmed, but at the Azores received guns and ammunition

1 As to the Geneva Conference, Marqués de Olivart says: ". . . será el fallo del tribunal de Ginebra modelo y ejemplo de los demás que le sucedan y la primera causa célebre del derecho internacional en el pasado siglo" (op. cit., III., 22).

from three other vessels coming from England,

the conclusion of the war the United States claimed damages from Great Britain for the losses suffered. After several years' negotiation, the Treaty of Washington was entered into May 8th, 1871, with a view to arbitration, and it was agreed that Great Britain, the United States, Brazil, Italy, and Switzerland should each name an arbitrator.¹ The treaty contained three rules, henceforth known as the "Three Rules of Washington," to be binding upon the five arbitrators, viz.:—

and plundered United States merchantmen. On

The "Three Rules of Washing-ton."

- "A neutral Government is bound—
- "First, to use due diligence to prevent the fitting out, arming, or equipping within its jurisdiction, of any vessel which it has reasonable ground to believe is intended to cruise or carry on war against a Power with which it is at peace, and also to use like diligence to prevent the departure from its jurisdiction of any vessel intended to cruise or carry on war as above, such vessel having been specially adapted in whole or in part, within such jurisdiction, to warlike use;
- "Secondly, not to permit or suffer either belligerent to make use of its ports or waters as the base of naval operations against the other,

¹ "Le débat, qui ne devait être, en réalité, que juridique, devint rapidement politique . . . et l'on alla jusqu'à prétendre que l'interprétation du traité de Washington était d'un intérêt absolument secondaire" (Pradier-Fodéré, op. cit., VI., p. 355).

or for the purpose of the renewal or augmentation of military supplies or arms or the recruitment of men;

"Thirdly, to exercise due diligence in its waters, and as to all persons within its jurisdiction, to prevent any violations of the foregoing obligations and duties."

In reference to these rules, Great Britain claimed that her consent to their being binding on the arbitrators did not imply that they were established rules of international law at the date of the *Alabama* case.¹ The treaty contains further the stipulation that the parties "agree to observe these rules as between themselves in future, and to bring them to the knowledge of other maritime Powers, and to invite them to accede to them."

The claims of the United States were twofold:
(a) for direct damage caused by the Confederate cruisers and (b) for "indirect losses" due to the prolongation of the war, the transfer to the British flag of most of the American shipping,

¹ Cf. the different view of M. Mérignhac (op. cit., p. 75): "La plupart des juristes qui se sont occupés de la question, s'accordent à reconnaître qu'elles ne sont que l'expression des devoirs qui incombent aux neutres, suivant la justice et la raison; et que, loin d'être introductives d'un droit nouveau, elles ont été au contraire usitées, dans leurs traits essentiels, par les puissances maritimes, du jour où le droit a remplacé l'arbitraire et le bon plaisir." Cf. further the fine study by M. Calvo, in Revue de Droit International, 1874, p. 488, et seq.

etc. The accusations of "veiled hostility" and "insincere neutrality" were also made. On the assembly of the court at Geneva it announced that "the indirect claims did not constitute, upon the principles of international law applicable to such cases, a good foundation for an award or computation of damages between nations." The American representative thereupon withdrew that claim, and on the other points the award was in favour of the United States. 1

It is to be noted that the arbitrators' interpretation of "due diligence" has often been adversely criticised, and other opinions expressed by them were not accepted by Great Britain. According to the Geneva court, the due diligence of a neutral must be in proportion to the risks to which either belligerent may be exposed from a failure to fulfil the obligations of neutrality. If this were admitted, very oppressive obligations might be laid on neutrals. It is difficult, indeed, to see how "due diligence" in international law can have a different significance

¹ For the full award vide Moore; or Phillimore, III., § 151; or Wharton, III., § 420 A. M. Bonfils (op. cit., p. 540), speaking of Great Britain's conduct, which he says was "entièrement correcte," in the acceptance of the award, adds: "Le monde fut étonné d'une modération, à laquelle Albion ne l'a point accoutumé." And Calvo observes (op. cit., III., 449): "Il est bon de faire observer que la seule voix dissidente était celle de l'arbitre choisi par la reine d'Angleterre" [viz., Sir Alexander Cockburn].

from what it has in municipal law. Thus Great Britain and the United States were not of one mind in interpreting the three rules, and hence could not agree as to the stipulated communication to the other maritime Powers. these circumstances, the "Three Rules of Effect of the "Three Washington" cannot be regarded as interna-Rules." tional law; but still they led to the general recognition of the principle that it is the duty of a neutral to prevent its subjects from building and fitting out to order of the belligerents vessels destined for warlike operations. 1

Another arbitral award of great importance is The Behring found in the Behring Sea case (1893). In 1886 (1893). there was a dispute between Great Britain and the United States owing to the seizure of British Columbian vessels which had hunted seals in the Behring Sea outside the American

1 The Institute of International Law at its meeting at the Hague, 1875, adopted a body of seven rules emanating from the Three Rules of Washington. Vide "Annuaire de l'Institut de Droit International," I. (1877), p. 139. Calvo ("Dictionnaire de Droit International," I., 249) thus comments on the necessity to exercise due diligence: "Quand un gouvernement a eu connaissance du fait duquel un dommage a résulté, et n'a pas déployé la diligence, suffisante pour le prévenir ou pour en arrêter les conséquences, soit à l'aide des moyens à sa disposition, soit avec ceux qu'il pouvait demander au pouvoir législatif, l'Etat est responsable pour négligence volontaire de diligence. Dans ce cas le degré de responsabilité a pour base le plus ou le moins de facilités qu'il avait de prévoir, le fait, le plus ou moins de précautions qu'il était à même de prendre pour l'empêcher."

territorial belt, and which had infringed regulations made by the United States relative to seal-fishing in that sea. The arbitrators, of whom Great Britain and the United States had each named two, and the President of the French Republic, the King of Italy, the King of Norway and Sweden one each, pronounced in favour of Great Britain on all the points submitted. A close time was appointed for sealing, pelagic sealing was forbidden within sixty miles of the Pribyloff Islands, and the use of firearms was forbidden in the Behring Sea. The United States invited the other maritime Powers to accept the rules made; but so far only Italy has agreed.

The Venezuelan boundary. The British Venezuelan boundary dispute dates back to 1841, and was settled only in 1899. The award, which assigned no grounds, secured for Great Britain, speaking generally, the territory over which Dutch influence and commerce had extended, though a line was drawn across the Burima so as to secure for Venezuela the south shore of the Orinoco to its mouth.

It may be added that in more recent times, owing to the improved means of communication, and consequently the greater facilities to ensure continuity of occupation, there has been a marked tendency to demand more solid grounds of a title when resting on occupation.¹

¹ Cf. the declaration adopted at the Berlin Conference, 1885.

A consideration of the above cases alone and of their results is sufficient to convince even an opponent of arbitration of the great benefits conferred and the permanent additions to international law effected thereby. From the point Classificaof view of the competence of arbitral tribunals, arbitrations. the arbitrations of last century may be thus classified :-

- (1) Those dealing with differences arising their sovereign between States in capacities:-
 - (a) Boundary disputes on land;
 - (b) Fisheries.
- (2) Those dealing with matters in which one State makes a claim really on behalf of its subjects, but ostensibly in its sovereign capacity, against another State, on account of certain wrongful acts or omissions :-
 - (a) Breaches of neutrality;
 - (b) Unlawful seizures;
 - (c) Violation of rights of person of foreign subjects.1

Another classification is suggested as follows: cases of boundaries, possession of territory, seizure of vessels or confiscation of cargoes, violent or arbitrary acts against foreigners,

¹ This classification is a modified form of that suggested by M. Kamarowsky ("Le Tribunal International" (French translation from the Russian) (1887)).

rights of navigation, fishery rights, and matters of accounts.¹

Lord Alverstone, in an address delivered in 1895, thus classed the principal matters which lend themselves to arbitration: (1) cases of boundary, (2) cases of damages for an admitted wrongful act, and (3) cases of dispute involving questions of legal right.

And, again, Lord Russell of Killowen, in his address to the American Bar Association in 1896, made a threefold classification of arbitrable disputes:—

- (1) Where the right in dispute is determinable by ascertaining the facts;
- (2) Where, the facts being ascertained, the right in question depends on the application of international law;
- (3) Where the dispute is determinable on a give-and-take principle.

¹ Rouard de Card, "Destinées de l'Arbitrage International" (Paris, 1892), p. 208. Dr. Bulmerineq (in Holtzendorff's Handbuch, IV., 45, et seq.) thus classifies the arbitration cases that have occurred: 1. Ueber staatliches Eigenthum. 2. Ueber Staatsgrenzen. 3. Ueber Ausübung der Amtsgewalt staatlicher Autoritäten gegen Angehörige anderer Staaten. 4. Ueber Tödtung der Angehörigen anderer Staaten. 5. Ueber Beschlagnahme fremder Güter und Schiffe. 6. Ueber Verletzung und Nichtbeachtung der Pflichten der Neutralität. 7. Ueber Folgen einer nicht notificirten Blocade. 8. Ueber Interpretation eines internationalen Vertrages. 9. Ueber Rechtsverhältnisse zwischen einer halbsouveränen Macht und einer Compagnie.

All these classifications are, from the very Limits of nature of the circumstances, more or less approximate, and may be enlarged with the progress of international relationship. All matters whatever may, of course, be arbitrated upon if the parties to the dispute consent to do so; but the more important question is, What matters ought to be arbitrated upon? The above classifications suggest some answer to this question. There is a general consensus of opinion amongst jurists and publicists that there must inevitably be some limitation. For example, all exclude questions of independence or autonomy; some exclude "vital interests," questions of "national honour" and of "territorial integrity." Thus President Polk refused arbitration as to the Oregon boundary dispute between Great Britain and the United States, but finally submitted the question to the Emperor of Germany, who gave his award (1872) in favour of the United States. The expressions "national honour" and "vital interests" are ambiguous and elastic. The various States may not concur as to when the one is "outraged," and the other interfered with. Again, at one time, owing to national excitement, the "national honour" may be deemed to have been outraged, whilst on another more peaceable occasion the same offence might not be regarded as amounting to an "outrage." Indeed, the great difficulty in international affairs is to discriminate between a

Arbitrable disputes and the Hague Convention.

State's rights and its interests. Art. 16 of the Hague Convention recognises all these difficulties, and, excluding political matters' from the sphere of arbitration, lays down that this procedure is applicable to differences of a judicial character in general, and in especial differences regarding the interpretation or application of international treaties.1 There is as yet no compulsory arbitration of any kind, but various schemes have been suggested for constituting a standing arbitral tribunal, and for making it compulsory to go to arbitration in regard to certain matters,2 e.g., according to Baron de Staal's suggestion at the Hague Peace Conference, May 18th, 1899, disputes relating to pecuniary damages suffered by a State in consequence of the unlawful or negligent action of another State, such as disputes relating to the interpretation of postal, telegraph, and railway conventions, of conventions relating to the navigation of international rivers, literary and artistic property, industrial and proprietary rights, and various other matters.

At the present time arbitration is one of the most widely discussed topics. It is being considered at the present session of the Hague

^{1 &}quot;Dans les questions d'ordre juridique et en premier lieu dans les questions d'interprétation ou d'application des conventions internationales. . . ."

² See the note, *infra*, on The Second Hague Conference and Arbitration.

Conference. States have been showing greater eagerness to conclude general arbitration treaties and a willingness to resort to the Hague international court. The decade since 1895 has Recent certainly not been free from wars, but during the arbitration. same period there have been about a hundred settlements by arbitration, of which many have been very important, and others have been of the most difficult and delicate nature, e.g., the boundary dispute between Chile and the Argentine Republic, the British-Venezuelan boundary dispute, the Alaska boundary controversy, and the North Sea affair. The latter was really an instance of arbitration, though it was adjusted by a commission of inquiry.

The Alaska boundary dispute (1903), between Alaska Great Britain and the United States, was decided (1903). by a commission of somewhat unusual constitution. It consisted of "six impartial jurists of repute who should consider judicially the questions submitted to them,"1 chosen equally by the British sovereign and the President of the United States. The decision was not unanimous, the two Canadian commissioners dissenting, but its validity was not thereby affected. The greatest difficulty in these proceedings was found

¹ The criticism of this expression, as applied by the United States, recalls the strictures of Sir Henry Maine as to the constitution of international courts of arbitration generally. Cf. his "International Law," lect. xii.

in the construction by the United States of the phrase "impartial jurists of repute."

Cases referred to the Hague court. Since the establishment of the Hague court, in 1901, several controversies have been referred to it, and its decisions have been loyally accepted, e.g. the "Pious Fund" case, the Venezuela preferential payment dispute, the Japanese house tax case, and the Muscat controversy between Great Britain and France.

"Pious Fund" case. In the "Pious Fund" case, between the United States and Mexico, the court in giving its award stated the considerations of law and fact upon which the decision was based, and in this respect

¹ The arbitrators in the Pious Fund case were, for the United States, Sir E. Fry and Professor de Martens; for Mexico, Judge Guarnschelli, of Italy, and Judge Lohman, of Holland.

² In the Venezuela arbitration, the question submitted to the Hague Court was whether the debts due to the subjects of the blockading Powers had priority over those due to the peace Powers. By the award, delivered on February 27th, 1903, it was maintained that the blockading Powers, Great Britain, Germany, and Italy, were entitled to preferential treatment in the payment of such indemnities as Venezuela had, owing to the blockade, been obliged to pay.

M. Gaché, who has made an exhaustive study of this case, draws attention to several points of importance involved: "Cette étude nous a paru intéressante parce qu'elle nous permettra de faire l'application de diverses théories de droit international, comme celle du droit de protection, des nationaux à l'étranger et celle du blocus maritime, de préciser la véritable portée contemporaine de la doctrine de Monroe, et de mettre en jeu le fonctionnement des commissions mixtes internationales et de la cour d'arbitrage de la Haye" (op. cit., pp. 1—2).

established an important precedent. It is to be noted that in this statement the court asserted the principle of res adjudicata, a private law doctrine Res thus being applied in an international dispute.

adiudicata.

A similar influence of private law exerted through the medium of arbitration is seen in the Venezuela preferential payment question, in which the doctrine of a lien obtained by a vigilant creditor on his debtor's goods is virtually adopted.

The future development of arbitration depends Prospects of arbitration. on the recognition by each State that its desires and claims are not necessarily just ones; that even to suffer some possible disadvantage, real or imaginary, as a result of an arbitral award, is not so disastrous as having recourse to an all-destructive war: that international affairs will best prosper when clear rules and principles are amicably laid down and universally accepted as law, and not treated as merely elastic maxims of subtle diplomacy, and when these principles are applied in an impartial manner by a tribunal acting in a judicial spirit, and its decisions, if properly arrived at, accepted loyally. And so the gradual but sure growth of a body of principles, calculated to adjust and regulate the relationships between States, points to the time when the employment of violent methods to exact justice will give way to the universal sovereignty of law, as Mirabeau says: "Le Droit sera un jour le Souverain du Monde."

THE RIGHTS OF NEUTRALS AND BELLIGERENTS AS TO SUBMARINE CABLES, WIRELESS TELEGRAPHY, AND INTERCEPTING OF INFORMATION IN TIME OF WAR.

SHORT BIBLIOGRAPHY.

A. Works referred to in the Course of this Essay.

- L. von Bar: "Archiv für Öffentliches Recht," XV. (1900).
- J. L. E. Bujac: "La Guerre Hispano-Américaine" (Paris, 1901).
- G. C. Buzzati: "L'Offesa e la Difesa Nella Guerra" (Roma, 1888).
- C. van Bynkershoek: "Quæstiones Juris Publici" (Lugduni Batavorum, 1737).
- C. van Bynkershoek: "De Dominio Maris" (Hagæ Batavorum, 1703).
- C. Calvo: "Le Droit International" (Paris, 1888, etc.).
- Demosthenes, ed. R. Whiston, 2 vols. (London, 1859-1868).
- P. Fiore: "Trattato di Diritto Internazionale Pubblico," 3 vols. (Torino, 1882—1887).
- J. Fischer: "Die Telegraphie und das Völkerrecht" (1876).
- C. Gareis: "Institutionen des Völkerrechts" (1901).
- A. W. Heffter: "Das Europäische Völkerrecht der Gegenwart" (Berlin, 1888).
- P. Heilborn: "System des Völkerrechts" (Berlin, 1896).
- Sir E. Hertslet: "Collection of Treaties."
- F. E. von Liszt: "Das Völkerrecht Systematisch Dargestellt" (Berlin, 1905).
- C. Lueder, in Holtzendorff's "Handbuch des Völkerrechts," IV., 4 vols. (Berlin, 1885—1890).
- Sir J. Macdonell, in Nineteenth Century (July, 1904).
- T. Ortolan: "Diplomatie de la Mer," 2 vols. (Paris, 1864).
- A. Rivier: "Principes du Droit des Gens," 2 vols. (Paris, 1896).
- F. Scholz: "Krieg und Seekabel" (Berlin, 1904).
- Captain Squier: "Proceedings of the United States Naval Institute" (1901).

- Ullmann: "Völkerrecht" (Freiburg, 1898).
- "Annuaire de l'Institut de Droit International," Vols. III., IV., V., XIX.
- "Journal de Droit International Privé" (1898).
- "Nouvelle Revue Historique" (1897).
- "Revue de Droit International," Vols. XII., XV.
- "Revue Générale de Droit International Public," Vol. VIII. (1901).

B. Other Works consulted.

- T. Baty: "International Law in South Africa" (London, 1900).
- A. Bello: "Principios de Derecho Internacional," 2 vols. (Madrid, 1883).
- H. Bonfils: "Manuel de Droit International Public" (Paris, 1905).
- F. Despagnet: "Cours de Droit International Public" (Paris, 1894).
- C. Dupuis: "Le Droit de la Guerre Maritime" (Paris, 1899).
- W. E. Hall: "International Law" (Oxford, 1904).
- A. S. Hershey: "International Law and Diplomacy of the Russo-Japanese War" (New York, 1906).
- F. H. R. Kleen: "Lois et Usages de la Neutralité," 2 vols. (Paris, 1900).
- B. Kraemer: "Die Unterseelichen Telegraphenkabel in Kriegszeiten" ("Rostocker Rechtwissenschaftliche Studien") (1903).
- A. T. Lawrence: "War and Neutrality in the Far East" (1904).
- A. G. J. A. Mérignhac: "Lois et Coutumes de la Guerre sur Terre" (Paris, 1903).
- V. Miceli: "Filosofia del Diritto Internazionale" (Firenze, 1889).
- E. Nys: "Le Droit International" (Bruxelles, 1904—1906).
- L. Oppenheim: "International Law" (London, 1905).
- P. F. Perels: "Das Internationale Öffentliche Seerecht der Gegenwart" (Berlin, 1903).
- Sir R. Phillimore: "International Law" (London, 1885).

- F. E. Smith and N. W. Sibley: "International Law in the Russo-Japanese War" (1907).
- S. Takahashi: "Cases on International Law during the Chino-Japanese War" (Cambridge, 1899).
- H. Taylor: "Treatise on International Public Law." (Chicago, 1901).
- J. Westlake: "International Law" (Cambridge, 1904-7).
- H. Wheaton: "Elements of International Law" (London, 1904).

RIGHTS OF NEUTRALS THE AND AS BELLIGERENTS TO SUB-CABLES. MARINE WIRELESS TELEGRAPHY, AND INTERCEPT-ING OF INFORMATION IN OF WAR.

THE modern age is pre-eminently characterised Relations by complexity of life, by manifold relationships existing between States no less than between the individuals themselves constituting those States. At no time was Aristotle's dictum as to man's "political" condition truer than it is to-day. And just as the members of a State, regarded as a unity, are manifestly interdependent, so the States of the world, regarded as a unity, are inevitably related to each other. Hence recognised rules and principles are necessary in both cases for preserving harmony and furthering the interests of mankind. And if war between two States is a departure from their normal condition, there are still rules and principles which, it is held, have been firmly established, which apply to nations at war with each other, and which they are bound to accept.

In the recent Russo-Japanese war, for example, Law and many Russian practices were pointed out to have

been contrary to international law, at least to previous usage. But where usage merges into law is a very difficult question. Indeed, some writers have held that international usages can never become law in the strict sense of the word. in consequence of the absence of a sovereign authority to enforce those usages. They say the principles underlying them can at best amount only to a body of international ethics; and, as Sir Henry Maine has so often pointed out, in the political development of a nation its ethics precede its legal system. A good deal of the controversy as to the force and significance of international law is due to an ambiguous use of the term law; some jurists use it exclusively in the strict sense of nomos, others in the wider sense of If the latter be accepted, then it is not necessary to insist on the existence of some specific supreme authority, for common usage and mutual consent are, or should be, of sufficient force to regulate the conduct of international affairs; and when mutual consent to rules and principles is given by States in the most solemn and formal manner, the resulting treaties have virtually all the significance of law as between those signatory States at least.

Recent growth of international law Much has been done in recent years towards the establishment of rules of international law, and the unification and harmonising of the conflicting claims of States. An epoch in this legal

history is marked by the Hague Conference, a very important consequence of which is the indication of future possibilities in the growth of the law of nations as well as a taking stock of already existing principles and usages and an examination of their bearing on modern requirements. The tendency of modern nations is to settle their disputes by amicable agreement at conferences instead of immediately resorting to war, the terrors of which, largely due to modern inventions, act as a great incentive to more peaceful methods. The establishment of the Influence of arbitration court at the Hague is of the utmost importance. The Institute of International Law has done most useful work, especially in regard to the preparation of matters for discussion at the Hague conferences, though, its members not being strictly official representatives of their States, the work of the Institute is in some quarters not countenanced. The heads of States fear to commit themselves too readily in this way. A conference was held in February, 1905, at Brussels for the purpose of doing something towards a unification of maritime law. There were representatives from most of the European States, and from the United States of America, but the British Government declined to take part in an official conference on the subject. And only just now has a meeting been held at Brussels of the Interparliamentary Union, which

conferences.

Fresh wars and fresh questions. proceeded to examine an arbitration treaty; and at the proposed London conference to be held next July¹ delegates from all parts of the world will attend to transact business preparatory to the Hague meeting. For every war brings forward certain questions which, sooner or later, will have to be considered in official conferences, and some definite international understanding thereon arrived at. The war between Japan and Russia raised various problems, of which one of the most interesting and difficult was the question as to the rights and duties of belligerent and neutral States in reference to submarine cables and wireless telegraphy.

No established laws as to submarine cahles in war,² The enormous multiplication of submarine cables, the important function they serve in international affairs and the world's commerce, and the uses they may be put to in case of war necessitate some convention relative to their employment or interference by neutrals and belligerents in time of war. The Paris Convention of 1884 refers only to times of peace, the freedom of belligerents with regard to the cables being specially reserved at the instance of Lord Lyons, who on behalf of the British Government made the following declaration: "Her Majesty's Government takes art. 15

¹ I.e., July, 1906.

² But see *infra*, on the Second Hague Conference and Submarine Cables.

to mean that in time of war a belligerent who is signatory to the Convention will be free to act with respect to submarine cables as if the Convention did not exist." No precedent or universally accepted judicial decision is even to be found on the matter. Professor Fiore Cables as emphasises the importance of cables as instru- of war. ments of war, strumenti di guerra.1 Their great value in this respect has been often exemplified since 1870. In the armies of the chief European States, and especially in France, telegraphic employés are mobilised in time of war to effect a rapid despatch of war messages. The temptations of a belligerent to cut a cable that may be used by the enemy, or by means of which the enemy procures valuable information, will be exceedingly great; and, in the absence of special treaties or agreements on the subject, its power to resist such temptation will scarcely be strong enough in the stress and excitement of war, in spite of all the "pious wishes" of institutes and jurists. Indeed, even if treaties were made for protecting cables in war, it is doubtful whether they would operate as a sufficient sanction with a closely-pressed belligerent, for under such circumstances "he would break them and trust to settling with the injured neutral on the best terms obtainable." 2

¹ "Trattato di Diritto Internazionale Pubblico" (1882— 1887), III., p. 167.

² Times, April 4th, 1904.

Hence there has been a reluctance on the part of many States to commit themselves to regulations, not only for this reason, but also because of their desire to have for guidance a sufficient accumulation of instances and illustrations of the use and treatment of cables during war.

The Institut de Droit International on cables. In the meantime various suggestions have been made, notably by the Institut de Droit International, which at the Brussels session in 1902 prescribed the limits within which the liberty of action of belligerents may be legitimately exercised, especially laid stress on the inviolability of a cable connecting two neutral territories, and condemned the destruction of any cable whatever in the territorial seas of a neutral. This is the result so far reached, but it will be interesting to trace historically the suggestions, contentions, and schemes that have from time to time been advanced relative to the protection of submarine cables.

First suggestion as to protection of cables. The successful completion of the first transatlantic cable took place in 1858, due mainly to the energies of American and British scientists and capitalists. In the very first official communication sent from the United States to London, August 5th, 1858, President Buchanan suggested the advisability of internationally protecting the cables. Their international character, determined rather by their territoriality than by the nationality of their proprietors, demands international protection.

cable (1864).

In 1864 an attempt was made to procure the Balestrini safeguarding of a certain cable in time of war. On May 16th of that year a treaty was signed by representatives of France, Brazil, Hayti, Italy, and Portugal in reference to a transatlantic cable which was proposed to be laid by a certain M. Balestrini. Art. 2 was couched to this effect: "The contracting parties engage not to cut or destroy, in the event of war, the cable submerged by M. Balestrini, and to recognise the neutrality of the telegraphic line." This clause was too vague to be of any practical service, and further, M. Balestrini failing to fulfil his engagements, the treaty never actually came into operation. The provisions, indeed, applied only to one particular cable, and even then the terms were too absolute, and could scarcely be capable of application. M. Renault 1 comments on the action of these diplomats as showing "une hardiesse un peu inconsciente," and a negligence to consult soldiers and sailors. Since 1864 there has been no attempt to conclude a treaty with similar objects; but various steps have been taken to ensure the protection of the cables as much as possible. The United States of America took United States protection was the initiative. An international convention was Cable-cutting proposed in 1869, and the suggestions were of a as piracy. very comprehensive nature. Wanton destruction of cables in the open sea, it was thought, should be regarded as piracy; the sovereignty of

^{1 &}quot;Revue de Droit International," XV., p. 18.

States on whose shores cables terminated should be affirmed; the convention should remain in force also in time of war-which in effect was a perpetual neutralisation of cables-and there was to be no restriction on the despatch of messages. Other distinctions were made which would in themselves have rendered the scheme impracti-Most of the European States, however, consented to take part in the negotiations, but England and France, whose concurrence was indispensable, showed a decided reluctance; and with the commencement of the Franco-Prussian war the project entirely fell to the ground. Many cases of cable-cutting occurred during the war, and M. Rolin Jacquemyns, the well-known Belgian international jurist, urged the making of a treaty for their protection in time of peace at He insisted that regulations to apply to the open sea were as necessary as those concerning the territorial waters of States.

Treaty suggested for protection.

Conference of Telegraphic Union at Rome (1871) In the following year a conference of the Telegraphic Union was held at Rome, where the Norwegian delegate proposed that a commission should be named for the establishment of some principles as to telegraphs in time of war. This proposal was rejected as transcending the authority of the Conference. Yet a more drastic proposal, in some respects, was made by the American representative, Mr. Cyrus Field. The destruction of lines or apparatus should be

prohibited, and innocent despatches should be Proposal to allowed in time of war; but he was unable to regulate in time of war. suggest any method by which the innocence, from the standpoint of a belligerent, of private or apparently private communications could be The Conference, however, recogguaranteed. nised the necessity of some understanding on the appointed the Italian matter, and Minister to convey its sense of such necessity to the various Powers. The Austro-Hungarian Government alone replied to his communication: "it would always be disposed to respect submarine telegraphic cables, or at least to confine itself to prevent an enemy making use of them, without in any case destroying them. Therefore it considered that an effectual means of guaranteeing their safety would be found in the institution of a commission, either of belligerents or neutrals, which should place them and keep them under sequestration." The Austrian suggestion was revived by members of subsequent conferences.

At the invitation of the Emperor of Russia, a Brussels conference was held at Brussels in 1874 for the discussion of a code of laws and usages of war. M. Veldel, the Danish delegate, proposed that landing-cables—cables d'atterrissage, that is, those connecting submarine cables to the land telegraphs -should be included under "land telegraphs," which by art. 6 were allowed to be seized by an occupying army, as a means for the prosecution

Sequestra-tion sug-gested by

on laws and usages of war (1874).

of war. But he withdrew his proposal, on the ground that his Government would later communicate with the other Governments on the subject. The chief result of the meeting was the emphatic recognition that the telegraph was an instrument effective in warfare and the consideration of acts admittedly contrary to international law, but which acts did not include the destruction of the telegraph.

Dr. Fischer's book; his "neutralisation" scheme (1876).

Two years later Dr. Fischer, an official in the German postal service, issued a book, Telegraphie und das Völkerrecht," discussing the whole question of the protection of submarine cables in peace and war. He lays down three rules for their protection from the consequences of belligerent operations: firstly, to exclude the transmission, direct or indirect, of military despatches from or to the belligerents; secondly, to subject the transmission of despatches to a strict control with a view of guarding against abuses; and thirdly, to grant the belligerents the right to decree the occasional suspension of telegraphic communications from or to the enemy's territory by those cables lying in their sphere of jurisdic-To obtain these results two plans are

¹ "Es würde nöthig sein—

[&]quot;(1) Die Beförderung aller dem Kriegszwecke unmittelbar oder mittelbar dienenden Depeschen von Kriegführenden oder an Kriegführende auszuschliessen;

[&]quot;(2) Die Depeschen Beförderung einer strengen Controle zur Abwehr von Missbräuchen zu unterwerfen;

suggested. The belligerents themselves might undertake in common the management of those cables connecting their territories with those of neutrals, working by means of a commission consisting of equal numbers of officials from each of them. These measures would be in accordance with the example of the Telegraphic Union in time of peace. But Dr. Fischer himself recognises the difficulties involved in such a plan. It would be too much to expect representatives of two States to work together amicably and harmoniously when those States are at war with each other, indeed to allow enemies on their respective territories at all. Hence he prefers the second plan,-neutralisation: "Darum wäre es vorzuziehen, dass die Kriegführenden während der Dauer des Krieges die in ihren Gebieten landenden internationalen Kabel der Verwaltung durch Neutrale übergäben" (p. 58). Thus the administration might be in the hands officials of two neutral States, selected by the belligerents. And such an arrangement, it is contended, would secure the safety of the cables, and guard against abuses during the continuance of hostilities: "So würden den Kriegsmächten ausreichende Bürgschaften

[&]quot;(3) Den Kriegführenden das Recht einzuräumen auf den ihrer Machtsphäre unterliegenden Kabeln die zeitweise Einstellung des Depeschenverkehrs von und nach dem feindlichen Gebiete zu verhängen" (p. 57).

Insuperable difficulties of "neutralisation."

unparteiische Handhabung des internationalen Dienstes und für gleichmässige gewissenhafte Ausschliessung jedes Missbrauches geboten werden." This recalls the method suggested by Bornemann for the suppression of the right of visit: a neutral commission would visit the vessel and deliver a permit for transit. with the second plan, how would it be possible to effect the prevention of despatches seemingly innocent, but possibly conveying valuable military information couched in secret terms previously contrived? As M. Renault says, "Avec toute l'impartialité possible, on n'empêchera pas la transmission de dépêches très innocentes en apparence et donnant en langage convenu des renseignements précieux sur les opérations militaires. Quand les belligérants le soupconneront, ils n'hésiteront pas à interrompre absolument le service." 2 Moreover, the word neutralisation should be avoided, because of its ambiguity, as was shown at a discussion of the Institute of International Law in reference to the Suez Canal. Buzzati³ gives further examples which show the difficulties, indeed the utter impracticability, of Fischer's neutralisation scheme. For instance, if two States are at war, and a port

¹ *Ibid.*, p. 58.

² "Revue de Droit International," XII., p. 274.

³ "L'Offesa e la Difesa nella Guerra" (Roma, 1888), pp. 293, 294.

of the one is besieged by the other, a cable connecting that port with the other State, is it likely that the military authorities would tolerate a vigilance commission or limit themselves merely to sealing up their end of the cable, and so making it possible for the enemy, in case of surrender, to further their operations and add to their successes? "La Spagna p. es. è in guerra con la Francia, e la flotta ed esercito francesi hanno assediato il porto di Barcellona. Fra Marsiglia e Barcellona esiste un cavo. A Marsiglia le autorità militari avranno già interrotto il filo telegrafico, senza però distruggere il cavo. Se il corpo d'armata spagnuolo stretto a Barcellona d'assedio e di blocco deve capitolare e cedere Barcellona al nemico, è supponible ch'egli si limiti a interrompere il cavo alla sua estremità o a consegnarlo alla commissione di vigilanza?"

At a meeting of the Institute of International Institut Law in Paris, 1878, M. Renault proposed the appointment of a committee to inquire into the at Paris (1878). methods of protecting cables in time of peace and of war. At the Brussels meeting in the following year the committee, consisting of M. Renault, of Paris, M. Bluntschli, of Heidelberg, M. Goos, of Copenhagen, M. Saripolos, of Athens, and Mr. Westlake, of London, presented its report. 1 The question of the protection of ¹ "Annuaire de l'Institut de Droit International," Vols.

III., IV. Part I., p. 351.

cables in war, which of course involves the question of the rights and duties of neutrals and belligerents, was dealt with in the second part of the report. The committee discountenanced the various proposals that had previously been made as to neutralisation—"L'Institut écarta résolûment la chimère d'une neutralisation des cables sous-marins," —and devoted itself to a more thorough consideration of the different kinds of cables, and their liabilities and exemptions. They were classified according as they lie or terminate in neutral or belligerent territory, thus:

Classification of cables.

- (1) Cables uniting two portions of the territory of the same belligerent;
- (2) Cables between the two belligerents;
- (3) Cables between a belligerent and a neutral;
- (4) Cables between two neutrals.

The classification made by General Greely,² the head of the military telegraph department during the Spanish-American war, and based on American practice, is similar to the above; and Dr. Scholz,³ a recent writer on the subject, adopts practically the same division, but by two subdivisions extends it to six categories:

Classification by Dr. Scholz.

- (1) Cables connecting only points of one of the belligerent States (amikales Kabel);
- ¹ "Journal de Droit International," XV., p. 20.
- ² Captain G. O. Squier, "Proceedings of the United States Naval Institute" (1900).
 - ³ F. Scholz, "Krieg und Seekabel" (Berlin, 1904).

- (2) Those connecting the belligerent State with a neutral (amikal-neutrales Kabel):
- (3) Those connecting the belligerent with the enemy (amikal-hostiles Kabel:)
- (4) Those connecting only points of the enemy (hostiles Kabel):
- (5) Those connecting enemy territory with neutral (hostil-neutrales Kabel);
- (6) Those connecting two points of a neutral or two neutral States (interneutrales Kabel).

The chief advantage of this distinction is to be found in the terminology adopted, which is brief, precise, and immediately calls to mind the nature of the particular cable indicated, without the use of circuitous expressions or descriptions. Before dealing with the above classes individually it may be stated here that Dr. Scholz advances Dr. Scholz's what he claims to be a new theory, namely that theory of Kabelof the territoriality of the cable, the Kabel- territorium. territorium. He regards the cable as an accessory to the territory where it terminates, and is under the same sovereignty as the latter.: "Das Kabel hat in jeder Beziehung Landqualität. . . . Das Kabel steht in seiner ganzen Strecke unter der Souveränität des Landes, dem es nach seiner örtlichen Lage zugehört." 1 He compares it to a bridge: "eine Brücke unter dem Wasser"; and the intervening sea between the ¹ Op. cit., p. 40.

Based on the principle of sovereignty.

Weakness of Dr. Scholz's theory as

applied to

neutrals and belligerents.

cables between

two terminations does not introduce any modifying factor, for the telegraph takes no account of distances: "Das Meer, das dazwischen liegt, ist für die Telegraphie, welche Entfernungen nicht kennt, und folglich für den Verkehr überhaupt bedeutungslos." 1 He emphasises repeatedly the principle of sovereignty, no matter who may be the ultimate owners of the cables, according to their territoriality: "Das Kabel steht also tatsächlich unter der alleinigen Herrschaft des Uferstaates." The old doctrine, established by Bynkershoek,2 and almost universally accepted, "terræ dominium finitur, ubi finitur armorum vis." this writer does not consider conclusive. The application of his "theory" assures the unity of maritime and terrestrial telegraphy, and, moreover, it absolutely excludes the right of capture in reference to cables, prizes applying only to private enemy property on sea, but not on land. But the theory betrays a certain weakness in its application to his fifth, and of course most important and most difficult, case, the hostilneutrales Kabel, which is made the object of a condominium. But by virtue of what rule or principle does the author regard in their relationship the interests of the belligerent as predominating over those of the neutral? is true, the action of a belligerent will depend

¹ P. 42.

² "De Dominio Maris," c. 2.

on the imperious necessities of war, but then such a precarious condition of things cannot logically be made the basis of a universal principle, determining the rights and duties of contending parties. Logical consistency is an indispensable characteristic of any sound theory; and how is it possible to secure harmony or consistency in the view of his remark that "wo neutrale und feindliche Interessen verbunden sich berechtigt ist, sind, ein Staat aber an diesen feindlichen Interessen entgegenzutreten, da steht die Rücksicht auf das neutrale Interesse nicht hinternd entgegen."1

The various cases that have presented themselves in practice may now be considered in order.

1. When the cable connects two portions of the Cable territory of the same belligerent, e.g. England with portions Ireland, Italy with Sardinia, France with Algeria. of same belligerent's

territory.

In this case the Institute at its Brussels meeting, 1879, considered that no measures were possible to ensure the continuance of telegraphic communication in time of war. The belligerent may, in its discretion, suspend the service, or make what restrictions it thinks desirable, or even destroy the cable, whether it is the property of the Government or of private owners. interference will depend simply on its municipal law. Art. 7 of the International Telegraphic Convention of St. Petersburg reserves this right

absolutely to the respective Governments. "Les hautes parties contractantes se réservent la faculté d'arrêter la transmission de tout télégramme privé qui paraîtrait dangereux pour la sécurité de l'État ou qui serait contraire aux lois du pays, l'ordre public ou aux bonnes Similarly, the enemy may destroy such a cable for by so doing it will very often derive the greatest advantage—either on the high seas or in the territorial waters of its adversary; because acts of war are legitimate both on the open sea and in territorial waters. Scholz applies his theory to show that, with regard to this hostiles cable, the belligerent may act with as much freedom as though he were on enemy territory. But here again this right is not an inference peculiar to the principle of Kabelterritorium: for the Schiffstheorie can lead, and indeed has, prior to the application of the more novel theory, already led, to a like conclusion. In the troubles of Brazil. 1893, the submarine cable lying in the Bay of Rio de Janeiro was broken by the Government as the revolutionary fleet entered the bay; the latter also cut the cable between Mangaratiba and Ilha Grande. Also, in the war of 1898, the Americans cut at Cienfuegos the cable along the coast of Cuba, between Havana and Santiago.

Cable between the two belligerents. 2. When the cable connects the territories of the two belligerents, e.g., a cable between Florida and Havana.

Either belligerent is entitled to interrupt the communications, and there is no way to prevent his so doing. A State is under no obligation to maintain communication with its enemy; for the latter may abuse such communication to the serious injury of the former. An analogous case has been referred to by M. Renault in connection with the once projected Channel tunnel; the Governments of England and France expressly reserved to themselves the right to destroy the tunnel for purposes of defence. During the war Practice in of 1877 between Russia and Turkey, the cable connecting Constantinople and Odessa was cut by the Turks at the very commencement of the Similarly in 1882, in the war between Chili and Peru, several attempts were made to destroy the cables uniting their principal ports. In the Chino-Japanese war, 1894, there was a somewhat new departure; the proprietors of the cables undertook to maintain a strict neutrality, and gave their services to the two belligerents alike; and consequently neither of them attempted to damage the cables, the service continuing as regularly as in time of peace. And so in 1898, General Greely Treatment did not consider it necessary to cut the line American between Havana and Key West; but the communications were subjected to a severe censorship at both extremities of the cable. The head office at Key West was occupied by the military authorities. Only telegrams in clear language

from or to Havana were allowed, if they had reference to private or commercial matters. Governor-General of Cuba acted likewise, and so all messages underwent a double censorship. the least suspicion were aroused as to the transmitter, or his purpose, the despatch was either refused or suppressed. By way of exception, and only as a mark of courtesy, telegrams in cipher, forwarded by diplomatic agents of neutral States, were admitted. Again, the offices of the six cables joining the United States with territories more distant from the scene of war were not actually occupied, but were placed under military The staff remained, but a written control. engagement was required to observe the rules that may be laid down by the general or his deputy. It was especially prohibited to receive or send messages from or for Spain, or to deal with certain matters considered prejudicial to military interests. If any doubt arose, the telegrams were sent to the military censor, who . decided after examination. The stations of cables lying between the United States and a country in communication with the enemy were also militarily occupied, and messages subjected to censorship, with the exception of only those of Government officials and of neutral diplomatic agents. These measures of General Greely were afterwards embodied in an article in the naval war code of the United States (1900), -which, how-

Rule in U.S. Naval War Code (1900).

ever, was recalled in February, 1904. Art. 5, paragraph 1, of the code stated that "submarine telegraphic cables between points in the territory of an enemy, or between the territory of the United States and that of an enemy, are subject to such treatment as the necessities of war may require." The Institute of International Law at its Brussels meeting in 1902 adopted the rule: "Le câble reliant les territoires de deux belligérants on deux parties du territoire d'un des belligérants peut être coupé partout, excepté dans la mer territoriale et dans les eaux neutralisées dépendant d'un territoire neutre."1

3. When the cable connects two neutral Cable territories. There is no hesitation about the between two neutrals. rule here; there is a unanimous agreement as to the inviolability of the cable, and even a momentary interference with it in any way by a belligerent is clearly unjustifiable. The rule of the Institute at the Brussels meeting in 1902 is stated briefly, "Le câble sous-marin reliant deux territoires neutres est inviolable"; and the United States Naval War Code used indentical terms in art. 5, section 3, "Submarine telegraph cables between two neutral territories shall be held inviolable and free from interruption." And so also Scholz, applying his principle of cable territoriality, infers that the "interneutrales Kabel" being accessory to the neutral territory

1 "Annuaire de l'Institut," XIX. (1902), p. 331.

is also neutral, and hence to be respected by the belligerents as much as the actual territory itself of the neutral States.

Cable
between
belligerent
and neutral.
Most difficult case.

4. When the cable connects the territory of a belligerent with that of a neutral.

This is at once seen to be the most difficult case.

According to the right of sovereignty recognised by the St. Petersburg Convention, a belligerent connected by a cable with a neutral has the right to restrict or suppress telegraphic intercourse with it, but the other belligerent, in the opinion of the committee of the Institute of International Law at the Brussels meeting in 1879, should respect it, as communication between neutrals and belligerents is permitted. If, however, he gets possession of that part of the adversary's territory containing the extremity of the cable, he would be entitled to destroy it, if necessary for his defence, in consequence of the rights accruing from occupation. But the rule as to freedom of communication between neutrals and belligerents is subject to important exceptions; for example, communicating with a blockaded port, and carriage of contraband, which may include despatches. The committee in the end agreed that no definite rule in this case could be formulated, for belligerents will be actuated by the necessities arising in warfare.

The St. Petersburg Convention It has been said that the St. Petersburg Convention applies exclusively to land telegraphs,

and that the Paris Convention of 1884 has alone really applies to submarine reference to submarine cables. But the practice cables also. in recent wars by no means justified this distinction; for the earlier convention in reality includes sea cables by tacitly assimilating them to land cables. This position will perhaps be made clearer by a brief examination of the rights and duties in general of belligerents and neutrals in regard to the land telegraph and sea cables.

What are the rights of belligerents on their Rights of belligerents on their on their on their own own territory?

territory.

Every independent State is supreme on its own territory. It may adopt what laws and institutions it pleases so long as they are not directly contrary to the general civilisation of the "family of States" to which it belongs, and are not injurious to the other members of that family. Under no conditions whatever can a State be deprived of the right of self-preservation, and in case of self-defence during hostilities all other interests are wholly subordinate to its own legitimate interests. Thus, in the absence of international conventions involving particular restrictions, a State has the right to control the telegraphic service on territory under its jurisdiction, to effect a discontinuance of all telegraphic communication, or to forbid the transmission of despatches of a certain nature. Of course, the lesser right is contained in the greater. And against such interference, assumed

The St. Petersburg Convention (1875).

Division of telegrams.

Restrictions of arts. 7 and 8 of St. Petersburg Convention.

to be necessary, the senders of messages, the owners of the lines, and other States involved can have no valid claim. Thus, in 1870, telegraphic communication between France and Germany was suppressed at the outbreak of the war, and was only resumed five years later. But a somewhat new condition of things was introduced by the Convention of 1875, relating to those States forming part of the Telegraphic Union. There is no special mention in any of the articles as to the telegraphic relationship of the various signatory States in time of war, but the terms are sufficiently comprehensive to imply war. Messages are divided into three classes-first, State telegrams between heads or ministers of the Governments in their official capacity; secondly, those passing between the administrative heads of the telegraphic departments relating to their administration; and thirdly, private telegrams. Cipher or secret language may be used in the first two kinds, and in the third only between States consenting to such usage. Then the restrictions tained in arts. 7 and 8 come in. Art. 7 gives each signatory State an absolute control over messages, and art. 8 a power of private temporarily suspending the entire service, on condition of notifying to this effect the other contracting Governments.1 Now these

¹ Hertslet's Collection of Treaties, XIV., 96-7.

articles apply also in time of war; for indeed the rights implied follow as a natural consequence from the principle of State sovereignty. As M. Renault says, "C'est une conséquence du droit de souveraineté que chaque État se réserve ainsi d'exercer d'une manière absolue, suivant ses intérêts et sans avoir à rendre compte aux autres États de sa conduite." 1 It will be seen that the restriction in art. 7 is applicable only to private despatches, and to private despatches, moreover, of every kind. This is confirmed by the Buda-Pesth Regulations, 1896. The third paragraph of art. 46 says, "La transmission des télégrammes d'État et des télégrammes de service se fait de droit. Les bureaux télégraphiques n'ont aucun contrôle à exercer sur ces télégrammes."

M. Desjardins insists that the St. Petersburg Does the St. Convention comprises telegraph of all kinds, Convention submarine as much as terrestrial, as the former submarine were before 1875 assimilated to the latter, at least in so far as the landing-cables and those in terrestrial waters are concerned: for the Paris Convention regards only cables in the open sea. Hence, the States on whose territory cables land

Petersburg cables?

¹ "Nouvelle Revue Historique" (1877), p. 449. Cf. also the remark of Fiore ("Trattato di Diritto Internazionale Pubblico," III., p. 167): "Non vi è dubbio che ciascuna delle parti belligeranti possa sospendere il servizio telegrafico nel proprio territorio ed anche per i terzi stati che volessero servirsi delle sue linee. Questo è un diritto di sovranità."

Recent examples to show the affirmative.

have a jurisdiction over them in time of war, in accordance with arts. 7 and 8. In recent wars many instances have occurred which emphasise this consequence. In the last Russo-Turkish war, secret language on lines between Russia and Turkey was forbidden. In 1882, the Egyptian Government forbade cipher, and permitted only communications in certain prescribed languages. Three years later the same Government suspended messages going to or coming from Suakim excepting those which were manifestly private or commercial: the latter had to be couched in clear language, and limited to English, French, and Italian languages. State telegrams, however, were free from such restrictions. Again, in the case of the Dahomey expedition in 1892, France disallowed the use of secret language in certain kinds of messages, and adopted the same course of action in the Madagascar campaign of 1895. More important examples occurred in the Spanish-American war. The head-office of the American cables at Key West was under military occupation, and the most rigorous censorship was exercised on all messages. In this war, which, as Scholz observes, was "zu einem grossen Teil ein Kabelkrieg," the belligerents themselves mainly suffered through telegraphic interferences; but in the Transvaal war, neutral States were also affected. All communications with South Africa

¹ Op. cit., p. 31.

were placed under the control of the censor. October 11th, 1899, a despatch forwarded to Madagascar by a French commercial company was intercepted. On January 2nd of the following Interception of messages year another telegram though in clear language by Great Britain. was stopped. These measures, notwithstanding the consequent injury inflicted on neutral commerce, were adopted in the case of all telegrams, no matter of what origin they were. Towards the end of October, 1899, many protests were made against this action in the continental press, especially in Germany; and so on March 21st, 1900, the British Government authorised the use of certain codes. But even in this case, no absolute concession was made; for the declaration stated specifically that all messages whatever would be subjected to the established censorship, and would be transmitted at the risk of the There were more violent outbursts of protestation against this proceeding, and in fact the Cologne Gazette of January 6th, 1900, stated that the Russian Government had actually forwarded circulars to the chief States, inquiring whether such conduct was consistent with the St. Petersburg Convention.

In truth, Great Britain had not committed any Action of Great Britain infraction of this convention. Her action resulted in accordance from a thorough application of arts. 7 and 8, and Convention. so far was legitimate, though in some quarters on the continent complaint was made of excessive

rigour in certain cases. Commandant Bujac¹ contrasts England's severe measures exercised in her own war, with her "complaisance inexcusable" shown to the United States in the war with Spain. He points out that of the fourteen lines which connected Europe with America, twelve belonged to English companies, and messages were allowed to be forwarded to the United States containing information relative to To what extent this accusation is true the war. cannot be said; at any rate, in England's own war self-preservation and the advancement of her cause called for the strictest vigilance and censorship, and she was guided by the exigencies of the occasion; whereas in the Spanish-American war there was not such great need for similar rigour, and a less degree of strictness is not necessarily tantamount to a violation of neutrality.

Thus, it appears that the right of a belligerent State on its own territory is of the largest nature possible. This is allowed by the convention, and has been clearly exemplified in a large number of cases in recent warfare.

Now what are the rights of a belligerent on the enemy's territory in case of occupation?

The connecting-cable, that is, that portion which is on land, and connects the cable proper with the land telegraph ought to be regarded in

1 "La Guerre Hispano-Américaine," referred to by F. Rey in "Revue Générale de Droit International Public" (1901).

Rights of a belligerent on occupied enemy's territory. Distinction between connecting cable and câble d' atterrissage.

the same light as the land telegraph itself; whereas the landing cables—the câbles d'atterrissage—which connect the coast with the cables lying in deep water are not considered in the same category; their assimilation, which was proposed at conferences in Brussels and at the Hague, was not allowed. Things in general may be divided according as they are, or are not, instruments of war; and telegraphic apparatus may furnish an instrument of the utmost importance in war. belligerent occupying an enemy territory does not acquire an absolute right over everything. Only over the means of war does he obtain not merely possession but also property, and consequently is permitted to use them, to sell them, or dispose of them in any other manner, and even to destroy them. But in regard to those objects Occupation and objects which are not instruments of war, occupation not instrudoes not carry with it a right of destruction or confiscation, but only a right of use; "il doit les administrer selon leur destination pacifique." According to the regulations concerning the laws and customs of war on land, which were revised at the Hague in 1899, a belligerent entering into occupation of his enemy's territory may regard railways and telegraphs as accessories to the soil. If they belong to the State no indemnity is due for use or damage whilst in use, on evacuation. But if they are the property of private persons, an indemnity is due for use and damage incurred.

on the principle that private property is not subject to confiscation.

Rights of a belligerent in occupation as to the telegraph.

Thus the belligerent in occupation may make what use of the telegraph he deems necessary for his own communication, but of course he will be obliged to allocate his own officials to the various branches of the service. He is permitted also to put the whole telegraphic service under sequestration and suppress it. In case the occupation be only temporary, and he is compelled to withdraw, he may cut the wires and disorganise the In the Spanish-American war, various apparatus. neutral cable stations in Cuba and Porto Rico came into the possession of the Americans, who allowed the proprietary companies one of two alternatives, either to give up their property entirely, or to continue the service under military The cables terminating in occupied territory were of great use to the Americans, who also made use of those of Jamaica and Hayti. Such being the rights of belligerents as to the land telegraph and submarine cables, so far as it is possible to exercise such rights over the cables, what are their rights on sea?

Rights of belligerents as to cables on sea.

The Paris Convention (1884). The Paris convention of 1884, to which the chief powers of the world were signatory, afforded protection to submarine cables to the following effect:—

(1) Intentional or culpably negligent damage to a cable in the open sea is to be punished by all

the signatory powers, except when such damage is caused through self-preservation.

- (2) Ships must keep at a certain distance from buoys indicating cables which are being laid or which are damaged.
- (3) The Courts of the flag State of the infringing vessel are exclusively competent to deal with such offences.
- (4) Men-of-war of all signatory powers have a right to stop and verify the nationality of merchantmen of all nations which are suspected of having infringed the regulations of the treaty. This convention, however, contains no clause No application to war. relative to a state of war; for art. 15 specifically states: "La convention ne s'applique pas en cas de guerre et les États bélligerants conservent leur liberté d'action." At the time of the Spanish-American war, the question was raised in the House of Commons as to the right of belligerents to cut a cable in the open sea. Mr. Balfour in reply stated that "a convention to which Great Britain, Spain, and the United States were parties, was concluded at Paris on March 14th, 1884, providing for the protection of submarine cables. But by art. 15 thereof, in times of war a belligerent signatory to the convention was free to act with respect to submarine cables as if the convention did not exist. He was not prepared, therefore, to say that a belligerent on the ground of military exigency would under no circumstances be justified

in interfering with cables between the territory of the opposing power and any other part of the world."

Belligerents and cables in the open sea.

Vagueness and elasticity of International Law.

It is at present extremely difficult to settle with any degree of definiteness the rights of belligerents in the open sea as to cables connecting them with neutrals. Their position on their own territory with regard to the same cables has been shown above; but as to their legitimate powers outside their territory and outside enemy territory there is no precise law. Indeed there is no absolute agreement amongst States respecting the laws of maritime warfare in general; and in so far as rules of international law exist, they have been in various cases subjected to different interpretations by the States. Of course there are some rules which are no doubt established law; and it cannot by any means be said, because of the indefiniteness and doubtful character of certain regulations and of the controverted significance of others, that the rights of belligerents on sea are unlimited, even though necessity press hard. As M. Rey says: "Mais il est certains principes, comme ceux proclamés dans la déclaration de Paris, que la conscience universelle des peuples a imposés aux gouvernements et dont la force morale est si grande que les États qui n'avaient pas voulu s'engager à les respecter, n'ont pas osé violer On peut donc dire que la liberté

¹ Times, April 27th, 1898.

des belligérants n'est pas absolue et qu'il existe un droit de la guerre qui s'impose à tous les peuples civilisés, avec plus ou moins de force suivant qu'ils ont la conscience nette ou obscure de leur responsabilité devant l'humanité." 1 may say, in general, that cables which are not purposes utilised for military purposes should be respected by both belligerents, no matter who the owners are. Thus, during the Spanish-American war, Spain refrained from cutting the cables connecting the United States with Europe, in spite of the great advantages derived from the communication by her enemy. But here lies the great difficulty. What guarantee has a belligerent that a line between her enemy and a neutral is used only for purely private and commercial purposes, and does not convey military information to her enemy? So long as this uncertainty will exist, such cables will be cut by a belligerent for the sake of self-defence.

Cables not utilised for

Since war and commerce began there has Antagonism been the antagonism between the rights of rights or neutrals and the rights of belligerents. Neutrals and of must throughout a war preserve an attitude of Duties of strict impartiality. Grotius terms neutrals medii neutrals. in bello, and the few words he says on the duties of neutrality are to the point and furnish an admirable rule, although the principle of

^{1 &}quot;Revue Générale de Droit International Public," VIII. (1901), p. 725.

Duties of belligerents. neutrality was, in his time, in its infancy, "Eorum qui a bello abstinent officium est nihil facere, quo validior fiat is qui improbam favet causam, aut quo justum bellum gerentis motus impediantur, in re vero dubia aequos se praebere utrisque in permittendo transitu, in commeatu praebendo legionibus, in obsessis non sublevandis." 1 On the other hand, a belligerent must not suppress a neutral's legitimate intercourse, especially its innocent commerce with the enemy. There are some continental writers² who hold that no rights accrue to a neutral State because of its impartiality, and hence no corresponding duties on the part of a belligerent, on the ground that acts which have not to be committed to a neutral's injury in time of war must similarly be avoided in time of peace. But this ground is invalid, as not all cases are covered, for example, the non-appropriation of enemy goods on neutral vessels.

Essence of neutrality.

The essence of impartiality is abstaining from active, or, what is sometimes more important, passive co-operation with either of the belligerents. War must be legitimate, and not anarchy; and certain relationships between

¹ III., c. 17.

² E.g., Heffter, "Das Europäische Völkerrecht der Gegenwart" (1888), § 149; Gareis, "Institutionen des Völkerrechts" (1901), § 88; Heilborn, "System des Völkerrechts" (1896), p. 341; and others

neutrals and belligerents must be preserved. But there have been marked oscillations practice, of the rights of belligerents and in regard neutrals; a powerful State at war would naturally relationship. assert to the full its rights, and often claim an extension of them. Thus the views of English jurists conscious of the maritime supremacy of England, have often been opposed by the views of the continental writers; and Britain has been denounced as egotistical and tyrannical. Since the middle of the nineteenth century there has been a tendency "to insist that, peace being the normal order of things, the interests of neutrals should prevail in a conflict with those of belligerents," 1 though in view of the events in the recent Russo-Japanese war, it would seem as though a re-assertion of belligerent rights had unmistakably been manifested, though not without strong protests from many quarters. As the Lord Chancellor said at the meeting of the Institute of International Law at Edinburgh, in November, 1904, "Because two nations go to war they have no right to interrupt and interfere with the commerce of the world. They must recognise that people who are not engaged in the quarrel have a right to carry on their commerce."

in Variability of practice

It has been asserted, often in the heat of con-Does the troversy, that the right of commerce having

right of telegraphic communication follow

¹ Sir John Macdonell, Nineteenth Century, July, 1904.

from right of commerce?

Restrictions on neutrals.

Despatches as contraband. munication follows as a natural consequence. The conclusion would be more true if the premise were; for there are exceptions to such freedom of commerce. In the first place, a neutral State cannot trade by loading belligerent vessels with their goods, without exposing themselves to the risk of having their cargo turned away from the route. Further, a neutral is not permitted to communicate with blockaded ports; hence from this duty of forbearance would seem to follow the corresponding belligerent right to cut a cable running from a neutral territory to the blockaded port. And again neutrals cannot convey contraband to belligerent territory. whether blockaded or not. And despatches may undoubtedly partake of the nature of contraband, for telegraphic communications are not necessarily "pacific," and the same supervision cannot be exercised over them as over despatch-vessels, in which case a belligerent, to obtain greater security for himself, will have no alternative but to cut the cable.

been established, the right of telegraphic com-

From the duty of impartiality incumbent upon a neutral follows his obligation to prevent his men-of-war, his diplomatic envoys, or couriers from giving information relative to the war to either of the belligerents. There has been some difference of opinion as to whether a neutral ought to stop couriers carrying

despatches from a belligerent over his neutral territory. This is related to the question Ought a neutral whether a neutral ought to allow telegraphic to allow messages from a belligerent over his territory. messages Calvo supposes a case where there are two belligerent neighbouring and allied belligerents with neutral state lying between them; and he comes to the conclusion that it is no infraction of neutrality to permit despatches, telegraphic or otherwise, to cross its frontiers, since it is entirely ignorant of the nature of their contents, and has no right to investigate their purport; for telegrams in cipher do not prima facie relate to warfare. "Accuser le neutre de manquer à ses devoirs en pareille occurrence, ce serait comme si l'on traitait de complice une administration des postes, pour avoir transporté la correspondance de deux voleurs méditant un mauvais coup." 1 Messages, however, sent by telephone or telegrams in ordinary language are controllable, though even here one cannot strictly say that an absolute duty lies on a neutral to prevent such communication. It depends on the fact, scarcely determinable, whether there is a deliberate connivance on the part of the neutral, and a clear intention to benefit one belligerent to the detriment of the other. The rule, in this respect, of the Institute of International Law, at its Brussels meeting of 1902,

telegraphic a territory

¹ Calvo, "Le Droit International," IV. (1888), p. 521.

is thus expressed, "Il est entendu que la liberté de l'État neutre de transmettre des dépêches n'implique pas la faculté d'en user on d'en permettre l'usage manifestement pour prêter assistance à l'un des belligérants." But it would seem no violation of neutrality if cable despatches were admitted for both opposing belligerents alike, and without any partiality, subject to the common agreement of the belligerents, for then the cable would virtually become neutralised.

Belligerent laying a cable on neutral territory.

It is otherwise if a belligerent is about to lay a cable on neutral territory, to facilitate his war communication: it would be an abuse of neutral territory, and the neutral State must prevent it. Thus at the time of the Franco-Prussian war. Great Britain, owing to her neutrality, refused to consent to the laying of a cable by the French from Dunkirk to the north of France, the cable to go from France to England, then back to France. More recently in the Spanish-American war, Great Britain similarly refused her consent to the laying of a cable by the United States from Manila to Hong Kong. The American Government acquiesced in the British decision, which was later followed by their own Attorney-General. Dr. Scholz embodies this practice in his rule: "Es ist mit den Pflichten eines neutralen Staates nicht vereinbar auf seinem Staatsgebiet die Landung eines Kabels zu gestatten, das von dem Landgebiet eines der beiden kriegführenden Staaten ausgehen soll." 1

Some writers have held that certain kinds of Despatches despatches should be treated as contraband of traband. war, and that a vessel carrying persons or despatches to a belligerent is capturable by the enemy. But contraband—whether absolute or conditional, whether things "quae in bello tantum usum habent," to use the phrase of Grotius, or things which are "usus ancipitis"—consists of goods only and never of persons or despatches. Yet telegraphic despatches may be regarded as Telegraphic despatches quasi-contraband, as also despatches and persons as quasion board a vessel destined for a belligerent. The analogy to contraband lies not in the intrinsic character of the acts or objects themselves, but rather in the nature of the remedy available in regard to them, that is they may be intercepted on the open sea or in the territorial waters of a belligerent by force, if necessary, and captured. Mail steamers are not entirely privileged, for the Liability mail-bags are subject to the right of search; but steamers. the practice has somewhat varied in different wars, though there is a tendency to modify the rigour of the rule.2 The criterion as to what is

¹ Op. cit., p. 157.

² At the Second Hague Conference, mail-bags—whether of an official or of a private nature—have been rendered inviolable, even though the bags or the ships carrying them are belligerent. In case of the vessel's seizure, the captor must without delay forward the bags. Thus, art. 1 of Convention XI. says: "La

or is not contraband, and incidentally as to the partiality or otherwise of a non-combatant State, may be expressed in the vigorous words of Demosthenes: "That person, whoever he be, who prepares and furnishes the means of my destruction, he makes war upon me though he have never cast a javelin or drawn a bow against me."

If destruction permissible, where may a cable be cut?
Not in neutral

waters.

Now assuming the destruction of the cable to be allowed by international law, the question arises in what places it may be cut.²

A cable may not be cut in neutral waters,—a rule which follows naturally from the recognition of the principle of State sovereignty, as shown above. Similarly, art. 2 of the instructions issued by the United States Navy Department in 1900 declares that no act of hostility whatever can be exercised in the territorial waters of a neutral State,—the duty of a belligerent correlative to the right of another State in virtue of its correspondance postale des neutres ou des belligérants, quel que soit son caractère officiel ou privé, trouvée en mer sur un navire neutre ou ennemi, est inviolable. S'il y a saisie du navire, elle est expédiée avec le moins de retard possible par le capteur.

Les dispositions de l'alinéa précédent ne s'appliquent pas, en cas de violation de blocus, à la correspondance qui est à destination ou en provenance du port bloqué" (Rev. de droit int., 1907, No. 6).

^{1 &}quot; O γαρ, οἷς ἂν έγὼ ληφθείην, ταῦτα πράττων καὶ κατασκευαζόμενος, οὖτος έμοὶ πολεμεῖ κἂν μήπω βάλλη, μηδὲ τοξεύη."

Whiston's "Demosthenes," I., 209. uent remarks will illustrate and supplement

² The subsequent remarks will illustrate and supplement the cases already considered.

neutrality. Besides, art. 3, paragraph 1, of the St. Petersburg Convention puts the telegraph under the protection of each State within the limits of its jurisdiction.

It has already been indicated that a belligerent entering into occupation of enemy territory may treat the latter's land telegraph and cables also on the shore as accessories to the territory. But has he also a right to destroy cables in the enemy's Cables in territorial waters? This right has generally been enemy's territorial conceded in theory. Thus art. 5, paragraph 2, of the United States Naval War Code says that "submarine telegraph cables between the territory of an enemy and neutral territory may be interrupted within the territorial jurisdiction of the enemy." M. von Bar maintains that belligerents have no such right; that occupation of the territorial sea in order to be effective must imply occupation of the shores also; and that a belligerent's right to interrupt communication between neutrals and the enemy will follow only from Effective occupation of the enemy territory or blockade. blockade as the "Der einzige Rechtsgrund für das Abschneiden oder die Beschlagnahme eines Kabels, welches einen neutralen Staat mit dem feindlichen verbindet, dürfte vielmehr das Recht der Verkehrshinderung sein, und dies existirt nur in dem Falle der Blokade und in dem Falle einer wirklichen Occupation feindlichen Territoriums."1

criterion.

^{1 &}quot;Archiv fur Öffentliches Recht," XV. (1900), p. 418.

Difficulty as to exact extent of territorial waters. The difficulty, however, lies in the determination of the exact extent of the territorial waters: for, if destruction is authorised in a territorial sea, it might also take place beyond, for what is the line of demarcation between the territorial sea and the high sea? Some writers wish to fix the limit at a distance of three miles from the shore (the Institute of International Law at Paris in 1894 considered six marine miles necessary), and others1 to such a distance that the intervening sea may be effectively commanded from the coast either by guns or by means of a coast-guard, which is in accordance with the maxim of Bynkershoek: "Terrae potestas finitur ubi finitur armorum It is also pointed out that the possible reservation by a State to its own subjects of the exclusive right of fishing is a confirmation of the fact that a maritime belt may be appropriated. Ortolan denies the right of property, and allows only the right of supremacy and jurisdiction,3 and Calvo uses almost identical terms.4 Professor Holland holds that telegraphic communication may be interrupted not only in strictly territorial waters but even at a distance from the shore

¹ Cf. Despagnet ("Droit International Public," p. 444): "La mer territoriale est celle qui est adjacente au rivage, jusqu'à la limite où l'Etat peut, de la côte, exercer sa puissance par la force des armes."

² "Quaestiones Juris Publici."

³ "Diplomatie de la Mer," II., c. 7.

⁴ Op. cit., § 244.

where a blockading squadron might reasonably be placed.1

It is possible, however, to go too far in the Maritime assimilation of maritime warfare with land war- land warfare fare. In the latter case occupation is of course possible, and with it possession and property of enemy goods; but in the former case, one can scarcely say with strict accuracy that the sea is capable of that absolute seizure which constitutes occupation, though it is not denied that a belligerent may make war on the enemy in his very territorial waters. So that any attempts on cables that may be justified at all are the natural results of supremacy at sea, and not of a right of property or possession.

not identical.

Is the destruction of the cable in territorial Destruction waters of the enemy a result of right of angary? of capies and right Some jurists have tried to demonstrate justification on this ground. But the right of angarywhich, however, was condemned by the Institute of International Law in 1898—involves a positive use, in the interests of a belligerent, of a thing belonging to a neutral; whereas the destruction of a cable is an act rather of a negative character, depriving the enemy of certain resources, and purposely intending his injury. Further, angary supposes the use of the thing on an occupied territory, but the occupation of the territorial sea is by no means universally recognised.

of angary.

¹ "Journal de droit international privé" (1898), p. 650.

Destruction of cables on the high sea.

With regard to cables on the high sea, Mr. Morse absolutely denies the right of destruction. Professor Holland allows cutting at a distance where blockade is possible, but as a blockade by cruisers is permissible by the Anglo-American doctrine, the distance may be rather considerable. M. von Bar, instead of considering the possibility of blockade, adopts the continental doctrine of effectivity. Indeed, some jurists go even further and assert that in those cases where cable-cutting is permissible at all, it is permissible also on the open sea. In the United States Naval War Code, art. 5, interruption of cables is specifically allowed within the territorial jurisdiction of the enemy, but on the high sea it is not stated as inadmissible. Captain Squier of the United States Signalling Corps says that in the Spanish-American war his Government viewed cables between neutral and enemy territory as contraband, but adds that the right to cut such a cable on the high sea is considered "as unsettled and of doubtful expediency."1

Practice of U.S. as to cables between neutral and enemy territory.

In the Spanish-American war, in which the practice will probably be considered as furnishing precedents of some authority, many cases occurred of the cutting of cables connecting enemy with neutral territory; and the American admirals did not always confine themselves to enemy territorial waters. Cables were cut between

^{1 &}quot;Proceedings of the United States Naval Institute" (1900).

Santiago and Jamaica, between Cuba and Hayti, between Havana and Santiago, between Hong-Kong, Manila and Spain, and several others. "In the absence of definite international law upon many points involved, the United States was forced to take the initiative and use this powerful military weapon for the benefit of the cause of the United States, while at the same time respecting and subserving the rights of neutrals with an equity and fairness which characterised the actions of this Government."1

Of the above four cases there seems to be un- Unanimity animity amongst the nations in only one, the in only one case. case where a submarine cable unites two neutral territories. In the other cases greater or less restrictions have been suggested from time to time, but no laws or authoritative regulations have been laid down; for, indeed, strict laws in all these cases to meet all possible emergencies are simply impossible. Considering the relations of the belligerents and neutrals, the variability, the precariousness of the conditions arising, the frequently unexpected results that the fortune or misfortune of war brings, the ever-changing Conflict of nature of the compromise between what Grotius belli rigor and libertas com-

¹ Ibid.

² "Nam quominus gens quaeque cum quavis gente seposita commercium colat, impediendi nemini jus est: id enim permitti interest societatis humanae; nec cuiquam damno id est: nam etiam si cui lucrum speratum, sed non debitum, decedat, id damni vice reputari non debet" (De Jure Belli, II., ii., 13, 5),

Predominance of belligerent interests in actual war.

Reluctance of States to commit themselves in regard to new questions. termed belli rigor and the commerciorum libertas, the predominant, irresistible instinct of selfpreservation in nations and individuals alike: considering all these circumstances it is certainly an unprofitable expenditure of time and ingenuity to lay down a code of detailed rules beforehand determining the limits of action of a belligerent. If it is at all essential to formulate any such rules, the safer plan would be to lean towards the side of the belligerent, otherwise international law "Jus commerciorum would be a mere name. aequum est, at hoc aequius, tuendae salutis." In other matters, too, of international law there is no unanimity of opinion amongst nations. were several acts in the Russo-Japanese war, which were stigmatised by many critics as contrary to established law or custom; yet the States acquiesced, and would not venture to commit themselves distinctly as to any declaration or pronouncement and so fetter their own future action. ".... It is too much to expect belligerents always to keep within the four corners There will be circumstances, it of the rules. may be anticipated, in which they will not suffer, if they can help it, a telegraphic cable, no matter who is the owner or what are its termini, to be used to their detriment. To whatever rules they assent will probably be added the sacramental formula, 'so far as circumstances permit.'"1

¹ Sir John Macdonell, Nineteenth Century, July, 1904.

At the Brussels meeting of the Institute of Necessity International Law in 1879, it was agreed that international "il est à désirer, quand les communications télégraphiques doivent cesser par suite de l'état de guerre, que l'on se borne aux mesures strictement nécessaires pour empêcher l'usage du câble." But this "pious wish" leaves the whole question really in the same position; for the treatment of cables is left to the discretion of the hostile belligerents. In fact it is held by several German writers,2 as also by Rivier, that the laws of war in general lose their binding power in case of extreme necessity; they emphasise the maxim that "Kriegsräson geht Does vor Kriegsrecht." A distinction has been made necessity between Kriegsmanier, the ordinary rules of law? war, and Kriegsrüson, the titulus necessitatis of Grotius, that which is permitted in exceptional cases. Professor Lueder who works out this distinction in a most elaborate manner affirms that to attain the object of the war all regulative limitations may be disregarded. "Wenn deshalb die Sachlage sich so gestalten sollte, dass die Erreichung des Kriegszwecks und die Befreiung aus der äussersten Gefahr durch Schranken der

¹ Cf., Art. 13 of the Declaration de Bruxelles; and art. 23 of the Règlement of 1899 on Laws and Customs of War on Land.

² E.g., Lueder in "Holtzendorff's Handbuch des Völkerrechts," IV., 254-7; Ullmann, "Völkerrecht," § 144; Liszt, "Das Volkerrecht" (1904), § 39; Rivier, "Principes du Droit des Gens," II., 242.

Kriegsmanier gehindert würde, und wenn also der Zweck nur dadurch erreicht und die äusserste Gefahr nur dadurch beseitigt werden kann, dass die Schranke der Kriegsmanier durchbrochen wird: so darf letzteres geschehen." He emphasises that what must happen ought to happen; and that Kriegsräson is related to Kriegsmanier as necessity is to criminal law. But such a distinction, as in the strong objection raised by Professor Westlake, seems scarcely valid, for all acts in war are founded on necessity, and to make an elaborate distinction between the varying degrees of necessity is to border somewhat on a legal casuistry.

So, as it is apparently hopeless to expect the conduct of belligerents to conform to a strict formulation of rules of warfare, and especially rules as to the destruction of cables, it would seem that the only remedy available to neutrals, the only remedy feasible, is a restitution and compensation by the belligerent who may cut

¹ Cf. the statement made by Baron Marschall von Bieberstein at the Second Hague Conference: "A belligerent who lays mines assumes very heavy responsibility towards neutrals and towards peaceful shipping. No one will resort to this instrument of warfare unless for military reasons of an absolutely urgent character. . . . But it would be a great mistake to issue rules the strict observation of which might be rendered impossible by the law of facts" (quoted by Prof. Westlake, Quarterly Review, January, 1908). A firm stand, however, was taken on this point by Sir Ernest Satow. Great Britain showed her determination to resist any conduct due to such alleged "necessity."

or otherwise interfere with a cable, in which a neutral has an interest. "I put less trust in rules which there may be an irresistible temptation to break or evade than in a proper Rules safer system of compensation by belligerents, not only of compensafor structural injuries, but loss of traffic, meted out by a tribunal possessing general confidence. In legal development when a new principle has not yet been evolved, and when in the absence of accepted rules, each case depends on its peculiar circumstances, compensation is, as here, the only possible alleviation of hardships." 1 present, however, there is some difference of opinion as to compensation. At the 1879 meeting of the Institute, M. Clunet asked how there could be a duty on the part of a belligerent to repair a cable, when the damage may have been really necessitated by the conduct of the enemy. Eventually the opinion was adopted that there was a collective obligation on both belligerents, though Professor Holland strongly objected to this view.

If a cable between a belligerent and a neutral When State is the property of neutral subjects, damages payable. ought to be paid in case of destruction, but not necessarily if the owners are subjects of one of the belligerents. If the cable is the property of one of the belligerent States, it is not entitled to damages in case of legitimate destruction by

¹ Sir John Macdonell, Nineteenth Century, July, 1904.

U.S. Attorney-General's strictures as to claims.

compensation if a cable belonging to a neutral is destroyed on belligerent territory or in belligerent territorial waters. Thus, in 1890, when Admiral Dewey cut the cable between Manila and Hong-Kong, the Eastern Extension Company's claim for indemnity was held invalid by the United States Government, on the advice of its Attorney-General, on the ground that "the property of a neutral permanently situated within the territory of our enemy is, from its situation alone, liable to damage from the lawful operations of war, which this cutting is conceded to have been." But the lengthened exposition of the inadmissibility of the claim has been objected to as merely technical, and not satisfying the sense of justice of ordinary men. With regard to cables in the open sea, even

the enemy; and it seems there is no compulsory

Damages for cablecutting in the open sea.

The newer question of wireless telegraphy.

With regard to cables in the open sea, even General Greely thought cutting was hardly justifiable, and consequently it may be assumed that a claim for damages in such a case is maintainable.

The difficulties inherent in the determination of the rights and duties of the belligerents and neutrals as to submarine cables have been seen to be very great; but a still more perplexing question arose in the Russo-Japanese war with regard to wireless telegraphy. Indeed, before long international jurists will be confronted with problems of aerial navigation. So quickly have inventions and scientific applications to warfare

multiplied that the axiom of Grotius to the effect that war is not an art is completely refuted. As in the case of submarine cables, so in this latest innovation of wireless telegraphy, there has already been a conflict of belligerent and neutral interests, and there seems to be as little consensus of opinion in the one case as in the other.

The Haimun was fitted up by the Times The Times with wireless telegraphy apparatus, and a correspondent sent messages in cipher to Wei-hai-Wei, thence telegraphed over a neutral cable to London. It is clear that the vessel was subject to right of search; in fact it was carefully examined by both belligerents, who were satisfied that nothing was being done to advance the cause of the one or injure that of the other party. However, on April 15th, 1904, a Russian proclamation was made to this effect: "In case neutral vessels having on board correspondents who may communicate news to the enemy by means of improved apparatus not yet provided for by existing conventions, should be arrested off Kwantung, or within the zone of operations of the Russian Russian fleet, such correspondents shall be regarded as declaration as to wireless spies, and the vessels provided with such apparatus shall be seized as lawful prizes." The Under-Secretary of State for Foreign Affairs in reply to a question in the House of Commons on April 20th used the expression "correspondents Expansion who are communicating information to the

telegraphy spondents.

Rights of a belligerent as to correspondents. enemy." Of course there is a profound difference in the purport of the two expressions, and it is doubtful whether the latter only was meant. any case Admiral Alexeieff's expansion of the term spy is most unjustifiable. A belligerent is entitled to prevent the establishment of wireless telegraphy apparatus within the zone of his operations; or if already established he may prohibit its use or place it under certain restrictions, and turn away any correspondent who does not submit to his ruling; but a correspondent who is a subject of a neutral State and is engaged in sending information to his employer in the neutral State cannot conceivably be treated as a spy. Indeed, even if he actually conveys such information to the enemy, he is not, according to the established international law of espionage, a spy. He is merely in the same position as though he carried despatches for the enemy, or signalled between two of his squadrons; in which case his vessel and everything on it would be lawfully capturable. The Russian threat recalls Prince Bismarck's contention during the Franco-Prussian war that persons passing in balloons over the German lines were spies; but imprisonment only and not death was inflicted on such persons captured. In 1874, the Brussels Conference on the laws of war negatived this opinion, and the Prussian Government acquiesced in the decision. And according to art. 29 of the Hague Convention

Bismarck's threat as to balloonists.

"an individual can only be considered a spy if, Essence of acting clandestinely, or on false pretences, he obtains, or seeks to obtain, information in the zone of operations of a belligerent with the intention of communicating it to the hostile party."1 This definition is as applicable to maritime war as to war on land.

In the case of the Times correspondent there was neither secrecy nor false pretences, nor was his object to communicate information specifically to the Japanese. The presence of the steamer was quite open, and the messages forwarded were guaranteed to be in cipher, to which neither Russia nor Japan had the key. Thus, all the elements essential to constitute espionage were absent.

Still, a belligerent ought to have the power to Desirable place certain restraints on correspondents. present, a State whose forces they follow, imposes powers as to certain conditions, e.g., right of search, censorship war correspondents. of despatches; but at a critical point of the war a belligerent ought to be able, through an international convention, entirely to exclude warcorrespondents from a quarter where important developments were taking place. The interests of a belligerent in such circumstances more than

1 "Ne peut être considéré comme espion que l'individu qui, agissant clandestinement ou sous de faux prétextes, recueille ou cherche à recueillir des informations dans la zone d'opérations d'un belligérant, avec l'intention de les communiquer à la partie adverse."

counterbalance the interests of a neutral State, who is desirous to receive news as to the course of the war.

The Russian declaration and the three-mile limit.

It appears that the Russian declaration applied also beyond the three-mile limit. When Count Cassini was asked in New York about this, he replied: "I assume it takes in the entire waters within the zone of war. There is, naturally, no precedent, as wireless telegraphy has never before been a factor in war. It cannot be expected that Russia would permit the sending of wireless despatches from which the Japanese who have wireless apparatus on their ships, could gain valuable information. This will make wireless telegraphy apparatus contraband of war "1 This has been condemned, too; but it is submitted that given absolute jurisdiction within the threemile limit, control could justifiably extend beyond that limit; for wireless telegraphy operations can be made to include a very wide area. And besides there is no settled rule, in any case, in international law as to a three-mile limit.2

Wireless apparatus as contraband.

Interception of wireless messages.

Cables can be cut and "tapped," but under ordinary conditions, that is a distinct breach of law. In the case of wireless telegraphy, however, the further question of the interception of a

¹ The *Times*, April 18th, 1904.

² See further on these points, *infra*, the rules of the Institut de Droit International, and the regulations of the Second Hague Conference.

belligerent's messages presents itself. No one has a monopoly of the atmosphere, and the apparatus as used for wireless messages is yet, in some cases, capable of being interfered with by competing operators; for the number of wavelengths obtainable in practice is limited. a rival operator by experimenting with different wave-lengths, for example, at last obtains the appropriate one by which he is able to intercept messages from a belligerent, and transmits them, either by the same apparatus or in any other manner, to the other belligerent, he is clearly guilty of espionage. Then again, it is possible not only to intercept messages but also to interfere with a belligerent by sending to his receiver false information or undecipherable despatches. Such proceedings do not necessarily amount to espionage, but it is submitted, the said belligerent is entitled to regard the authors of such interferences as enemies, who consequently become liable to be captured as prisoners of war.

Finally, the question arose in the recent war Should a whether a neutral was entitled to permit a belligerent's wireless apparatus to be installed on belligerent apparatus his territory. During the siege of Port Arthur on his territory? the Russians established an apparatus at Chifu, seventy-seven miles away on the Chinese side of the Gulf of Pechili; and in this way communicated with the beleaguered garrison, in spite of the strictures of the blockade. The solution to

this novel problem is only obtainable by analogy with the case of cable messages—if such analogy be strictly valid. From this, it would follow that it is the neutral's duty to prevent such an abuse of his territory.¹

ADDITIONAL NOTE.

Rules of the Institut de Droit International as to wireless telegraphy. At the recent meeting (September 26th, 1906) of the Institut de Droit International in Ghent, held three months after the above was written, the following conclusions were arrived at in reference to the use of wireless telegraphy in war (and it is gratifying to the present writer to find that these conclusions are substantially the same as those submitted above):—

- "Art. 6. On the high sea, in the zone covered by the sphere of action of their military operations, belligerents may prevent the despatch of Hertzian waves by a neutral State.
- "Art. 7. Individuals who, in spite of the prohibition of the belligerents, engage in the transmission of messages by wireless telegraphy between different sections of a belligerent army or territory, are, if captured, to be considered as prisoners of war and treated as such. Ships and balloons belonging to neutrals which by movements in concert with the enemy may be considered as

¹ Cf., as above, the refusal of England in 1898 to permit the United States to land a cable at Hong-Kong.

being engaged in his service may be confiscated, as well as the despatches and wireless telegraphic apparatus found on them.

- "Art. 8. A neutral State is not obliged to prevent the passage over its territory of Hertzian waves destined for a belligerent State.
- "Art. 9. A neutral State is obliged to close, or take under its own administration, any radiographic establishment belonging to a belligerent State which it had authorised to operate within its territory."

The following are the exact conclusions arrived at by the Institut de Droit International, September 24th, 1906:—

- "Art. 1. L'air est libre. Les États n'ont sur lui, en temps de paix et en temps de guerre, que les droits nécessaires à leur conservation.
- "Art. 2. À défaut de dispositions spéciales, les règles applicables à la correspondance télégraphique ordinaire le sont à la correspondance télégraphique sans fil.

"Première Partie.—État de paix.

- "Art. 3. Chaque État a la faculté, dans la mesure nécessaire à sa sécurité, de s'opposer, audessus de son territoire et de ses eaux territoriales, et aussi haut qu'il sera utile, au passage d'ondes hertziennes, que celles-ci soient émises
- ¹ "Annuaire de l'Institut de Droit International," Vol. XXI., p. 327 et seq.

par un appareil d'État ou par un appareil privé placé à terre, à bord d'un navire ou d'un ballon.

"Art. 4. Au cas d'interdiction de la correspondance par la télégraphie sans fil, le Gouvernement devra aviser immédiatement les autres Gouvernements de la défense qu'il édicte.

"Seconde Partie.—État de guerre.

- "Art. 5. Les règles admises pour le temps de paix sont, en principe, applicables en temps de guerre.
- "Art. 6. Sur la haute mer, dans la zone qui correspond à la sphère d'action de leurs opérations militaires, les belligérants peuvent empêcher les emissions d'ondes, même par un sujet neutre.
- "Art. 7. Ne sont pas considérés, en principe, comme espions de guerre, mais doivent être traités comme prisonniers de guerre, s'ils sont capturés, les individus qui, malgré la défense du belligérant, se livrent à la transmission ou à la réception des dépêches par télégraphie sans fil entre les diverses parties d'une armée ou d'un territoire belligérant. Il doit en être autrement si la correspondance est faite sous de faux prétextes.

"Les porteurs des dépêches transmises par la télégraphie sans fil sont assimilés à des espions lorsqu'ils emploient la dissimulation ou la ruse.

"Les navires et les ballons neutres qui, par leurs communications avec l'ennemi, peuvent être considérés comme s'étant mis à son service, pourront être confisqués ainsi que leurs dépêches et leurs appareils. Les sujets, navires, et ballons neutres, s'il n'est pas établi que leur correspondance était destinée à fournir à l'adversaire des renseignements relatifs à la conduite des hostilités, pourront être écartés de la zone d'opérations, et leurs appareils saisis et séquestrés.

- "Art. 8. L'État neutre n'est pas obligé de s'opposer au passage, au-dessus de son territoire, d'ondes hertziennes destinées à un pays en guerre.
- "Art. 9. L'État neutre a le droit et le devoir de fermer, ou de prendre sous son administration, l'établissement d'un État belligérant qu'il avait autorisé à fonctionner sur son territoire.
- "Art. 10. Toute interdiction de communiquer par la télégraphie sans fil, formulée par les belligérants, doit être immédiatement notifiée par eux aux Gouvernements neutres."

THE SECOND HAGUE CONFERENCE, AND SUBMARINE CABLES, AND WIRELESS TELEGRAPHY.

The second Hague Conference and war on land. At the second Hague Conference of 1907 great improvements in the regulations relative to war on land were effected. The interests of neutral States have been somewhat more safeguarded, the rights and duties on land more clearly defined, with greater advantage to noncombatant States. Moreover, any infraction of the regulations is to be met by an indemnity.

Danish proposal as to submarine cables.

In reference to the destruction of submarine cables in time of war, the Danish delegation, following up the policy previously advocated by its Government, proposed that art. 53 of the Hague Laws of War be supplemented by the following rule¹: "Submarine cables uniting an occupied or enemy territory to a neutral one shall not be seized or destroyed except absolute necessity requires it. They also shall be restored and indemnities regulated at the conclusion of peace." The second paragraph of art. 53 referred to runs thus:—

"Le matériel de chemins de fer, les télégraphies de terre, les téléphones, les bateaux à

¹ J. Westlake, "International Law," Part II., p. 280.

vapeur, et autres navires, en dehors des cas régis par la loi maritime, de même que les dépôts d'armes, et en général toute espèce de munitions de guerre, même appartenant à des sociétés ou à des personnes privées, sont également des movens de nature à servir aux opérations de la guerre, mais devront être restitués, et les indemnités seront reglées, à la paix."

The Danish proposal, however, fell to the ground, as submarine cables do not entirely come within the scope of the said article, which refers to land war, the shore ends of the cables being already protected by virtue of their assimilation with the land telegraph.

With regard to the use of wireless telegraphy in time of war, a decided improvement has been as to use of made by the regulations conferring rights and imposing duties on neutral States and bellibelligerents are gerents. Thus prohibited from installing their own apparatus on neutral territory, or utilising purely military apparatus established by them before the war. These conditions are expressly laid down in art. 3 of the fifth Convention 1:-

Improvements in rules wireless telegraphy in

- "Il est également interdit aux belligérants-
- (a) d'installer sur le territoire d'une Puissance neutre une station radio-télégraphique ou tout appareil destiné à servir comme tory.

Belligerents not to set up apparatus on neutral terri-

^{1 &}quot;Revue de Droit International," 1907, No. 6, p. 658.

- moyen de communication avec des forces belligérantes sur terre ou sur mer;
- (b) d'utiliser toute installation de ce genre établie par eux avant la guerre sur le territoire de la Puissance neutre dans un but exclusivement militaire, et qui n'a pas été ouverte au service de la correspondance publique."

Neutrals must prevent such acts, or punish infringement of rule.

Not only is this negative duty imposed on combatants, but the further positive obligation is laid on neutrals to prevent the performance of these acts on their own territory, and punish any infringement of the rule.

- "Art. 5. Une Puissance neutre ne doit tolérer sur son territoire aucun des actes visés par les articles 2 à 4.
- "Elle n'est tenue de punir des actes contraires à la neutralité que si ces actes ont été commis sur son propre territoire."

Neutrals must treat the two belligerents equally Neutrals are not obliged to interfere with belligerents in their use of the ordinary telephonic or telegraphic (wireless or otherwise) services of the country; they are bound only to apply equally to both belligerents such prohibitive or restrictive measures as necessity or expedience may require. This is the substance of arts. 8 and 9 of the fifth Convention:—

"Art. 8. Une Puissance neutre n'est pas

¹ "Revue de Droit International," 1907, No. 6, p. 658.

tenue d'interdire ou de restreindre l'usage pour les belligérants des câbles télégraphiques ou téléphoniques, ainsi que des appareils de télégraphie sans fil, qui sont, soit sa propriété, soit celle de compagnies ou de particuliers.

"Art. 9. Toutes mesures restrictives ou prohibitives prises par une Puissance neutre à l'égard des matières visées par les articles 7 et 8 devront être uniformément appliquées par elle aux belligérants.

"La Puissance neutre veillera au respect de la même obligation par les compagnies ou particuliers propriétaires de câbles télégraphiques ou téléphoniques ou d'appareils de télégraphie sans fil."

^{1 &}quot;Revue de Droit International," 1907, No. 6, p. 658.

THE SECOND HAGUE CONFERENCE AND INTERNATIONAL ARBITRA-TION.

For some time the world had been waiting anxiously for the results of the second Hague Conference, which first met on June 15th, 1907, and concluded its session on October 18th.

President Roosevelt's message; his hopes for arbitration.

In his message to Congress December 5th, 1905, President Roosevelt, while denouncing "the demagogues of peace, . . . who advocated peace at any price," yet expressed a hope that the second Conference "may be able to divine some means to make arbitration the customary way of settling disputes in all save a few classes of cases which should themselves be as sharply defined and rigidly limited as the present governmental and social development of the world will permit." He hoped that the aim would be to bring about an organisation of the civilised nations. be recalled that Bluntschli advocated such organisation several years before, and even maintained that to bring this about would be a simpler task than had been the federation of the German States.

Different estimates as to However, as for the actual amount of effective work accomplished last year, some people have expressed disappointment at the little advance work done at made; others have regarded the results as almost the Hague, 1907. revolutionary. The truth, indeed, lies between these two extremes. A distinct progress has been made, but progress of a moderate character, and necessarily so. At least, it is sufficient to justify a hopeful desire for the holding of a third Conference, and then to begin where the second left off. It is quite clear that the possibility of the various States of the world meeting in conference at all indicates the widespread aspirations to expand and ameliorate the body of international law; and earnest desire is frequently the father to realisation.

The proceedings at the first Peace Conference Comparison were rather of a diplomatic character. At the cedure of the second Conference a new element was conspicuously introduced, viz., a wider consideration given to public opinion, and this factor constantly modified or neutralised the natural tendency to assume a merely diplomatic attitude. Indeed, it has been said that the recent Hague meeting adopted the "forms of a democratic legislature,"1 but, of course, not with the inevitable principles of action which such a constitution implies.

of the pro-1899 Conference with that of 1907.

The main defect was the lack of clear organisa- Main defect tion and systematised procedure, which would procedure. have avoided constant repetitions, and would have facilitated the despatch of more solid

¹ Professor Westlake in Quarterly Review, January, 1908.

Conflict of various principles.

business, assuming, of course, that the delegates had been armed with sufficient authority. And so the debates involved a "welter of Interessen-fragen," and constantly betrayed, and by no means without some advantage, a conflict of various principles: nationalism and internationalism, law and diplomacy. "The cynical militarism of some Powers was too often in sharp contrast with the sentimental humanitarianism of others." In a resolution recommending the Powers to prepare for a subsequent Conference, the proceedings of the assembly were characterised as wanting "the indispensable authority and rapidity."

Division of the business of the Conference. The business of the Conference was divided between four main committees, which respectively considered the following subjects: (a) arbitration and kindred matters, (b) land war, (c) maritime war, and (d) prize law. The final result—so far on paper, of course—is an acte final embracing thirteen conventions, together with one declaration, two expressions of opinion, five væux, and one recommendation. We are mainly here concerned with the first category.

Progress in the rules relating to arbitration. With regard to arbitration, one of the most difficult and delicate of the questions submitted to the Conference, a distinct advance has been made. For some time to come, no doubt, this pacific method of settling disputes will not wholly

¹ Professor Holland in Law Quarterly Review, January, 1908.

do away with the adoption of violent measures. Human nature changes so slowly. The hope is that a modified human nature will see things differently, will see the barbarous vulgarity as well as the heroism of war. More frequent rapprochements between the States by means of these Conferences will do much to foster that international esprit de corps which will rapidly and effectually promote the interests of arbitration.

On January 29th last, on the occasion of the King's Speech, the Marquis of Ripon stated, in the House of Lords, that in his opinion much good had come of the recent Conference. believed that it had laid the foundation upon which an advance could be made in the interests of peace on a similar occasion in the future."1 Again, in the House of Commons, Mr. A. J. Mr. Balfour's Balfour said: "I attached great importance to international what was done in past times at the Hague. am an optimist in regard to international relations in the future. I believe the great work . . . of international arbitration has already prevented, and will in the future prevent, more and more wars which do not spring out of intolerable wrong or causes which a nation feels cannot be dealt with by any third party or any arbitrator, however well intended." 2 Mr. Asquith thought the Mr. Asquith

Marquis of Ripon on the work of the Conference.

estimate of arbitration.

on the second Hague meeting.

¹ The Times, January 30th, 1908.

² Ibid.

The King's Speech and arbitration.

time spent at the Hague had by no means been "Although the results may not equal the anticipations the more sanguine amongst us formed, yet, even when you come to judge the Conference by solid results, serious and substantial advance has been made in the direction which we all hope the world will gradually take." In this connection it is of interest to refer to a portion of the King's Speech: "Negotiations are being conducted with the Government of the United States for an agreement to refer to the international court of arbitration at the Hague questions pending between the two Governments which relate to the Newfoundland fisheries. It is hoped that by this friendly procedure a long-standing source of difficulty may be satisfactorily removed." 2

Let us now briefly consider more specifically the nature of the actual progress that has been made by the second Conference as regards international arbitration, this "friendly procedure."

Tentative work of the 1899 Conference. At the 1899 Conference the delegates were feeling their way, and only tentative proposals were made. Each desired to ascertain the real attitude of the others; hence they constantly adopted phraseology such as "as far as circumstances will allow," and apotheosised "national honour" and "vital interests," with whatever

¹ The Times, January 30th, 1908.

² Ibid.

meaning was attached to those expressions. Only a rudimentary court was established, consisting of a list of judges from amongst whom the disputing parties could make their choice; but no definite procedure was arranged regulating the manner in which the parties should appear before that court. The drawing up of the compromis (agreement of reference) clearly stating the matters in dispute was left to themselves; but this is a step of vital importance and of the greatest difficulty where adverse claims are made.

Now, however, by the rules adopted at the Element of 1907 Conference, the Hague court is empowered— compulsory arbitration

- (1) To settle the agreement of reference at the request of both contending parties, and
- (2) To settle it at the request of one of them should they have failed to agree by other methods.-
 - (a) If the difference falls within ageneral treaty of arbitration, and the other party does not deny its applicability to compulsory arbitration;
 - (b) If the difference arises from contractual debts claimed from one party by the other as due to its subjects, and the offer of arbitration has been accepted.

Compare the fuller statement of these regulations as set out in the Convention:

"Art. 53. La cour permanente est compétente

pour l'établissement du compromis, si les Parties sont d'accord pour s'en remettre à elle.

"Elle est également compétente, même si la demande est faite seulement par l'une des Parties, après qu'un accord par la voie diplomatique a été vainement essayé, quand il s'agit—

(a) If difference falls within a general treaty of arbitration.

(1) D'un différendrentrant dans un traité d'arbitrage général conclu ou renouvelé après la mise en vigueur de cette convention, et qui prévoit pour chaque différend un compromis et n'exclut pour l'établissement de ce dernier ni explicitement ni implicitement la compétence de la cour. Toutefois le recours à la cour n'a pas lieu si l'autre Partie déclare qu'à son avis le différend n'appartient pas à la catégorie des différends à soumettre à un arbitrage obligatoire à moins que le traité d'arbitrage ne confère au tribunal arbitral le pouvoir de décider cette question préalable:

(b) If difference arises from contractual debts.

(2) D'un différend provenant de dettes contractuelles réclamées à une Puissance par une autre Puissance commes dues à ses nationaux, et pour la solution duquel l'offre d'arbitrage a été acceptée. Cette disposition n'est pas applicable si l'acceptation a été subordonnée à la condition que le compromis soit établi selon un autre mode." 1

Thus a step of considerable importance has been taken to introduce obligatory arbitration by which, subject to the conditions above stated, one State is enabled to summon another before

^{1 &}quot;Revue de Droit International," 1907, No. 6.

the permanent court, and to obtain an independent judicial decision. An attempt was made, Attempt to on British initiative, to draw up a list of subjects of subjects of subjects to which compulsory arbitration should be applied, for obligatory arbitration. but the proposal did not meet with success. The main point secured, involving anything of the nature of compulsion, is (as was shown above) that contractual claims, even though held by one party to be of a non-arbitrable character, cannot under the given circumstances be exempted from the jurisdiction of the Hague court to draw up the compromis at the request of the other party. The court is enabled to determine Duty of the the validity of the claim, the amount of the debt, termine and the time and manner of payment; and, in claim. order to be able to settle the latter point, the amount of debt. etc. court is bound to investigate the financial condition of the debtor State, and the nature of the excuses offered for its default.

Art. 2 of the second Convention—Convention concernant la limitation de l'emploi de la force pour le recouvrement de dettes contractuelles says: "... Le jugement arbitral determine, sauf les engagements particuliers des Farties, le bien-fondé de la réclamation, le montant de la dette, le temps et le mode de paiement."

The attempt to introduce fully the principle of obligation into a general arbitration treaty failed; and the Drago doctrine was not entirely accepted. The Conference unanimously recognised the

principle of obligatory arbitration, and expressed the conviction that "certain differences are susceptible of submission to obligatory arbitration without any restriction." For the present, however, the proposal for *general* compulsory arbitration has been "respectfully relegated to Cloudcuckootown." But one can expect only slow progress in this difficult question; and what has already been achieved certainly brings us a little nearer to the goal aimed at.

Constitution of the court.

With regard to the nature of the court itself, some effort was made to reconstitute the existing court, or to establish another to work independently, to either of which disputants could resort. But the various suggestions made did not lend themselves to unanimous acceptance; and ultimately the Conference gave expression to a væu recommending an amended plan for the organisation of a court of arbitral justice, which was to comprise salaried judges representing the various States of the world. "Dans le but de faire progresser la cause de l'arbitrage, les Puissances contractantes conviennent d'organiser, sans porter atteinte à la cour permanente d'arbitrage, une cour de justice arbitrale, d'un accès libre et facile, basée sur l'égalité juridique des États, réunissant des juges représentantles divers

¹ Edinburgh Review, January, 1908.

² Professor Holland in *Law Quarterly Review*, January, 1908, p. 77.

systèmes juridiques du monde, et capable d'assurer la continuité de la jurisprudence arbitrale."

Here can be seen a clearly expressed desire for the growth of international law by means of a gradual formulation by a court possessing judicial capacity of principles and cases which would serve as precedents for guiding subsequent decisions on the one hand and regulating international relationships on the other. However, the proposal for the establishment of such a court failed in consequence of the determined opposition offered by a small minority. The minor States demanded nothing less than equal representation, to which principle the greater Powers refused to risk their interests.

INDEX.

```
ABBÉ St. Pierre, "Projet de Paix Perpétuelle" (1713), 9
Alabama case, 25, 37
Alaska boundary, 47
Alverstone, Lord, division of arbitration cases, 44
Amphictyonic Council, 7
Angary, right of, and destruction of cables, 97
Anglo-American Commission (1794), 19
Arbitral procedure, forms of, 19
    relation to conception of law, 16
Arbitration, chief cases in nineteenth century, 27
         principles involved, 28
    by a sovereign, 20
    compulsory, at 1907 Hague Conference, 123
         attempt to draw up a list of subjects for, 125
    growth of law, 23
    Hague Conference (1907), 118
    in Asia, 6
    in Greece, 6
    in Middle Ages, 8
    in Rome, policy of "pacification," 7
    influence of Anglo-Saxon race, 22
    international, definition of, 16
         relation to private, 16
    judicial basis of, 24
    King's Speech (January, 1908), 122
    limits of, 45
    prospects of, 49
    recent examples of, 47
    recent progress in rules, 120
    sanction of, 25
    schemes, 5
Arbitrations, classification of, 43
```

130 INDEX.

Arbitrator, not to go beyond submission, 30
Asquith, Mr., on second Hague Conference, 121
Associations for promoting arbitration, 12
Award, essential qualities, 18
when may be set aside, 17

Balestrini cable (1864), 61
Balfour, Mr., on international arbitration, 121
Bar, M. von, on doctrine of effective blockade, 98
Behring Sea case, 41
Belligerents, duties of, 88
in occupation, rights as to telegraphs, 84
on occupied enemy territory rights of 89

in occupation, rights as to telegraphs, 84 on occupied enemy territory, rights of, 82 on their own territory, rights of, 77 rights as to cables at sea, 84, 86 as to correspondents, 106

Bentham, proposal of a general diet (1789), 9
Bismarck, Prince, balloonists and espionage, 106
Blockade, effective, a criterion as to interrupting communication, 95

M. von Bar on, 98 notification of, 31

Bluntschli, on nature of award, 17 organisation of nations, 118

Bonfils, on Great Britain's acceptance of Geneva award, 40 on British attitude to arbitration, 12

Brussels Conference on laws and usages of war (1874), 63

Buda Pesth regulations as to telegrams, 79

Bujac, on Britain's severe measures as to cables, 82

Bulmerincq, division of cases of arbitration, 44

reasons vitiating an award, 17

Butterfield claim, 36

Buzzati, difficulties of neutralisation of cables, 66

Bynkershoek, on territorial waters, 96

Calvo, denies right of property in territorial waters, 96 on Britain's acceptance of Geneva award, 40 on Swiss Federal Court, 11 Card, Rouard de, on case of *H.M.S. Forte*, 36

Chino-Japanese war, cables in, 73
Clunet, on repairing cables, 103
Cobden's motion in House of Commons, 10
Compensation for cable-cutting, 103
when damages payable, 103
Compromise clauses in treaties, 12
Conference of London (1871), 25
Conferences, influence of, 57
Congress of Paris (1856), 10
Contraband, Demosthenes' test, 94
despatches as, 90, 93
wireless apparatus as, 108
Costa Rica packet, 36
Creole case, 34

Dalrymple, Sir James, 10
Darby, Dr., number of arbitration cases, 28
Declaration of war, effects of, 21
Delagoa Bay case (1875), 36
Demosthenes, test as to neutrality, 94
Desjardins, on effect of St. Petersburg Convention, 79
Despatches as contraband, 90, 93
telegraphic, as quasi-contraband, 93
Diligence, Calvo on "due diligence," 41
interpretation of, in Alabama case, 37
Drago doctrine at Second Hague Conference, 125

Espionace, essence of, 105, 107 balloonists and, 106

FEDERAL Court of Switzerland, 11
Field, Mr. Cyrus, proposal as to cables, 62
Fiore, cables as instruments of war, 59
right of sovereignty, 79
Florida Bonds dispute, 33
Foreign subjects, injury to, 33
Forte, H.M.S., 36
Franklin, 10
Frontier Commissions, 20
Frontier dispute, North-Eastern, 30

132 INDEX.

```
Gaché, M., on Venezuela preferential payment case, 48
General Armstrong case, 21, 32
Ghent, Treaty of, 21
Grotius, on arbitration, 9
     belli rigor and libertas commerciorum, 99
    neutrality, 87
Guadalupe-Hidalgo, Treaty o, 13
HAGUE Conference (1899), 57
Hague Conference (1907), estimates as to work done at, 118,
    division of its business, 120
    its procedure, 119
    international arbitration, 118
    mail-bags inviolable, 93
    submarine cables, 114
    wireless telegraphy, 115
Hague Convention and arbitrable disputes, 46
    general arbitration treaties, 14
Hague Tribunal, 25
    cases referred to, 48
    duty of, as to arbitration cases, 125
    new constitution proposed at 1907 Conference, 126
Hall, 19
Holland, Professor, 103, 120, 126
    where telegraphic communication may be interrupted, 96
Institute of International Law, rules of neutrality, 41
    submarine cables, 60, 67
    wireless telegraphy, 110
Inter-American Congress (1889), 14
Interception of messages by Great Britain, 81
    wireless messages, 108
International Commission of the Mouths of the Danube, 10
International Courts and Suez Canal, 11
International law, recent growth of, 56
    vagueness and elasticity, 86
International Telegraphic Convention of St. Petersburg, 71
JACQUEMYNS, on protection of cables, 62
```

Japanese house tax case, 48 Jay Treaty (1794), 29

Kamarowsky, classification of arbitration, 43 Kant, on perpetual peace, 9

LACROSSE, on Queen Victoria's award (1844), 31 La Fontaine, instances of arbitration, 28 Law and usage, 55 Law, nomos and themis, 56 "Law of Nature," 18 Leibnitz, arbitration scheme, 9 Lien, adoption of doctrine of, 49 Lueder, on distinction between Kriegsräson and Kriegsmanier, 101

MACDONELL, Sir John, 89, 100, 103 Macedonian, the case of the, 35 Mac Leod case, 34 Mail steamers, liability of, 93 Maine, Sir Henry, partiality of arbitrators, 47 Maritime and land warfare, difference as to cables, 97 Merchant vessel in foreign port, jurisdiction over, 34 Mérignhac, Creole case, 34 Macedonian, 35 on the "Three Rules of Washington," 39

Mirabeau, sovereignty of law, 49

Mixed Commission, 19

diplomatic and arbitral, 19 of London (1853-85), 33

Monroe doctrine, 48

Moore, Prof. Bassett, cases of arbitration, 28

Morse, destruction of cables, 98

Muscat controversy, 48

"NATIONAL honour," interpretation of, 45 Necessity in war, and international law, 101 Baron Marschall von Bieberstein on, 102 Britain's stand against the German doctrine at 1907 Conference, 102

Neutral, commerce of, 89

restrictions on, 90

libertas commerciorum and belli rigor, 99

duties of, 87

Calvo on, 91

responsibility of, 32

Neutrality, essence of, 88

Neutrals and belligerents, rights of, 87

Neutral territory, belligerent laying a cable on, 92 belligerent's wireless apparatus on, 109, 115

North Sea affair, 47

Occupation, and objects not instruments of war, 83 and title, 36, 42

military, 30

Olivart, Marqués de, on Geneva Conference, 37

Ortolan, rights as to territorial waters, 96

Pacifico affair, 33

Paris Convention (1884), and submarine cables, 58, 77

not applicable to war, 84

eace Societies, 10

Penn, 10

Phillimore, Sir Robert, when arbitral sentence binding, 25

" Pious Fund" case, 48

Portendic affair, 21

Postal Union Convention, 13

Pradier-Fodéré, arbitral mixed commissions, 19

binding award, 25

compromise clauses, 13

Treaty of Washington, 38

President Buchanan, protection of cables, 60

President Polk, 45

Private property on land, seizure of, 35

Pufendorf, "law of nature" as a guide, 18

Renault, M., on Balestrini cable, 61 judicial basis of arbitration, 24

judicial basis of arbitration, 24 right of sovereignty, 79

INDEX. 135

Responsibility, public and private, 34
Rey, M., freedom of belligerents restricted, 86
Richard, Mr. Henry, motion in House of Commons (1873) 1 I
Ripon, Marquis of, on work of 1907 Hague Conference, 121
Russell of Killowen, Lord, classification of arbitrations, 44
Russo-Japanese War, practices in, 55
problems raised in, 58, 100
wireless telegraphy in, 104

relations of, 55

Submarine cables, between two portions of same belligerent's territory, 71

between the two belligerents, 72 between two neutrals, 75 between belligerent and neutral, 76 classification of, 68 contraband, 98 Danish suggestion as to landing-cables, 63 distinction between connecting-cable and landing-cable, 82 first suggestion as to protection of, 60 Hague Conference (1907) on, 114 in enemy territorial waters, 95 in neutral waters, 94 Institute of International Law on protection, 67 "neutralisation" scheme, 64 proposal to regard cutting as piracy, 61 proposal to regulate in time of war, 63 sequestration suggested, 63

136 INDEX.

Submarine cables, scarcely any settled laws as to, 58 Sully's scheme (1603), 8

TELEGRAMS, division of, 78
as quasi-contraband, 93
Telegraphic Union, Conference at Rome (1871), 62
Territorial waters, Bynkershoek on, 96
Despagnet on, 96
difficulty as to extent of, 96
right of property denied, 96
three-mile limit, 108
Thucydides, on necessity of arbitration, 7

Treaties, mode of interpreting, 30

United States Naval War Code, on cables, 74, 75

Vattel, on interpretation of treaties, 30 Venezuela boundary dispute, 42 preferential payment dispute, 48 "Vital interests," interpretation of, 45

War, acts justified by state of, 31
and closure of ports, 32
Washington, the "Three Rules" of, 38
effect of, 41
Treaty of, 38
Webster-Ashburton treaty, 30
Westlake, Professor, 102, 119
Wireless telegraphy, apparatus as contraband, 108
Institute of International Law (1906), 110
new problems presented, 104
second Hague Conference (1907) on, 115

NUE

A Catalogue of Law Works Published and Sold by Stevens & Haynes,

Law Publishers, Booksellers and Exporters, I3, Bell Yard, Temple Bar, London.

M

Books Bound in the Best Bindings.

Works in all Classes of Literature supplied to Order.

Foreign Books Imported.

Libraries Valued for Probate, Partnership, and other Purposes.

Libraries or Small Collections of Books Purchased.

A Large Stock of Reports of the Various Courts of England, Ireland and Scotland, always on hand.

Catalogues and Estimates Furnished, and Orders Promptly Executed.

K

NOTE.—To avoid confusing our firm with any of a similar name, we beg to notify that we have no connection whatever with any other house of business, and we respectfully request that Correspondents will take special care to direct all communications to the above names and address.

INDEX OF SUBJECTS.

	P	AGE	1	PAGE
Abstract Drawing—		i	Collisions at Sea	
Scott. 1892		27	Kay. 1895	21
Administration Actions—			Colonial Law—	
Walker and Elgood. 1883		32	Cape Colony. 1887	31
Administrators—		i	Tarring, 1906	30
Walker and Elgood. 1905		31	Commercial Agency—	J-
Admiralty Law-		- i	Campbell. 1891	IO
Kay. 1895		21	Commercial Law—	
Smith. 1891		28	II	19
Advocacy—			Common Law—	19
Harris, 1904		18	To dame	
Affiliation—	***		Companies Law—	20
Martin, 1896		23	Ti 0	8
Arbitration-	•••	-3	Brice. 1893	
		28	Buckley. 1903	9
Slater. 1905 Attorney and Solicitor-Gene		20	Smith. 1908	29
Attorney and Southor-Gen	erai		Compensation—	
of England, Law of—			Lloyd. 1895	22
Norton-Kyshe. 1897	•••	24	Compulsory Purchase	
Banking-		_	Browne. 1876	9
Ringwood	• • • •	26	Constables—	
Bankruptcy—		_	See POLICE GUIDE.	
Baldwin. 1904		6	Constitutional Law and History-	
Hazlitt. 1887		19	(D) 11 T	20
Indermaur (Question and Answ	ver).		l m	_
1887		21	Thomas, 1908	
1887 Ringwood. 1908		26	Wilshere. 1905	32
Bar Examination Journal		6	Consular Jurisdiction-	
		8	Tarring. 1887	30
Bibliography. 1908	•••	0	Contract of Sale-	
Bills of Exchange—			Willis. 1902	32
Willis, 1901	•••	32	Conveyancing-	
Bills of Lading			Copinger, Title Deeds. 1875	13
Campbell. 1891	• • •	10	Deane, Principles of. 1883	14
Kav. 1895		21	Copyright—	
Bills of Sale			Briggs (International). 1906	8
Baldwin. 1904		6	Copinger. 1904	12
Indermaur. 1887		21	Corporations	
Ringwood. 1908		26	1 5. 0	. 8
Capital Punishment—			Browne. 1876	• 9
Copinger. 1876		12	Costs, Crown Office-	,
Carriers—			Short. 1879	28
	HIP-		Covenants for Title—	
MASTERS.			Covenants for Title	
Chancery Division, Practice	of		Copinger. 1875	13
	908	29	Crew of a Ship—	
	-	20	Kay. 1895	21
Indermaur. 1905	•••		Oriminal Law—	
Williams. 1880	•••	32	Copinger, 1876	12
And see EQUITY.			Harris. 1908	18
Charitable Trusts—			Crown Law-	
Bourchier-Chilcott. 1902	•••	11	Hall. 1888	23
Cooke and Harwood. 1867	• • • •	12	Kelyng. 1873	22
Whiteford. 1878	•••	32	Taswell-Langmead. 1905	30
Church and Clergy-			Thomas. 1908	
Brice. 1875		8	Crown Office Rules-	_
Civil Law—			Short. 1886	27
See ROMAN LAW.			Crown Practice-	
Club Law—			Corner. 1908	27
Wertheimer. 1903		32	01 . 135310	
		3~	Custom and Usage—	~/
Codes—		6		-
Argles. 1877	•••	U	Mayne. 1906	23

INDEX OF SUBJECTS—continued.

	р	AGE	1	PAGE
Damages—	r.	AGE	Glove Law—	AUL
		-00	37 . 77 1	24
Mayne. 1909	•••	23		24
Discovery—			Guardian and Ward-	
Peile. 1883	•••	25	Eversley. 1906	15
Divorce—			Hackney Carriages—	
Harrison. 1891		19	See MAGISTERIAL LAW.	
Domestic Relations—			Hindu Law	
Eversley. 1906		15	Mayne. 1906	23
Domicil—		-	History—	-
See PRIVATE INTERNATION	AT. T.A	w.	Taswell-Langmead. 1905	30
D -00		31	Husband and Wife—	J .
Ecclesiastical Law—	•••	3-	Eversley. 1906	15
		8	Infants—	- 3
Brice. 1875	• • •			
Smith. 1902	•••	28	Eversley. 1906	15
Education Acts—			Simpson 1909	28
See Magisterial Law.			Injunctions—	
Election Law and Petitions	—		Joyce. 1877	21
	1906	24	Insurance—	
Seager. 1881	•	27	Porter. 1908	25
Employers' Liability—	•••	-,	International Law—	•
		7	Baty. 1900	7
Beven. 1909	•••	,	Clarke. 1903	11
Equity—		0		
Blyth. 1908	•••	8	Cobbett. 1909	11
Choyce Cases. 1870	•••	, I I	Foote. 1904	15
Pemberton. 1867	•••	25	Interrogatories—	•
Snell. 1908		29	Peile. 1883	25
Story. 1892		29	Intoxicating Liquors—	
*** 6. 00		31	See MAGISTERIAL LAW.	
	•••	3.	Joint Stock Companies—	
Evidence—			See COMPANIES.	
Phipson. 1907	• • •	25		
Examination of Students—		_	Judgments and Orders—	
Bar Examination Journal		6	Pemberton. 1887	25
Indermaur. 1906	•••	20	Judicature Acts—	
Intermediate LL.B. 1889		17	Cunningham and Mattinson. 1884	13
Executive Officers—		- •	Indermaur. 1875	20
Chaster, 1899		10	Jurisprudence-	
	•••	10	Salmond. 1907	27
Executors—			Justinian's Institutes—	~,
Walker and Elgood. 1905	•••	31		
Extradition—			Campbell, 1892	10
Clarke. 1903	•••	ΙI	Harris. 1899	19
See MAGISTERIAL LAW.			King's Bench Division, Practice	
Factories-			of—	
See MAGISTERIAL LAW.			Indermaur. 1905	20
			Landlord and Tenant—	
Fisheries—			Foà. 1907	15
Moore. 1903	•••	24	Lands Clauses Consolidation	-3
See MAGISTERIAL LAW.				
Foreign Law—		_	_ Act—	
Argles. 1877	• • •	6	Lloyd. 1895	22
Dutch Law. 1887		31	Latin Maxims. 1904	13
Foote. 1904		15	Leading Cases—	
	•••	-3	Common Law. 1903	20
Foreshore—		23	Constitutional Law. 1908	31
Moore. 1888	••	23	1	20
Forgery —				
See MAGISTERIAL LAW.			International Law. 1908	ΙI
Fraudulent Conveyances—			Leading Statutes—	
May. 1908		23	Thomas. 1878	30
Gaius Institutes—		-	Leases—	-
		18	Copinger. 1875	13
Harris. 1899	•••	10	Legacy and Succession-	- ,7
Game Laws—				7.00
See MAGISTERIAL LAW			Hanson. 1904	17

INDEX OF SUBJECTS-continued.

Legitimacy and Marriage—	Partition-
See Private International Law.	Walker. 1882 31
Licensing—	Passengers—
Whiteley. 1905 32	See MAGISTERIAL LAW.
See MAGISTERIAL LAW.	,, RAILWAY LAW.
Life Assurance –	Passengers at Sea-
Buckley, 1902 9	_ Kay. 1895 21
Porter. 1904 25	Patents—
Limitation of Actions—	Frost. 1906 and 1908 16
Banning. 1906 6	Pawnbrokers—
Local Legislatures-	See MAGISTERIAL LAW.
Chaster. 1906 10	Petitions in Chancery and
Lunacy—	Lunacy—
Renton. 1897 25	Williams. 1880 32
Williams. 1880 32	Pilots—
	Kay. 1895 21
Magisterial Law— Greenwood and Martin. 1890 17	Police Guide—
Greenwood and Martin. 1890 17 Maine (Sir H.), Works of—	Greenwood and Martin. 1890 17
Evans' Theories and Criticisms.	Pollution of Rivers—
0.6	17:
Maintenance and Desertion—	Practice Books—
Martin. 1896 23	Bankruptcy. 1904 6
Marriage and Legitimacy—	Companies Law. 1902 9
Foote. 1904 15	Compensation. 1895 22
Married Women's Property Acts—	Compulsory Purchase. 1876 9
Brown's Edition of Griffith. 1891 17	Conveyancing. 1883 13
Master and Servant—	Damages. 1903 23
Eversley. 1906 15	Ecclesiastical Law. 1902 28
Mercantile Law -	Election Petition. 1906 24
Campbell. 1891 10	Equity. 1908 29
Duncan. 1886-7 14	Injunctions. 1877 21
	Magisterial, 1890 17
Hurst. 1906 19 Slater. 1907 28	Pleading, Precedents of. 1884 13
See SHIPMASTERS.	Railways and Commission. 1875 9
Mines—	Rating. 1886 9
Harris. 1877 18	Supreme Court of Judicature.
Money Lenders—	1905 20
Bellot. 1906 7	Precedents of Pleading—
Mortmain—	Cunningham and Mattinson, 1884 13
Bourchier-Chilcott, 1905 11	Mattinson and Macaskie. 1884 13
Nationality—	Primogeniture—
See PRIVATE INTERNATIONAL	Lloyd. 1877 22
Law.	Principal and Agent
Negligence—	Porter. 1906 25
Beven. 1908 7	Principal and Surety—
Campbell. 1879 10	Rowlatt. 1899 26
Negotiable Instruments—	
Willis. 1901 32	Principles— Brice (Corporations), 1893 8
Newspaper Libel-	
Elliott. 1884 15	Browne (Rating). 1886 9
Oaths—	Deane (Conveyancing). 1883 14 Harris (Criminal Law). 1908 18
Ford. 1903 16	
Obligations—	Houston (Mercantile), 1866 19 Indermaur (Common Law), 1909 20
Brown's Savigny. 1872 27	T /T0
Parent and Child—	Ringwood (Bankruptcy). 1908 26
Eversley. 1906 15	Snell (Equity). 1908 29
Parliament—	Private International Law—
Taswell-Langmead. 1905 30	i
Thomas. 1908 31	Foote. 1904 15

INDEX OF SUBJECTS—continued.

	PAGE '		PAGE
Probate-		Scintillae Juris-	IAGE
Hanson. 1904	17	Darling (C. J.). 1903	14
Harrison. 1891	19	Sea Shore—	1
Public Trustee Act, 1906-	- ,	77 11 000	22
Morgan, 1907	24	3.6 000	-
Public Worship—		Shipmasters and Seamen—	23
Rrice 1877	8		
Brice. 1875 Quarter Sessions—	0	Kay. 1895	21
Smith (F. T.) 1994	-0	Societies—See Corporations.	
Smith (F. J.). 1882	28	Stage Carriages—	
Questions for Students—		See MAGISTERIAL LAW.	
Aldred. 1892	6	Stamp Duties—	
Bar Examination Journal. 1894	6	Copinger. 1878	12
Indermaur. 1887	21	Statute of Limitations—	
Waite. 1889	31	_ Banning. 1906	. 6
Railways—	-	Statutes—	
Browne. 1875	9	Craies. 1907	- 13
Godefroi and Shortt. 1869	17	Marcy, 1893	23
Rating—	•	Thomas. 1878	. 30
Browne. 1886	9	Stolen Goods—	
Real Property-	_	Attenborough. 1906	6
Deane. 1883	13	Stoppage in Transitu—	
Edwards. 1904 ,	_	Houston. 1866	10
Tarring. 1882	30	Kay. 1895	. 2
Records—	3-	Succession Duties—	
Inner Temple. 1896-8	21	Hanson. 1904	. 18
Recovery—		Succession Laws—	
Attenborough (Stolen Goods). 1906	. 6	Lloyd. 1877	. 22
	. •	Supreme Court of Judicature,	
Registration—	. .	Practice of—	
Elliott (Newspaper). 1884	14	Indermaur. 1905	. 20
Seager (Parliamentary). 1881	27	Telegraphs-	
Reports—		See Magisterial Law.	
Bellewe. 1869		Title Deeds-	
Brooke. 1873		Copinger. 1875	. 13
Choyce Cases. 1870		Torts—	- `
Cooke. 1872		Ringwood. 1906	. 26
Criminal Appeal, 1908 to		Salmond. 1907	
Cunningham. 1871		Tramways and Light Railways—	_ ~,
Election Petitions. 1906	•		
Finlason. 1870	15	Treason—	•
Gibbs, Seymour Will Case. 1877	16		. 22
Kelyng, John. 1873	22	1201/1161 _ 10/3	
Kelynge, William. 1873	22	Taswell-Langmead. 1905	٠, ٢
Shower (Cases in Parliament).	_	Trials—	
1876	28	Bartlett, A. (Murder). 1886	_
South African. 1893-7	29	Queen v. Gurney. 1870	. 1
Roman Dutch Law-		Trustees—	
Van Leeuwen. 1887	31	Easton. 1900	. [4
Berwick. 1902	7	Ultra Vires—	
Roman Law-	-	Brice. 1893	. :
Brown's Analysis of Savigny. 1872	27	Voluntary Conveyances—	
Campbell. 1892	ΙÓ	May. 1908	. 2
Harris. 1899	_	Water Courses-	
Salkowski. 1886		Higgins. 1877	. 19
Whitfield. 1886	27	Higgins. 1877 Wills, Construction of— Gibbs. Report of Wallace v.	
	~/	Gibbs, Report of Wallace v.	,
Salvage—	21	Attorney-General. 1877	
Jones. 1870	2 I	Mathews. 1908	2
Kay. 1895	21	Working Classes, Housing of-	
Savings Banks—	16		
Forbes. 1884	10	1 10ya. 10y3	

Aldred's Contract Law.

Questions on the Law of Contracts. With Notes to the Answers. Founded on "Anson," "Chitty," and "Pollock." By PHILIP FOSTER ALDRED, D.C.L., Hertford College and Gray's Inn. In crown 8vo, price 3s. 1882.

Argles' Foreign Mercantile Laws and Codes in Force in the Principal States of Europe and America.

By CHARLES LYON-CAEN, Professeur agrégé à la Faculté de Droit de Paris; Professeur à l'École libre des Sciences politiques. Translated by Napoleon Argles, Solicitor, Paris. In 8vo, price 2s., sewed. 1877.

Attenborough's Recovery of Stolen Goods.

By C. L. Attenborough, of the Inner Temple, Barrister-at-Law. In 8vo, price 7s. 6d., cloth. 1906.

Baldwin's Law of Bankruptcy and Bills of Sale.

With an Appendix containing The Bankruptcy Acts, 1883—1890; General Rules, Forms, Scale of Costs and Fees; Rules under s. 122 of 1888; Deeds of Arrangement Acts, 1887—1890; Rules and Forms; Board of Trade and Court Orders; Debtors Acts, 1869, 1878; Rules and Forms; Bills of Sale Acts, 1878—1891, etc., etc. By Edward T. Baldwin, M.A., of the Inner Temple, Barrister-at-Law. Ninth Edition, in 8vo, price 27s. 6d., cloth. 1904.

. . . . "The minute care with which this work is always revised has long since gained the confidence of practitioners, and the present edition is fully up to the standard of its predecessors in this respect. The index has also been enlarged."—Law Times.

Banning's Limitations of Actions.

With an Appendix of Statutes, Copious References to English, Irish, and American Cases, and to the French Code, and a Copious Index. Third Edition. By ARCHIBALD BROWN, M.A. Edin. and Oxon., and B.C.L., Oxon., of the Middlew Temple, Barrister-at-Law. Royal 8vo, price 16s., cloth. 1906.

"The work is decidedly valuable."-Law Times.

"Mr. Banning has adhered to the plan of printing the Acts in an appendix, and making his book a cunning treatise on the case-law thereon. The cases have evidently been investigated with care and digested with clearness and intellectuality."—Law Yournal.

Bar Examination Journal, Vols. IV., V., VI., VII., VIII., IX., and X.

Containing the Examination Questions and Answers from Easter Term, 1878, to Hilary Term, 1892, with List of Successful Candidates at each examination, Notes on the Law of Property, and a Synopsis of Recent Legislation of importance to Students, and other information. By A. D. TYSSEN and W. D. EDWARDS, Barristers-at-Law. In 8vo, price 18s. each, cloth.

Bar Examination Annual for 1894.

(In Continuation of the Bar Examination Journal.) By W. D. EDWARDS, LL.B., of Lincoln's Inn, Barrister-at-Law. Price 3s.

Baty's International Law in South Africa.

Including the following subjects:—Contraband for Neutral Ports, Suzerainty, Passage of Troops over Neutral Territory, Conduct of Warfare, Annexation, Limited Companies in the War, with a Comparative Summary of the Transvaal Conventions of 1881 and 1884. By Th. BATY, B.C. L., Barrister-at-Law. In Demy 8vo. 5s. net. 1900.

"Six brief essays on aspects of International Law are here presented touching the points arising for settlement in South Africa. . . . The collocation of interesting fragments and curious information is apparent, but principles are also enunciated, and the little work will be of considerable value at the present epoch. . . Persons whose ideas of legitimate warfare have been shocked and confused by the extraordinary language of some newspaper correspondents and the irrational attitude of part of the Press, will find in this book food for thought and reflection; it ought to be widely read."—Law Times.

Bellewe. Les Ans du Roy Richard le Second.

Collect' ensembl' hors les abridgments de Statham, Fitzherbert et Brooke. Per RICHARD BELLEWE, de Lincolns Inne. 1585. Reprinted from the Original Edition. In 8vo, price 3/. 3s., bound in calf antique. 1869.

"No public library in the world, where English law finds a place, should be without a copy of this edition of Bellewe,"—Canada Law Journal.

Bellot. Legal Principles and Practice of Bargains with Money-Lenders.

Including the History of Usury to the Repeal of the Usury Laws, with Appendices, and containing a Digest of Cases, Annotated; relating to Unconscionable Bargains, Statutes, and Forms for the use of Practitioners. Second Edition, enlarged. By Hugh H. L. Bellot, M.A., B.C.L., Barrister-at-Law. Royal 8vo. 587 pp. Price 21s. 1906.

Berwick's Voet's Commentary on the Pandects.

New and Revised Edition of an English Translation. Comprising all the titles on Purchase and Sale—Letting and Hiring—Mortgages—Evictions—Warranty—and Allied Subjects; being Lib. XVIII., XIX., XXI., and Tit. VII. of Lib. XIII. By T. Berwick, of Lincoln's Inn, Barrister-at-Law, Retired Judge of the District Court of Colombo. In 8vo, price 24s. 6d. net, or rupees 18.50. 1902.

Beven's Law of Employers' Liability and Workmen's Compensation.

Fourth Edition, much enlarged, and re-arranged. By THOMAS BEVEN, of the Inner Temple, Barrister-at-Law. In 8vo, price 21s. 1909.

Beven's Negligence in Law.

Being the Third Edition of "Principles of the Law of Negligence," re-arranged and re-written. By Thomas Beven, of the Inner Temple, Barrister-at-Law; author of "The Law of Employers' Liability for the negligence of servants causing injury to fellow servants." Third Edition, in two volumes, royal 8vo, price 70s., cloth. 1908.

"... The above account is but a sketch of Mr. Beven's great work. It is impossible within the present limits to give an adequate idea of the variety of topics which are included, of the learning and patience with which they are discussed. Negligence may only be an aspect of the law; but the treatment here accurded to it throws into prominence a host of questions of the utmost importance, both practically and theoretically. By his contribution to the due understanding of these Mr. Beven has placed the profession under a lasting obligation, an obligation which no reader of his work will fail to realize."—Solicitors' Journal.

Bibliotheca Legum. Catalogue of Law Books.

Including all the Reports in the various Courts of England, Scotland, and Ireland; with a Supplement to December, 1907. By HENRY G. STEVENS and ROBERT W. HAYNES, Law Publishers. In 12mo (nearly 500 pages), price 2s., cloth net.

Blyth's Analysis of Snell's Principles of Equity.

Founded on the Fifteenth Edition. With Notes thereon. By E. E. BLYTH, LL.D., Solicitor. Ninth Edition, in 8vo, price 6s., cloth. 1908.

"Mr. Blyth's book will undoubtedly be very useful to readers of Snell."—Law Times.
"This is an admirable analysis of a good treatise; read with Snell, this little book will be found very profitable to the student."—Law Journal.

Brice's Law Relating to Public Worship.

With Special Reference to Matters of Ritual and Ornamentation, and the Means of Securing the Due Observance Thereof. And containing in extenso, with Notes and References, The Public Worship Regulation Act, 1874; The Church Discipline Act; the various Acts of Uniformity; the Liturgies of 1549, 1552, and 1559, compared with the Present Rubric; the Canons; the Articles; and the Injunctions, Advertisements, and other Original Documents of Legal Authority. By SEWARD BRICE, LL.D.. of the Inner Temple, Barrister-at-Law. In one volume, 8vo, price 28s., cloth. 1875.

Brice's Ultra Vires:

Being an Investigation of the Principles which Limit the Capacities, Powers, and Liabilities of Corporations, and more especially of Joint Stock Companies. By SEWARD BRICE, M.A., LL.D., London, of the Inner Temple, one of His Majesty's Counsel. Third Edition. Revised Throughout and Enlarged, and containing the United States and Colonial Decisions. Royal 8vo, price 38s., cloth. 1893.

"It is the Law of Corporations that Mr. Brice treats of (and treats of more fully, and at the same time more scientifically, than any work with which we are acquainted), not the law of principal and agent; and Mr. Brice does not do his book justice by giving it so vague a title."—Law Yournal.

Brice's Tramways and Light Railways:

Containing The Tramways Act, 1870, and the Board of Trade Rules and Regulations Relating to Tramways, with Notes; and the Light Railways Act, 1896, and the Board of Trade Rules and Regulations relating to Light Railways, with Notes, and a Full Collection of Precedents. By SEWARD BRICE, M.A., LL.D., London, one of His Majesty's Counsel, Author of "A Treatise on the Doctrine of Ultra Vires," &c., and B. J. Leverson, of the Inner Temple, Barrister-at-Law. Second Edition, in royal 8vo, price 18s. net, cloth. 1902.

"The Second Edition of Brice on Tramways and Light Railways has been revised and brought up to date by Mr. B. J. Leverson, and from a careful perusal of the contents it is evident that the work has been ably done. The main part of the volume, dealing in text-book form with the Law of Tramways and Light Railways, contains in 200 pages a clear and accurate exposition of nearly every point of practical interest. The value of the book is increased by furnishing the statutes which form the second part of the volume with cross references to the earlier pages of the work. A full list of clauses, orders, and several useful forms, complete an indispensable book."—Law Times.

Briggs' Law of International Copyright.

With Special Sections on the Colonies and the United States of America. By WILLIAM BRIGGS, LL.D., D.C.L., M.A., B.Sc., F.C.S., F.R.A.S. In 8vo, price 16s. 1906.

Brooke's (Sir Robert) New Cases in the time of Henry VIII., Edward VI., and Queen Mary.

Collected out of BROOKE'S Abridgement, and arranged under years, with a table, together with MARCH'S (John) Translation of BROOKE'S New Cases in the time of Henry VIII., Edward VI., and Queen Mary, collected out of BROOKE'S Abridgment, and reduced alphabetically under their proper heads and titles, with a table of the principal matters. In one handsome volume, 8vo. Price 41. 4s., calf antique. 1873.

"Both the original and the translation having long been very scarce, and the mispaging and other errors in March's translation making a new and corrected edition peculiarly desirable, Messrs. Stevens and Huynes have reprinted the two books in one volume, uniform with the preceding volumes of the series of Early Reports."—Canada Law Journal.

Browne's Practice Before the Railway Commissioners under the Regulation of Railway Acts, 1873 and 1874:

With the Amended General Orders of the Commissioners, Schedule of Forms, and Table of Fees: together with the Law of Undue Preference, the Law of the Jurisdiction of the Railway Commissioners, Notes of their Decisions and Orders, Precedents of Forms of Applications, Answers and Replies, and Appendices of Statutes and Cases. By J. H. BALFOUR BROWNE, of the Middle Temple, K.C. In one volume, 8vo, price 18s., cloth. 1875.

Browne on the Compulsory Purchase of the Undertakings of Companies by Corporations.

And the Practice in Relation to the Passage of Bills for Compulsory Purchase through Parliament. By J. H. BALFOUR BROWNE, of the Middle Temple, K.C. In 8vo, price 7s. 6d., cloth. 1876.

Browne and McNaughton's Law of Rating of Hereditaments in the Occupation of Companies.

By J. H BALFOUR BROWNE, of the Middle Temple, K.C., and D. N. McNaughton, of the Middle Temple, Barrister-at-Law. Second Edition, in 8vo, price 25s., cloth. 1886.

Buckley on the Companies Acts.

The Law and Practice under the Companies Acts, 1862 to 1900; and The Life Assurance Companies Acts, 1870 to 1872; including The Companies (Memorandum of Association) Act, The Companies (Winding-up) Act, and the Directors' Liability Act. A Treatise on the Law of Joint Stock Companies, containing the Statutes, with the Rules, Orders, and Forms, to Regulate Proceedings. Eighth Edition. By A. C. CLAUSON, Esq., M.A., of Lincoln's Inn, Barrister-at-Law. In royal 8vo, price 36s., cloth. 1902.

Cairns, Lord, Decisions in the Albert Arbitration.

Reported by Francis S. Reilly, of Lincoln's Inn, Barrister-at-Law. Parts I., II., and III., price 25s., sewed. 1872.

Campbell's Compendium of Roman Law,

Founded on the Institutes of Justinian; together with Examination Questions Set in the University and Bar Examinations (with Solutions), and Definitions of Leading Terms in the Words of the Principal Authorities. Second Edition. By GORDON CAMPBELL, of the Inner Temple, M.A., late Scholar of Exeter College, Oxford; M.A., LL.D., Trinity College, Cambridge; Author of "An Analysis of Austin's Jurisprudence, or the Philosophy of Positive Law." In One Vol., 8vo, price 12s., cloth. 1892.

Campbell's Sale of Goods and Commercial Agency.

Second Edition. By ROBERT CAMPBELL, M.A., of Lincoln's Inn, Barrister-at-Law; Advocate of the Scotch Bar, author of the "Law of Negligence," etc. Second Edition, in one volume, royal 8vo, price 32s., cloth. 1891.

"An accurate, careful, and exhaustive handbook on the subject with which it deals. The excellent index deserves a special word of commendation."—Law Quarterly Review.

"We can, therefore, repeat what we said when reviewing the first edition—that the book is a contribution of value to the subject treated of, and that the writer deals with his subject carefully and fully."—Law Journal.

Campbell's Law of Negligence.

Second Edition. By ROBERT CAMPBELL, of Lincoln's Inn, Barrister-at-Law, and Advocate of the Scotch Bar. In 8vo, price 12s., cloth. 1879.

Catalogue, A, of the Reports in the Various Courts of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Ireland.

Arranged in Chronological Order. By Stevens & Haynes, Law Publishers. In small 4to, price 2s. net, cloth, beautifully printed, with a large margin, for the special use of Librarians.

Chaster's Powers, Duties, and Liabilities of Executive Officers, as between these Officers and the Public.

By A. W. CHASTER, of the Middle Temple, Barrister-at-Law. Fifth Edition. In 8vo, price 15s. 1899.

"There is undoubtedly room for a legal treatise on the status of executive officers, and Mr. Chaster has provided much valuable material on the subject."—Law Journal.

Chaster's Local Legislatures.

A Scheme for full Legislative Devolution for the United Kingdom on Constitutiona lines, being a Supplement to "Executive Officers." By A. W. CHASTER, of the Middle Temple, Barrister-at-Law. In 8vo, price 1s. net. 1906.

Chilcott's, Bourchier=, Administration of Charities.

Under the Charitable Trusts Acts, 1853-1894, Local Government Act, 1894, and London Government Act, 1899. By THOMAS BOURCHIER-CHILCOTT, of the Middle Temple, Barrister-at-Law. Second Edition, in 8vo, price 213., cloth. 1902.

"The learned author has thoroughly revised the whole work, and has brought it well up to date. There is an excellent index, a matter of great importance in a work of this kind, where the subject is dealt with in the way of annotated statutes."—Law Times.

"The work is a useful guide in matters relating to charitable trusts."-Solicitors' Journal.

"... All concerned in the Administration of Charities will find in Mr. Bourchier-Chilcott's work a clear and trustworthy statement of their powers and duties."—Law Journal.

Chilcott's, Bourchier-, Law of Mortmain.

By THOMAS BOURCHIER-CHILCOTT, Barrister-at-Law, Author of "Administration of Charities." In demy 8vo, price 12s. 6d.

"As supplementary to the subject of the administration of charities, which has been already dealt with by the author, t is work is now published. Both Mortmain and Charitable Uses Acts of 1888 and 1891 are exhaustively annotated, while an excellent index, an item of no small importance, will render reference an easy matter. It is undoubtedly a book that should prove distinctly useful to practitioners."—Law Times.

Choyce's Practice of the High Court of Chancery.

With the Nature of the several Offices belonging to that Court. And the Reports of many Cases wherein Relief hath been there had, and where denyed. In 8vo, price 2l. 2s., calf antique. 1870.

"This volume, in paper, type and binding (like 'Bellewe's Cases') is a fac-simile of the antique edition. All who buy the one should buy the other."—Canada Law Journal.

Clarke's Law of Extradition

And the Practice thereunder in Great Britain, Canada, the United States, and France; with the Conventions upon the subject existing between England and Foreign Nations, and the Cases decided thereon. By Sir Edward Clarke, Knt., K.C., Her Majesty's Solicitor-General, 1886-1892; formerly Tancred Student of Lincoln's Inn. Fourth Edition. Prepared by the Author, and E. Percival Clarke, B.A., of Lincoln's Inn, Barrister-at-Law. In 8vo, price 25s., cloth. 1903.

"Sir Edward Clarke has prepared a fourth edition of his admirable treatise on the Law of Extradition with the assistance of his son, Mr. E. Percival Clarke, of Lincoln's Inn, who is, in fact, mainly responsible for it. . . . The book worthily maintains its reputation as the standard authority on the subject."—Law Times.

"A new edition of this standard work is welcomed, and the joint effort of the author and his son fully sustain its established reputation as the most authoritative and complete work on its subject."

—Law Journal.

Cobbett's Leading Cases and Opinions on International Law.

Collected and Digested from English and Foreign Reports, Official Documents, Parliamentary Papers, and other Sources. With Notes and Excursus, containing the Views of the Text-Writers on the Topics referred to, together with Supplementary Cases, Treaties, and Statutes; and Embodying an Account of some of the more important International Transactions and Controversies. Py PITT COBBETT, M.A., D.C.L., of Gray's Inn, Barrister-at-Law, Professor of Law, University of Sydney, N.S.W. Third Edition in preparation, in 8vo, price , cloth. 1908.

"The book is well arranged, the materials well selected, and the comments to the point. Much will be found in small space in this book."—Law Journal.

"The notes are concisely written and trustworthy. . . . The reader will learn from them a great deal on the subject, and the book as a whole seems a convenient introduction to fuller and more systematic works."—Oxford Magazine.

Cooke's (Sir G.) Common Pleas Reports in the Reigns of Queen Anne and Kings George I. and II.

The Third Edition, with Additional Cases and References contained in the Notes taken from L. C. J. EYRE'S MSS. by Mr. Justice NARES, edited by THOMAS TOWNSEND BUCKNILL, of the Inner Temple, Barrister-at-Law. In 8vo, price 31. 3s., calf antique. 1872.

"Law books never can die or remain long dead so long as Stevens and Haynes are willing to continue them or revive them when dead. It is certainly surprising to see with what facial accuracy an old volume of Reports may be produced by these modern publishers, whose good taste is only equalled by their enterprise."—Canada Law Yournal.

Cooke and Harwood's Charitable Trusts Acts, 1853, 1855, 1860.

The Charity Commissioners' Jurisdiction Act, 1862; the Roman Catholic Charities Acts; together with a Collection of Statutes relating to or affecting Charities, including the Mortmain Acts, Notes of Cases from 1853 to the present time, Forms of Declarations of Trust, Conditions of Sale, and Conveyance of Charity Land, and a very copious Index. Second Edition. By HUGH COOKE and R. G. HARWOOD, of the Charity Commission. In 8vo, price 16s., cloth. 1867.

Copinger's Law of Copyright

In Works of Literature and Art; including that of the Drama, Music, Engraving, Sculpture, Painting, Photography, and Designs; together with International and Foreign Copyright, with the Statutes relating thereto, and References to the English and American Decisions. By WALTER ARTHUR COPINGER, of the Middle Temple, Barrister-at-Law. Fourth Edition. By J. M. EASTON, of the Inner Temple, Barrister-at-Law. In Royal 8vo, price 36s., cloth. 1904.

"Mr. Copinger's book is very comprehensive, dealing with every branch of his subject, and even extending to copyright in foreign countries. So far as we have examined, we have found all the recent authorities noted up with scrupplous care, and there is an unusually good index. These are merits which will, doubtless, lead to the placing of this edition on the shelves of the members of the profession whose business is concerned with copyright; and deservedly, for the book is one of coosiderable value."—Solicitors' Yournal.

Copinger's Tables of Stamp Duties from 1815 to 1878.

By WALTER ARTHUR COPINGER, of the Middle Temple, Esquire, Barrister-at-Law: Author of "The Law of Copyright in Works of Literature and Art," "Index to Precedents in Conveyancing," "Title Deeds," &c. In 8vo, price 2s. 6d., cloth. 1878.

Copinger's Abolition of Capital Punish=

Embracing more particularly an Enunciation and Analysis of the Principles of Law as applicable to Criminals of the Highest Degree of Guilt. By WALTER ARTHUR COPINGER, of the Middle Temple, Esquire, Barrister-at-Law. In 8vo, price 1s. net, sewed. 1876.

Copinger's Title Deeds:

Their Custody, Inspection, and Production, at Law, in Equity, and in Matters of Conveyancing. Including Covenants for the Production of Deeds and Attested Copies; with an Appendix of Precedents, the Vendor and Purchaser Act 1874, &c. &c. &c. By Walter Arthur Copinger, of the Middle Temple, Barrister-at-Law; Author of "The Law of Copyright" and "Index to Precedents in Conveyancing." In one volume, 8vo, price 14s., cloth. 1875.

Cotterell's Latin Maxims and Phrases.

Literally Translated. Intended for the use of Students for all Legal Examinations. Second Edition. By J. N. COTTERELL, Solicitor. In 8vo, price 4s., cloth. 1904.

"The book seems admirably adapted as a book of reference for students who come across a Latin maxim in their reading,"—Law Journal.

Craies' Statute Law.

Founded on and being the Fourth Edition of Hardcastle on Statutory Law. With Appendices containing Words and Expressions used in Statutes which have been judicially or statutably construed, and the Popular and Short Titles of certain Statutes, and the Interpretation Act, 1899. By WILLIAM FEILDEN CRAIES, M.A., of the Inner Temple and Western Circuit, Barrister at-Law.

In One Volume. Royal 8vo. Price 28s., cloth.

"... Perhaps a book of this kind was never needed so much as at the present time, when the Legislature has seen fit to pass enactments that, to say the least, are ill drawn, and are further complicated by legislation by reference. Both the profession and students will find this work of great assistance as a guide in that difficult branch of our law, namely the construction of Statutes."—Law Times.

"This new edition of Hardcastle bears signs of the painstaking research and careful arrangement which we expect and get from Mr. Craies."—Law Journal.

"This is a carefully edited edition of a work of considerable value. The editor having prepared, the second edition is familiar with his subject, and we find throughout the book the recent decisions and dicta on the subject very nearly inserted."—Solicitors' Journal.

Criminal Appeal Reports.

Dealing (exclusively) with the whole of the Cases in the new Court of Criminal Appeal, both those before the single Judge thereof and those before a full Court. They will, therefore, include not only arguments on points of LAW and PRACTICE (such as those with which the Court for Crown Cases Reserved dealt), but also accounts of hearings on questions of FACT and SENTENCE. The price of the volume to Subscribers will be 27s. 6d.; that of the separate parts will vary according to the size. Edited by Mr. HERMAN COHEN, Barrister-at-Law, Editor of the 13th Edition of "Roscoe's Criminal Evidence," and of "The Criminal Appeal Act, 1907."

Cunningham and Mattinson's Selection of Precedents of Pleading

Under the Judicature Acts in the Common Law Divisions. With Notes explanatory of the different Causes of Action and Grounds of Defence; and an Introductory Treatise on the Present Rules and Principles of Pleading as illustrated by the various Decisions down to the Present Time. By J. CUNNINGHAM and M. W. MATTINSON. Second Edition. By MILES WALKER MATTINSON, of Gray's Inn, Barrister-at-Law, and STUART CUNNINGHAM MACASKIE, of Gray's Inn, Barrister-at-Law. In 8vo, price 28s., cloth. 1884.

Cunningham's Reports.

CUNNINGHAM'S (T.) Reports in K. B., 7 to 10 Geo. II.; to which is prefixed a Proposal for rendering the Laws of England clear and certain, humbly offered to the Consideration of both Houses of Parliament. Third edition, with numerous Corrections. By Thomas Townsend Bucknill, Barrister-at-Law. In 8vo, 1871, price 31. 3s., calf antique.

Darling's Scintillae Juris and Meditations in the Tea Room.

By the Hon. Mr. Justice DARLING. With Colophon by the late SIR FRANK LOCKWOOD, Q.C., M.P. Price 5s. net. 1902.

"'Scintillae Juris' is that little bundle of humorous essays on law and cognate matters which, since the day of its first appearance, some years ago, has been the delight of legal circles.

It has a quality of style which suggests much study of Bacon in his lighter vein. Its best essays would not be unworthy of the Essays, and if read out, one by one, before a blindfolded connoisseus, might often be assigned to that wonderful book." ** "wily News."

Deane's Principles of Conveyancing.

An Elementary Work for the use of Students. By HENRY C. DEANE, of Lincoln's Inn, Barrister-at-Law, sometime Lecturer to the Incorporated Law Society of the United Kingdom. Second Edition, in one volume, 8vo, price 18s., cloth. 1883.

De Bruyn's Opinions of Grotius

As contained in the Hollandsche Consultation on Advijsen. Collated, translated, and annotated by D. P. DE BRUYN, B.A., LL.B., Ebden Essayist of the University of the Cape of Good Hope; Advocate of the Supreme Court of the Colony of the Cape of Good Hope, and of the High Court of the South African Republic. With Facsinile Portrait of HUGO DE GROOT. In I Vol., 8vo, price 40s., cloth. 1894.

Duncan's Mercantile Cases for the Years 1885 and 1886.

Being a Digest of the Decisions of the English, Scotch and Irish Courts on Matters Relating to Commerce. By James A. Duncan, M.A., LL.B., Trinity College, Cambridge, and of the Inner Temple, Barrister-at-Law. In 8vo, price 12s. 6d., cloth. 1886—7.

Easton's Law as to the Appointment of New Trustees.

With Appendices containing Forms and Precedents and Material Sections of the Trustee Act, 1893, and the Lunacy Acts, 1890 and 1891. By J. M. Easton, of the Inner Temple, Barrister-at-Law. In 8vo, price 7s. 6d., cloth. 1900.

"... Mr. Easton has devoted great ability and learning to a treatise on this one subject, and saved all who may in future be wise erough to consult his work the labour of searching through many other more ponderous tomes for what they will most likely find here more fully considered. Mr. Easton has not only carefully examined the cases to discover and expound what has been decided, but he has shown great ingenuity in imagining what difficulties may arise, and sagacity in applying principles to their solution. The book is very complete, and contains some useful precedents, and the material sections of the Trustee Act, 1893, and the Lunacy Acts, 1890 and 1801."—Law Magazine and Review.

"Into one compact volume the author has collected the whole of the information on this subject and those who require information on this subject will find Mr. Easton's book a valuable aid."

—Law Times.

"This is a useful book on an important subject, the law of which—though often supposed to be simple—is in reality full of pitfalls. . . Mr. Easton has done his work well, and his treatment of his subject is practically exhaustive."—Law Journal.

"Mr. Easton has turned out a treatise of extreme practical utility, well arranged, exhaustive and reliable."—Saturday Review.

Edwards' Compendium of the Property in Land.

For the use of Students and the Profession. By WILLIAM DOUGLAS EDWARDS, LL.B., of Lincoln's Inn, Barrister-at-Law. Fourth Edition, price 20s., cloth.

1904.

"This book has rapidly become popular, and may now, we think, fairly claim to be to the present generation what Burton's Compendium' was to our forefathers."—Law Yournal.

Now, however, Edwards' is once more thoroughly up to date, and we hope that the Fourth Edition will have as rapid a sale as the two first editions. It is unnecessary for us to write at length about the excellencies of the work. ..."—Law Notes.

"Mr. Edwards' treatise on the Law of Real Property is marked by excellency of arrangement and conciseness of statement. ... We are glad to see, by the appearance of successive editions, that the merits of the book are appreciated."—Solicitors' Journal.

"So excellent is the arrangement that we know of no better compendium upon the subject of which

"So excellent is the arrangement that we know of no better compendium upon the subject of which it treats."-Law Times. "We consider it one of the best works published on Real Property Law."-Law Students'

Journal.

"The author has the merit of being a sound lawyer, a merit perhaps not always possessed by the authors of legal text-books for students."—Law Quarterly Review.

Elliott's Newspaper Libel and Registra= tion Act, 1881.

With a Statement of the Law of Libel as Affecting Proprietors, Publishers, and Editors of Newspapers. By G. ELLIOTT, Barrister-at-Law, of the Inner Temple. In 8vo, price 4s. 6d., cloth. 1884.

Evans' Theories and Criticisms of Henry Maine.

By Morgan O. Evans, Barrister-at-Law. Contained in his six works, "Ancient Law," "Early Law and Customs," "Early History of Institutions," "Village Communities," "International Law," and "Popular Government," which works have to be studied for the various examinations. In 8vo, price 5s., cloth. 1896.

Eversley's Domestic Relations.

Including Husband and Wife: Parent and Child: Guardian and Ward: Infants: and Master and Servant. By WILLIAM PINDER EVERSLEY, B.C.L., M.A., of the Inner Temple, Barrister-at-Law. Third Edition, in royal 8vo, price 38v., cloth. 1906. "We are glad to see a second edition of Mr. Eversley's useful work. There is a convenience in

"We are glad to see's second edition of Mr. Eversley's useful work. There is a convenience in having the various subjects of which it treats collected in one volume, while at the same time each is handled with such fulftess as to give the reader all the information he could expect in a separate volume. Mr. Eversley states the law with the most painstaking thoroughness, and has made an exhaustive survey of all the relevant statistes and cases. . . Great care has been taken to make the present edition complete and accurate, and a very full index adds to its utility."—Selicitors' Journal.

Finlason's Queen v. Gurney and others

In the Court of Queen's Bench before the Lord Chief Justice COCKBURN. With Introduction, containing History of the Case, and Examination of the Cases at Law and Equity applicable to it. By W. F. FINLASON, Barrister-at-Law In 8vo, price 10s. 6d., cloth. 1870.

Foa's Law of Landlord and Tenant.

By EDGAR Fox, of the Inner Temple, Barrister at-Law. Fourth Edition, price 30s., cloth. 1907.

Private International Jurispru= Foote's dence

Based on the Decisions in the English Conrts. By JOHN ALDERSON FOOTE, one of His Majesty's Connsel; Chancellor's Legal Medallist and Senior Whewell Scholar of International Law, Cambridge University, 1873; Senior Student in Jurisprudence and Roman Law, Inns of Court Examination, Hilary Term, 1874.

Third Edition, in roy. 8vo, cloth, 25s. 1904.

"... This excellent work on private international law is now well known throughout the Profession, and its assistance to lawyers who have to deal with the difficult questions that arise on the subject is undoubted. The 'continuous summary' which appears throughout, and is reprinted in extenso at the end of the volume, is a valuable guide to the reader, and will enable him to get a good grasp of a subject which is both difficult and complex."—Law Times.

Forbes' Law of Savings Banks since 1878.

With a Digest of Decisions made by the Chief Registrar and Assistant Registrars of Friendly Societies from 1878 to 1882, being a Supplement to the Law relating to Trustee and Post Office Savings Banks. By U. A. FORBES, of Lincoln's Inn, Barrister-at-Law. In demy 12mo, price 6s., cloth. The complete work can be had, price 10s. 6d. 1884.

Forbes' Statutory Law relating to Trustee Savings Banks (1863—1891).

Together with the Treasury Regulations (1888—1889), and the Scheme for the Appointment of the Inspection Committee of Trustee Savings Banks. By URQUHART A. FORBES, of Lincoln's Inn, Esq., Barrister-at-Law, Author of "The Law Relating to Savings Banks "; the "Law of Savings Banks since 1878"; and joint Author of "The Law Relating to Water." In demy 12mo, price 55. 1892.

Ford on Oaths, for use by Commissioners for Oaths

And all Persons Authorised to Administer Oaths in the British Islands and the Colonies, containing Special Forms of Jurats and Oaths—Information as to Affidavits, Affirmations and Declarations—Directions for the Guidance of Solicitors Applying to be Appointed English Commissioners: also Tables of Fees, Statutes, etc., and general Practical Information as to the Powers, Duties, Designation, and Jurisdiction of all Official and other Persons authorised to administer Oaths, as affected by the Commissioners for Oaths Acts, 1889, 1890, 1891, and other Statutes, and by Rules of Supreme Courts of England and Ireland; with Notes of Recent Decisions. Eighth Edition. By FREDERICK HUGH SHORT, Chief Clerk of the Crown Office, King's Bench Division. In crown 8vo, price 3s. 6d. net.

Frost's Law and Practice relating to Letters Patent for Inventions.

With an Appendix of Statutes, International Convention, Rules, Forms, and Precedents, Orders, etc. By ROBERT FROST, B.Sc. (Lond.), Fellow of the Chemical Society; of Lincoln's Inn, Esquire, Barrister-at-Law. Third Edition in 2 vols., royal 8vo, price 36s., cloth. 1906.

"It is about seven years since we had the pleasure of noticing Mr. Frost's work on Patent Law, and formed the opinion that its success would be secured by its undoubted merit. In the time that has elapsed 'Frost oo Patents' has taken its place securely as the leading text book on the subject.

To all, whether lawyers or patent agents, who require assistance in the law of patents, Mr. Frost's book will be welcome as a mine of valuable and accurate information."—Law Times, Nov. 5th, 1898.

"Mr. Frost has in this second edition produced a most admirable and exhaustive treatise on the Patent Law of the United Kingdom. . . It is a work of well-directed industry from the peo of one versed in this important branch of the law, and there are few questions arising in patent law and practice on which adequate information and a complete collection of the authorities, will not be found within this volume . . We congratulate Mr. Frost on having produced a very important addition to our law text books."—Law Journal, Oct. 29th, 1898.

"When the first edition of this work appeared, more than seven years ago, we were glad to be able to speak of it in favourable terms, and the opinion which we then expressed may be repeated with greater emphasis with respect to this second edition, which leaves little to be desired either as a statement of the law and practice or as a monument of the author's industry and accuracy. . . The net result of our examination of the book is to satisfy us that it is one for which the profession will very properly be grateful."—Solicitor's Journal, Nov. 19th, 1896.

Frost's Patents and Designs Act, 1907.

With Rules and Forms, &c. By KOBERT FROST, B.Sc. (Lond.), Fellow of the Chemical Society; of Lincoln's Inn, Esq., Barrister-at-Law. In royal 8vo, price 10s., cloth. 1908.

Gibbs' Case of Lord Henry Seymour's Will (Wallace v. The Attorney-General).

Reported by FREDERICK WEYMOUTH GIBBS, C.B., Barrister-at-Law, late Fellow of Trinity College, Cambridge. In royal 8vo, price 10s., cloth. 1877.

Godefroi & Shortt's Railway Companies.

Comprising the Companies Clauses, the Lands Clauses, the Railways Clauses Consolidation Acts, the Railway Companies Act, 1867, and the Regulation of Railways Act, 1868; with Notes of Cases on all the Sections, brought down to the end of the year 1868; together with an Appendix giving all the other material Acts relating to Railways, and the Standing Orders of the Houses of Lords and Commons; and a copious Index. By Henry Godefroi, of Lincoln's Inn, and John Shortt, of the Middle Temple, Barristers-at-Law. In 8vo, price 32s., cloth. 1869.

Greenwood & Martin's Magisterial and Police Guide:

Being the law relating to the Procedure, Jurisdiction, and Duties of Magistrates and Police Authorities, in the Metropolis and in the country, with an Introduction showing the General Procedure before Magistrates both in Indictable and Summary Matters. By Henry C. Grenwood, Stipendiary Magistrate for the district of the Staffordshire Potteries; and Temple Chevalier Martin, Chief Clerk to the Magistrates at Lambeth Police Court, London; Author of "The Law of Maintenance and Desertion," "The New Formulist," etc. Third Edition. Including the Session 52 & 53 Vict., and the cases decided in the superior courts to the end of the year 1889, revised and enlarged. By Temple Chevalier Martin. In 8vo, price 32s., cloth. 1890.

Griffith's Married Women's Property Acts; 1870, 1874, 1882 and 1884.

With Copious and Explanatory Notes, and an Appendix of the Acts relating to Married Women. By Archibald Brown, M.A., Edinburgh and Oxon., and the Middle Temple, Barrister-at-Law. Being the Sixth Edition of The Married Women's Property Acts. By the late J. R. Griffith, B.A. Oxon., of Lincoln's Inn, Barrister-at-Law. In 8vo, price 9s., cloth. 1891.

Handbook to the Intermediate and Final LL.B. of London University.

Pass and Honours. Including a complete Summary of "Austin's Jurisprudence," and the Examination Papers of late years in all branches. By a B.A., LL.B. (Lond.). Second Edition, in 8vo, price 6s., cloth. 1889.

Hanson's Death Duties.

Being the Fifth Edition of the Acts relating to Estate Duty, Finance, Probate, Legacy, and Succession Duties. Comprising the 36 Geo. III. c. 52; 45 Geo. III. c. 28; 55 Geo. III. c. 184; and 16 & 17 Vict. c. 51; the Customs and Inland Revenue Acts, 43 Vict. c. 14; and 44 Vict. c. 12; also the New Estate Duty Finance Acts, 57 & 58 Vict. c. 30, and 59 & 60 Vict. c. 28; with an Introduction, Copious Notes, and References to all the Decided Cases in England, Scotland, and Ireland. An Appendix and a full Index. By Alfred Hanson, of the Middle Temple, Esq., Barrister-at-Law, Comptroller of Legacy and Succession Duties. Fifth Edition by Lewis T. Dibdin, D.C.L. (Dean of the Arches), and F. H. L. Errington, M.A., Barrister-at-Law. In 8vo, price 30s., cloth. 1904.

"The Fifth Edition of this deservedly well-known text-book has been carried out with much care, and many improvements by Mr. Errington, Sir Lewis Dibdin being now otherwise occupied with official duties . . . And by way of a more complete consecutiveness, all the Acts are printed without notes at the end of this part, with marginal references to the pages at which the sections are treated in detail. This arrangement will much improve the usefulness of the book for the busy man, who does not appreciate that form of original research, which reaches its highest perfection in the brains of experts in Bradshaw. The Amending Acts and new decisions appear to be fully incorporated, and will combine with the new arrangement to make the book most acceptable to the profession."—Solicitors' Journal.

"Seven years have elapsed since the last Edition of Hanson was published, and the profession will welcome this new edition not less cordially than its predecessors. The plan of separating the sub-sections of the Acts, which led to confusion, has been abandoned, and the difference between the type of the Statutes and the notes has been made greater. The reputation of the work of a leading authority on a complicated subject is fully maintained."—Law Journal.

"... Since the last Edition there have been two Amending Acts dealing with estate duty, and a large number of cases decided by the courts, all of which have been duly incorporated in the text. All the Acts relating to estate duty have been printed together as a whole—a convenient arrangement. The book may well be described as the leading work on the Death Duties,"—Law Times.

Harris' Illustrations in Advocacy,

With an Analysis of the Speeches of Mr. Hawkins, Q.C. (Lord Brampton) in the Tichborne Prosecution for Perjury. (A study in Advocacy.) Also a Prefatory Letter from the Right Hon. Lord Brampton. By RICHARD HARRIS, K.C., a Bencher of the Middle Temple. Fourth Edition, re-written by the Author. 12mo. Price 7s. 6d., cloth.

Harris's Principles of the Criminal Law.

Intended as a Lucid Exposition of the subject for the use of Students and the Profession. By SEYMOUR F. HARRIS, B.C.L., M.A. (Oxon.), Author of "A Concise Digest of the Institutes of Gaius and Justinian." Eleventh Edition. By C. L. ATTENBOROUGH, of the Inner Temple, Barrister-at-Law. In 8vo, price 20s., cloth. 1908.

"This Standard Textbook of the Criminal Law is as good a book on the subject as the ordinary student will find on the library shelves . . . The book is very clearly and simply written. No previous legal knowledge is taken for granted, and everything is explained in such a manner, that no student ought to have much difficulty in obtaining a grasp of the subject. . . ."—Solicitors' Journal.

".... As a Student's Textbook we have always felt that this work would be hard to beat, and at the present time we have no reason for altering our opinion. . . . "—Law Times.

Harris's Institutes of Gaius and Justinian.

With copious References arranged in Parallel Columns, also Chronological and Analytical Tables, Lists of Laws, &c., &c. Primarily designed for the use of Students preparing for Examination at Oxford, Cambridge, and the Inns of Court. By Skymour F. Harris, B.C.L., M.A., Worcester College, Oxford, and the Inner Temple, Barrister-at-Law, Author of "Universities and Legal Education." Third Edition, in crown 8vo, 6s. 1899.

"This book contains a summary in English of the elements of Roman Law as contained in the works of Gaius and Justinian, and is so arranged that the reader can at once see what are the opinions of either of these two writers on each point. From the very exact and accurate references to titles and sections given he can at once refer to the original writers. The concise manner in which Mr. Harris has arranged his digest will render it most useful, not only to the students for whom it was originally written, but also to those persons who, though they have not the time to wade through the larger treatises of Poste, Sanders, Ortolan, and others, yet desire to obtain some knowledge of Roman Law."—Oxford and Cambridge Undergraduates' Fournal.

Harris's Titles to Mines in the United States.

With the Statutes and References to the Decisions of the Courts relating thereto. By W. A. HARRIS, B.A. Oxon, of Lincoln's Inn, Barrister-at-Law; and of the American Bar. In 8vo, price 7s. 6d., cloth. 1877.

Harrison's Epitome of the Laws of Probate and Divorce.

For the use of Students for Honours Examination. By J. CARTER HARRISON, Solicitor. Fourth Edition, in 8vo, price 7s. 6d., cloth. 1891.

"The work is considerably enlarged, and we think improved, and will be found of great assistance to students."—Law Students Journal.

Hazlitt & Ringwood's Bankruptcy Act, 1883.

With Notes of all the Cases decided under the Act; the Consolidated Rules and Forms, 1886; the Debtors Act, 1869, so far as applicable to Bankruptcy Matters, with Rules and Forms thereunder; the Bills of Sale Acts, 1878 and 1882; Board of Trade Circulars and Forms, and List of Official Receivers; Scale of Costs, Fees, and Percentages, 1886; Orders of the Bankruptcy Judge of the High Court; and a Copious Index. By WILLIAM HAZLITT, Esq., Senior Registrar in Bankruptcy, and RICHARD RINGWOOD, M.A., of the Middle Temple, Esquire, Barrister-at-Law. Second Edition, by R. RINGWOOD, M.A., Barrister-at-Law. In crown 8vo, price 12s. 6d., cloth. 1887.

Higgins' Pollution and Obstruction of Water Courses.

Together with a Brief Summary of the Various Sources of Rivers Pollution. By CLEMENT HIGGINS, M.A., F.C.S., of the Inner Temple, Barrister-at-Law. In one volume, 8vo, price 12s., cloth. 1877.

Houston's Stoppage in Transitu, Retention, and Delivery.

By John Houston, of the Middle Temple, Barrister-at-Law. In one volume, demy 8vo, price 10s. 6d., cloth. 1866.

Hurst & Cecil's Principles of Commercial Law.

With an Appendix of Statutes, Annotated by means of references to the Text. Second Edition. By JOSEPH HURST, of the Inner Temple, Barrister-at-Law. In one volume, 8vo, price 10s. 6d., cloth. 1906.

"Their compendium, we believe, will be found a really useful volume, one for the lawyer and the business man to keep at his elbow and which, if not giving them all that they require, will place in their hands the key to the richer and more elaborate treasures of the Law which lie in larger and more exhaustive works."—Law Times.

"The object of the authors of this work, they tell us in their preface, is to state. withio a moderate compass, the principles of commercial law. Very considerable pains have obviously been expended on the task, and the book is in many respects a very serviceable one."—Law Journal.

Indermaur's Principles of the Common Law.

Intended for the use of Students and the Profession. Tenth Edition. By John Indermaur, Solicitor, Author of "A Manual of the Practice of the Supreme Court," "Epitomes of Leading Cases," and other Works; and Charles Thwaites, Solicitor. In 8vo, 20s. 1904.

"That invaluable students' manual, Indermaur's 'Principles of the Common Law,' has entered upon a tenth edition in less than two years and a half. Assisted by Mr. Charles Thwaites, the learned author has incorporated recent cases, and generally revised the work in his usual skilful fashion."—Law Times.

"The appearance of a tenth edition of 'Indermaur on Common Law' shows that the work has established for itself a safe position."—Solicitors' Journal.

Indermaur's Manual of the Practice of the Supreme Court of Judicature,

In the King's Bench and Chancery Divisions. Ninth Edition. Intended for the use of Students and the Profession. By John Indermaur, Solicitor. In 8vo, price 15s., cloth. 1905.

"The eighth edition of Indermaurs 'Manual of Practice' (London: Stevens and Haynes), chiefly called for by reason of the Order XXX., has also been partly rewritten and improved in arrangement and detail. While primarily designed for students, we may mention that it will be found a useful companion to the White Book."—Law Times.

The arrangement of the book is good, and references are given to the leading decisions. Copious references are also given to the rules, so that the work forms a convenient guide to the larger volumes on practice. It is a very successful attempt to deal clearly and concisely with an important and complicated subject."—Solicitors' Journal.

Indermaur's Leading Conveyancing and Equity Cases.

With some short notes thereon, for the use of Students. By JOHN INDERMAUR, Solicitor, Author of "An Epitome of Leading Common Law Cases." Ninth Edition by C. Thwaites. In 8vo, price 6s., cloth. 1903.

"The Epitome well deserves the continued patronage of the class—Students—for whom it is expecially intended. Mr. Inderman will soon be known as the 'Student's Friend."—Canada Law Journal.

Indermaur's Leading Common Law Cases;

With some short notes thereon. Chiefly intended as a Guide to "SMITH'S LEADING CASES." By C. THWAITES, Solicitor. Ninth Edition, in 8vo, price 6s., cloth. 1903.

Indermaur's Articled Clerk's Guide to and Self-Preparation for the Final Examination.

Containing a Complete Course of Study, with Books to Read, List of Statutes, Cases, Test Questions, &c., and intended for the use of those Articled Clerks who read by themselves. By Charles Thwaites, Solicitor. Seventh Edition, 8vo, price 6s., cloth. 1906.

"His advice is practical and sensible: and if the course of study he recommends is intelligently followed, the articled clerk will have laid in a store of legal knowledge more than sufficient to carry him through the Final Examination."—Solicitors' Journal.

Indermaur's Judicature Acts,

And the rules thereunder.

for the use of Law Students.

Being a book of Questions and Answers intended
By John Indermaur, Solicitor. In 8vo, price 6s.,
cloth. 1875.

Indermaur's Guide to Bankruptcy,

Being a Complete Digest of the Law of Bankruptcy in the shape of Questions and Answers, and comprising all Questions asked at the Solicitors' Final Examinations in Bankruptcy since the Bankruptcy Act, 1883, and all important Decisions since that Act. By JOIN INDERMAUR, Solicitor, Author of "Principles of Common Law," &c. &c. Second Edition, in crown 8vo, price 5s. 6d., cloth. 1887.

Indermaur's Law of Bills of Sale,

For the use of Law Students and the Public. Embracing the Acts of 1878 and 1882. Part I.—Of Bills of Sale generally. Part II.—Of the Execution, Attestation, and Registration of Bills of Sale and satisfaction thereof. Part III.—Of the Effects of Bills of Sale as against Creditors. Part IV.—Of Seizing under, and Enforcing Bills of Sale. Appendix, Forms, Acts, &c. By JOHN INDERMAUR, Solicitor. In 12mo, price 5s. 6d., cloth. 1882.

Inderwick's Calendar of the Inner Temple Records.

Edited by F. A. INDERWICK, Q.C. Vol. I., 21 Hen. VII. (1505)—45 Eliz. (1603). Vol. II., James I. (1603)—Restoration (1660). Vol. III., 12 Charles II. (1660)—12 Anne (1714). Imperial 8vo. Roxburghe binding. 1896. 20s. per vol. net.

Jones' Law of Salvage,

As administered in the High Court of Admiralty and the County Courts; with the Principal Authorities, English and American, brought down to the present time; and an Appendix, containing Statutes, Forms, Table of Fees, &c. By EDWYN JONES, of Gray's Inn, Barrister-at-Law. In crown 8vo, price 10s. 6d., cloth. 1870.

Joyce's Law and Practice of Injunctions.

Embracing all the subjects in which Courts of Equity and Common Law have jurisdiction. By WILLIAM JOYCE, of Lincoln's Inn, Barrister-at-Law. In two volumes, royal 8vo, price 70s., cloth. 1872.

Joyce's Doctrines and Principles of the Law of Injunctions.

By WILLIAM JOYCE, of Lincoln's Inn, Barrister-at-Law. In one volume, royal 8vo, price 30s., cloth. 1877.

Kay's Shipmasters and Seamen.

Their Appointment, Duties, Powers, Rights, Liabilities, and Remedies. By the late Joseph Kay, Esq., M.A., Q.C. Second Edition. With a Supplement comprising the Merchant Shipping Act, 1894, the Rules of Court made thereunder, and the (proposed) Regulations for Preventing Collisions at Sea. By the Hon. J. W. Mansfield, M.A., and G. W. Duncan, Esq., B.A., of the Inner Temple, Barristers-at-Law. In royal 8vo, price 46s., cloth. 1895.

"It has had practical and expert knowledge brought to bear upon it, while the case law is brought down to a very late date. Considerable improvement has been made in the index."—

Law Times.

Kay's Merchant Shipping Act, 1894.

With the Rules of Court made thereunder. Being a Supplement to KAY'S LAW RELATING TO SHIPMASTERS AND SEAMEN. To which are added the (proposed) Regulations for Preventing Collisions at Sea. With Notes. By Hon. J. W. MANSFIELD, M.A., and G. W. DUNCAN, B.A., of the Inner Temple, Barristers at-Law. In royal 8vo, price 10s. 6d., cloth. 1895.

Kelyng's (Sir John) Crown Cases.

KELYNG'S (Sir J.) Reports of Divers Cases in Pleas of the Crown in the Reign of King Charles II., with Directions to Justices of the Peace, and others; to which are added, Three Modern Cases, viz., Armstrong and Lisle, the King and Plummer, the Queen and Mawgridge. Third Edition, containing several additional Cases never before printed, together with a Treatise upon the Law and Proceedings in Cases of High Treason, first published in 1793. The whole carefully revised and edited by RICHARD LOVELAND LOVELAND, of the Inner Temple, Barrister at-Law. In 8vo, price 4l. 4s., calf antique. 1873.

"We look upon this volume as one of the most important and valuable of the unique reprints of Messrs. Stevens and Haynes. Little do we know of the mines of legal wealth that lie buried in the old law books. But a careful examination, either of the reports or of the treatise embodied in the volume how before us, will give the reader some idea of the good service rendered by Messrs. Stevens and Haynes to the profession. . . Should occasion arise, the Crown prosecutor, as well as counsel for the prisoner, will find in this volume a complete vade mecum of the law of high treason and proceedings in relation thereto."—Canada Law Journal.

Kelynge's (W.) Reports.

KELYNGE'S (William) Reports of Cases in Chancery, the King's Bench, &c., from the 3rd to the 9th year of his late Majesty King George II., during which time Lord King was Chancellor, and the Lords Raymond and Hardwicke were Chief Justices of England. To which are added, seventy New Cases not in the First Edition. Third Edition. In one handsome volume, 8vo, price 41. 4s., calf antique. 1873.

Lloyd's Law of Compensation for Lands, Houses, &c.

Under the Lands Clauses Consolidation Acts, the Railways Clauses Consolidation Acts, the Public Health Act, 1875, the Housing of the Working Classes Act, 1890, the Metropolitan Local Management Act, and other Acts, with a full collection of Forms and Precedents. By EYRE LLOYD, of the Inner Temple, Barrister-at-Law. Sixth Edition. By W. J. BROOKS, of the Inner Temple, Barrister-at-Law. In 8vo, price 215., cloth. 1895.

"In providing the legal profession with a book which contains the decisions of the Courts of Law and Equity upon the various statutes relating to the Law of Compensation, Mr. Eyre Lloyd has long since left all competitors in the distance, and his book may now be considered the standard work upon the subject. The plan of Mr. Lloyd's book is generally known, and its lucidity is appreciated; the present quite fulfils all the promises of the preceding editions, and contains in addition to other matter a complete set of forms under the Artizans and Labourers Act, 1875, and specimens of Bills of Costs, which will be found a novel feature extremely useful to legal practitioners."—Justice of the Peace.

Lloyd's Succession Laws of Christian Countries.

With special reference to the Law of Primogeniture as it exists in England. By EYRE LLOYD, B.A., Barrister-at-Law. In 8vo, price 7s., cloth. 1877.

Marcy's Epitome of Conveyancing Statutes.

Extending from 13 Edw. I. to the End of 55 and 56 Victoriæ. Fifth Edition, with Short Notes. By GEORGE NICHOLS MARCY, of Lincoln's Inn. Barrister-at-Law. In crown 8vo, price 12s. 6d., cloth. 1893.

Martin's Law of Maintenance and Desertion, and the Orders of the Justices thereon.

Second Edition, including the Law of Affiliation and Bastardy. With an Appendix of Statutes and Forms, including the Summary Jurisdiction (Married Women's) Act of 1895. By TEMPLE CHEVALIER MARTIN, Chief Clerk of the Lambeth Police Court, Editor of the "Magisterial and Police Guide," &c., and GEORGE TEMPLE MARTIN, M.A., of Lincoln's Inn, Barrister-at-Law. In 8vo, price 9s., cloth. 1896.

Mathews' Guide to Law of Wills.

By A. G. MATHEWS, of the Inner Temple, Barrister - at - Law. In 12mo, price 7s. 6d. 1908.

May's Statutes of Elizabeth against Fraudulent Conveyances.

The Bills of Sale Acts 1878 and 1882 and the Law of Voluntary Dispositions of Property. By the late H. W. MAY, B.A. (Ch. Ch. Oxford). Third Edition, thoroughly revised and enlarged, by WILLIAM DOUGLAS EDWARDS, LL.B., of Lincoln's Inn, Barrister-at-Law; Author of the "Compendium of the Law of Property in Land," &c. In royal 8vo, price 30s., cloth. 1908.

Mayne's Treatise on the Law of Damages.

Seventh Edition, revised and partly rewritten, by JOHN D. MAYNE, of the Inner Temple, Barrister-at-Law; and His Honour Judge LUMLEY SMITH, K.C. In 8vo,

"It would be superfluous to say more of this notable book than that this is the seventh edition, and that its original author and his co-editor, Judge Lumley Smith of the City of London Court, have written the preface to this issue of it, nearly fifty years after the issue of the first. The last edition was in 1899, and the present, carefully revised and corrected, brings up to date all the English and Irish decisions bearing on the Law of Damages."—Saturday Review.

Mayne's Treatise on Hindu Law Usage.

By JOHN D. MAYNE, of the Inner Temple, Barrister-at-Law, Author of "A Treatise on Damages," &c. Seventh Edition, 8vo, 3os. net. 1906.

Moore's History of the Foreshore and the Law relating thereto.

With a hitherto unpublished Treatise by Lord Hale, Lord Hale's "De Jure Maris," and the Third Edition of Hall's Essay on the Rights of the Crown in the Sea-shore. with Notes, and an Appendix relating to Fisheries. By STUART A. MOORE, F.S.A., of the Inner Temple, Barrister-at-Law. In one volume, medium 8vo, price 38s., cloth; or in half-roxburgh, 42s. 1888.

"Mr. Moore has written a book of great importance which should mark an epoch in the history of the rights of the Crowa and the subject in the litus maris, or foreshore of the kingdom.... The Profession, not to say the general public, owe the learned author a deep debt of gratitude for providing ready to hand such a wealth of materials for founding and building up arguments. Mr. Stuart Moore has written a work which must, unless his contentions are utterly unfounded, at once become the standard text-book on the law of the Sea-shore."—Law Times.

Moore's History and Law of Fisheries.

By STUART A. MOORE, F.S.A., and HUBERT STUART MOORE, of the Inner Temple, Barristers-at-Law. In one volume, royal 8vo, price 21s. 1903. CONTENTS: PART I.—INTRODUCTION.—Chapter I. Of the evidence as to fisheries in the Domesday Book; II. Of putting rivers in defence; III. Of presumptions with regard to fisheries; IV. Of the presumption of ownership of the soil by the owner of the fishery; V. Of the origin and subdivision of fisheries; VI. Of the different kind of fisheries; VII. Of the various descriptions of fisheries in ancient records; VIII. Incorporeal fisheries in tidal water; IX. Incorporeal fisheries in non-tidal water; X. Of fishery appurtenant to or parcel of a manor; XI. Of fishery appurtenant to a particular tenement; XII. Copyhold fisheries. XIII. Of fisheries in gross; XIV. Of divided fisheries and the Royal draught; XV. Of fisheries in ponds and lakes and the ownership of the soil; XVI. Of fisheries in canals and artificial watercourses; XVII. Of fishery in relation to navigation; XVIII. Of fishing paths; XIX. Of the public right of fishery and its limits; XX. Of boundaries of fisheries; XXI. Of change in the course of a river, and its effect upon the ownership of the fishery therein; XXII. Of grants of fisheries; XXIII. Of evidence of title to fisheries; XXIV. Of evidence of possession of fisheries in proving title; XXV. Of the effect of user by the public and others adverse to the owner of a fishery; XXVI. Of the powers of an owner of a fishery to lease and license, &c.; XXVII. Of proceedings for the protection of fisheries. PART II.— STATUTE LAW RELATING TO FISHERIES.—I. Summary of legislation relating to fish and fisheries; II. Regulation of sea fisheries; III. Registration and discipline of sea fishing boats; IV. Statutory provisions relating to fisheries generally; V. Statutory provisions relating to floating fish; VI. Statutory provisions relating to shell fish; VII. Regulation of salmon and fresh-water fisheries; VIII. Powers of Boards of Conservators; IX. Water bailiffs; X. Statutory provisions as to the capture and destruction of salmon and fresh-water fish; XI. Close seasons; XII. Licenses; XIII. Sale and exportation of fish. APPENDICES: Statutes with notes relating thereto; Sea and Salmon Acts; List of Sea and Salmon Fishery Districts; Orders in Council as to registration of sea fishing boats; List of fisheries referred to in Domesday Book; List of fisheries referred to in notes of ancient records in the Author's collection; Index.

Morgan.—A Practical Analysis of the Public Trustee Act, 1906.

By P. W. MORGAN, Barrister-at-Law. In crown 8vo, 1s. 6d. net.

Norton=Kyshe's Law and Privileges relating to the Attorney=General and Solicitor=General of England.

With a History from the Earliest Periods, and a Series of King's Attorneys and Attorneys and Solicitors-General from the reign of Henry III. to the 60th of Victoria. By J. W. NORTON-KYSHE, of Lincoln's Inn, Barrister-at-Law. In 8vo, price 10s. 6d. net. 1897.

Norton=Kyshe's Law and Customs relating to Gloves.

Being an Exposition Historically viewed of Ancient Laws, Customs, and Uses in respect of Gloves and of the Symbolism of the Hand and Glove in Judicial Proceedings. With Illustrations. By J. W. NORTON-KYSHE, of Lincoln's Inn, Esq., Barrister-at-Law. In crown 8vo, 5s. net, cloth. 1901.

O'Malley & Hardcastle's Reports of the Decisions of the Judges for the Trial of Election Petitions, in England and Ireland.

Pursuant to the Parliamentary Elections Act, 1868. By EDWARO LOUGHLIN O'MAILEY and HENRY HARDCASTLE. Vol. IV. Part III. and all after are Edited by J. S. SANDARS and A. P. P. KEEF, Barristers-at-Law. Vols. I., III., IV., and V., Parts I., II. and III., price 51. 125.

Peile's Law and Practice of Discovery in the Supreme Court of Justice.

With an Appendix of Forms, Orders, &c., and an Addenda giving the Alterations under the New Rules of Practice. By CLARENCE J. PEILE, of the Inner Temple, Barrister-at-Law. In 8vo, price 12s., cloth. 1883.

Pemberton's Judgments, Orders, and Practice of the Supreme Court,

Chiefly in respect to actions assigned to the Chancery Division. By LOFTUS LEIGH PEMBERTON, one of the registrars of the Supreme Court of Judicature; and Author of "The Practice in Equity by way of Revivor and Supplement." Fourth Edition, in royal 8vo, price 40s., cloth. 1889.

Pemberton's Practice of Equity by Way of Revivor and Supplement.

With Forms of Orders and Appendix of Bills. By LOFTUS LEIGH PEMBERTON, of the Chancery Registrar's Office. In royal 8vo, price 10s. 6d., cloth. 1867.

Phipson's Law of Evidence.

By S. L. Phipson, M.A., of the Inner Temple, Barrister-at-Law. Fourth Edition, in demy 8vo, price 15s., cloth. 1907.

"This valuable book of reference has been brought up to date by the inclusion of the Criminal Evidence Act, 1898, and the changes wrought by it in the Law of Evidence."—Cambridge Review.
"Mr. Phipson's is certainly one of the most useful works on an important and difficult subject. That it is appreciated by the profession is obvious, or it would not in ten years have reached a third edition."—Oxford Magazine.
"... The work is a happy medium between a book of the type of Stephen's Digest, and the large treatises upon the subject, and owing to its excellent arrangement is one that is well suited both to practitioners and students."—Law Times.

Phipson's Manual of the Law of Evidence.

Being an abridgement of the larger treatise. By S. L. Phipson, M.A., of the Inner Temple, Barrister-at-Law. In crown 8vo, 7s. 6d. 1908.

Porter's Laws of Insurance: Fire, Life, Accident, and Guarantee.

Embodying Cases in the English, Scotch, Irish, American, and Canadian Courts. By James Biggs Porter, of the Inner Temple, Barrister-at-Law; assisted by W. Feilden Craies, M.A. Fifth Edition, in 8vo, 21s. 1908.

"The successive editions of this book which have been called for shew that the profession appreciate the advantage of having the law as to the various forms of assurance, except Marine Insurance which forms a branch quite by itself, collected in one volume. . . . The work is clearly written, and this edition has been brought up to date by the inclusion of a large number of recent cases."—Solicitors' Journal.

Porter. A Manual of the Law of Principal and Agent.

By JAMES BIGGS PORTER, Barrister-at-Law. In 8vo, price 10s. 6d., cloth. 1905.

Renton's Law and Practice in Lunacy.

With the Lunacy Acts, 1890-91 (Consolidated and Annotated); the Rules of Lunacy Commissioners; the Idiots Act, 1886; the Vacating of Seats Act, 1886; the Rules in Lunacy; the Lancashire County (Asylums and other powers) Act, 1891; the Inebriates Act, 1879 and 1888 (Consolidated and Annotated); the Criminal Lunacy Acts, 1800-1884; and a Collection of Forms, Precedents, &c. By A. WOOD RENTON, Barrister-at-Law. In one Volume, royal 8vo, price 50s. net. 1897.

Ringwood's Principles of Bankruptcy.

Embodying the Bankruptcy Acts, 1883 and 1890, and the Leading Cases thereon; Part of the Debtors Act, 1869; The Bankruptcy Appeals (County Courts) Act, 1884; The Bankruptcy (Discharge and Closure) Act, 1887; The Preferential Payments in Bankruptcy Acts, 1888 and 1897; with an Appendix containing the Schedules to the Bankruptcy Act, 1883; The Bankruptcy Rules, 1886, 1890, and 1891; the Rules as to the Committal of Judgment Debtors, and as to Administration Orders; Regulations Issued by the Bankruptcy Judge; a Scale of Costs, Fees, and Percentages; The Bills of Sale Acts, 1878, 1882, 1890, and 1891, and the Rules thereunder; The Deeds of Arrangement Act, 1887; and the Rules thereunder. By RICHARD RINGWOOD, M.A., of the Middle Temple, Barrister-at-Law; late Scholar of Trinity College, Dublin. Tenth Edition, in 8vo, price 10s. 6a., cloth. 1908.

"We welcome a new edition of this excellent student's book. We have written favourably of

"We welcome a new edition of this excellent student's book. We have written favourably of it in reviewing previous editions, and every good word we have written we would now reiterate and perhaps even more so. . . In conclusion, we congratulate Mr. Ringwood on this edition, and have no hesitation in saying that it is a capital student's book."—Law Student's Yournal.
"This edition is a considerable improvement on the first, and although chiefly written for the use of students, the work will be found tiseful to the practitioner."—Law Times.
"The author deals with the whole history of a bankruptcy from the initial act of bankruptcy down to the discharge of the bankrupt, and a cursory perusal of his work gives the impression that the book will prove useful to practitioners as well as to students. The appendix also contains much matter that will be useful to practitioners, including the Schedules, the Bankruptcy Rules of 1886, 1890 and 1891, the Rules of the Supreme Court as to Bills of Sale, and various Acts of Parliament bearing upon the subject. The Index is copious."—Accountant's Magazine.

Ringwood's Outlines of the Law of Torts.

Prescribed as a Textbook by the Incorporated Law Society of Ireland. By RICHARD RINGWOOD, M.A., of the Middle Temple, Barrister at-Law; author of "Principles of Bankruptcy," etc., and Lecturer on Common Law to the Incorporated Law Society. Fourth Edition, in 8vo, price 10s. 6d., cloth. 1906.

"We have always had a great liking for this work, and are very pleased to see by the appearance of a new Edition that it is appreciated by students. We consider that for the ordinary student who wants to take up a separate work on Torts, this is the hest book he can read, for it is clear and explanatory, and has good illustrative cases, and it is all contained in a very modest compass.

This Edition appears to have heen thoroughly revised, and is, we think, in many respects improved."—Law Students Yournal.

"The work is one we well recommend to law students, and the able way in which it is written reflects may credit upon the author."—Law Students and the subject of the students of the students.

reflects much credit upon the author."-Law Times.

Ringwood's Outlines of the Law of Banking.

In crown 12mo, price 5s., cloth. 1906.

". . . The book is in a most convenient and portable form, and we can heartily commend the latest production of this well-known writer to the attention of the business community." -Financial Times.

Rowlatt's Law of Principal and Surety.

By S. A. T. ROWLATT, M.A., late Fellow of King's College, Cambridge; of the Inner Temple, Barrister-at-Law. In 8vo, price 16s. 1899.

"... Here will be found all the rights and liabilities of the surety, his defences, his releases, the effect of bankruptcy, and so on; and, as we said at the outset, the index forms a most excellent and comprehensive guide to the text. ... We can quite believe that this text-book will take a respectable place among legal authorities."—Law Times.

"He brings out fully in all its ramifications the nature of the law of guarantee."—Saturday

Review.

"Few branches of the law are more important or difficult than that relating to sureties. The latest addition to legal literature is a treatise by Mr. S. A. T. Rowlatt on 'The Law of Principal and Surety,' which deals with the subject both exhaustively and ably. The work is excellent in style and arrangement, and ought to prove very useful to every lawyer who has occasion to refer to it."—Globe.

"There are too many works on most branches of the English Law, and too many writers eager to make books on almost every legal subject, however small. It is, therefore, a remarkable fact that a subject so important as the Law of Sureties has been comparatively neglected, there being only one recent work of repute devoted entirely to the subject. For this reason we welcome Mr. Rowlatt's treatise, which has solid merits that ought to insure success. The book is a very good one, and the author may be congratulated on the successful accomplishment of a difficult task." -Law Journal.

Salkowski's Institutes and History Roman Private Law.

With Cateua of Texts. By Dr. Carl Salkowski, Professor of Laws, Königsberg. Translated and Edited by E. E. WHITFIELD, M.A. (Oxon.). In 8vo, price 32s.,

Salmond's Jurisprudence; or, Theory of the Law.

By JOHN W. SALMOND, M.A., LL.B., Barrister-at Law; author of "Essays in Jurisprudence and Legal History." Second Edition. In demy 8vo, price 12s. 6d., net, cloth. 1907.

Salmond's Essays in Jurisprudence Legal History.

By JOHN W. SALMOND, M.A., LL.B. (Loud.), a Barrister of the Supreme Court of New Zealand. In crown 8vo, price 6s., cloth. 1891.

Salmond's Law of Torts.

A Treatise on the English Law of Liability for Civil Injuries. By JOHN W. SALMOND, M.A., LL.B., Barrister-at-Law. In 8vo, price 18s. net, cloth. 1907.

Savigny's Treati*s*e **Obligations** on Roman Law.

By Archibald Brown, M.A., Edin. and Oxon., and B.C.L. Oxon., of the Middle Temple, Barrister-at-Law. In 8vo, 1872, price 7s. 6d., cloth. 1872.

Scott's Abstract Drawing.

Containing Instructions on the Drawing of Abstracts of Title, and an Illustrative Appendix. By C. E. Scott, Solicitor. In crown 8vo, price 4s. 6d., cloth. 1892.

"This little book is intended for the assistance of those who have the framing of abstracts of title entrusted to their care. It contains a number of useful rules, and an illustrative appendix."— Law Times.

"A handy book for all articled clerks."—Law Students Journal.
"Solicitors who have articled clerks would save themselves much trouble if they furnished their clerks with a copy of this little book before putting them on to draft an abstract of a heap of title deeds."—Law Notes.

"The book ought to be perused by all law students and articled clerks."—Red Tape.

Seager's Law of Parliamentary Registra= tion.

With an Appendix of Statutes and Full Index. By J. R. SEAGER, Registration Agent. In crown 8vo, price 4s., cloth. 1881.

Short & Mellor's Practice on the Crown Side of the Queen's Bench Division of Her Majesty's High Court of Justice.

(Founded on Corner's Crown Office Practice), including Appeals from Inferior Courts; with Appendices of Rules and Forms. Second Edition. By F. H. SHORT, Chief Clerk of the Crown Office, and FRANCIS HAMILTON MELLOR, M.A., K.C. In 8vo, price 30s., cloth. 1908.

Short's Crown Office Rules and Forms, 1886.

The Supreme Court of Judicature Acts and Rules of the Supreme Court, 1883, relating to the Practice on the Crown side of the Queen's Bench Division; including Appeals from Inferior Courts, Tables of Court Fees, Scales of Costs; together with Notes, Cases, and a Full Index. By F. H. SHORT, Chief Clerk of the Crown Office. In 8vo, price 12s., cloth. 1886.

Short's Taxation of Costs in the Crown Office.

Comprising a Collection of Bills of Costs in the Various Matters Taxable in that Office, including Costs upon the Prosecution of Fraudulent Bankrupts and on Appeals from Inferior Courts; together with a Table of Court Fees, and a Scale of Costs usually allowed to Solicitors, on the Taxation of Costs on the Crown Side of the Queen's Bench Division of the High Court of Justice. By Fredk. H. Short, Chief Clerk in the Crown Office. In 8vo, price 10s., cloth. 1879.

Shower's Cases in Parliament

Resolved and Adjudged upon Petitions and Writs of Error. Fourth Edition. Containing additional cases not hitherto reported. Revised and Edited by RICHARD LOVELAND LOVELAND, of the Inner Temple, Barrister-at-Law; Editor of "Kelyng's Crown Cases," and "Hall's Essay on the Rights of the Crown in the Seashore." In 8vo, price 41. 4s., best calf binding. 1876.

Simpson's Law and Practice relating to Infants.

By Archibald H. Simpson, M.A., of Lincoln's Inn, Barrister-at-Law, and Fellow of Christ's College, Cambridge. Third Edition. By E. J. Elgood, B.C.L., M.A., of Lincoln's Inn, Barrister-at-Law. In 8vo, 21s. 1909.

Slater's Law of Arbitration and Awards.

With Appendix containing the Statutes relating to Arbitration, and a collection of Forms and Index. Fourth Edition. By JOSHUA SLATER, of Gray's Inn, Barrister-at-Law. Crown 8vo, price 6s. 6d., cloth. 1905.

Slater's Principles of Mercantile Law.

By JOSHUA SLATER, of Gray's Inn, Barrister-at-Law. Third Edition. Crown 8vo, price 6s. 6d., cloth. 1507.

Smith's Law and Practice in the Ecclesiastical Courts.

For the use of Students. By EUSTACE SMITH, of the Inner Temple; author of "A Summary of Company Law" and "A Summary of the Law and Practice in Admiralty." Fifth Edition, in 8vo, 8s. 1902.

"His object has been, as he tells us in his preface, to give the student and general reader a fair outline of the scope and extent of ecclesiastical law, of the principles on which it is founded, of the Courts by which it is enforced, and the procedure by which these Courts are regulated. We think the book well fulfils its object. Its value is much enhanced by a profuse citation of authorities for the propositions contained in it."—Bar Examination Journal.

Smith's Law and Practice in Admiralty.

For the use of Students. By EUSTACE SMITH, of the Inner Temple; author of "A Summary of Company Law." Fourth Edition, in 8vo, price 10s., cloth. 1892.

The book is well arranged, and forms a good introduction to the subject."—Solicitors' Journal.

It is, however, in our opinion, a well and carefully written little work, and should be in the hands of every student who is taking up Admiralty Law at the Final."—Law Students' Journal.

"Mr. Smith has a happy knack of compressing a large amount of useful matter in a small compass. The present work will doubtless be received with satisfaction equal to that with which his previous 'Summary' has been met."—Oxford and Cambridge Undergraduates' Journal.

Smith's Quarter Sessions Practice.

A Vade Mecum of General Practice in Appellate and Civil Cases at Quarter Sessions. By FREDERICK JAMES SMITH, of the Middle Temple, Barrister-at-Law, and Recorder of Margate. In Royal 12mo, price 20s., cloth. 1882.

Smith's Short Practical Company Forms.

By T. EUSTACE SMITH, of the Inner Temple and Lincoln's Inn, Barrister-at-Law, Author of "A Summary of the Law of Companies," etc., assisted by ROLAND E. VAUGHAN WILLIAMS, of the Inner Temple, Barrister-at-Law. In 8vo, price 8s., cloth. 1896.

"This collection of Company Forms should certainly prove of service to secretaries, directors, and others interested in the practical working of companies. . . . The forms themselves are short and to the point."—Law Times.

Smith's Summary of Joint Stock Com= panies' Law.

By T. Eustace Smith, Barrister-at-Law. Tenth Edition, in 8vo, price 7s. 6a.

cloth. 1908.

"The author of this handbook tells us that, when an articled student reading for the final examination, he felt the want of such a work as that before us, wherein could be found the main principles of a law relating to joint-stock companies . . . Law students may well read it; for Mr. Smith has very wisely been at the pains of giving his authority for all his statements of the law or of practice, as applied to joint-stock company business usually transacted in solicitors' chambers. In fact, Mr. Smith has by his little book offered a fresh inducement to students to make themselves at all events, to some extent-acquainted with company law as a separate branch of study."-Law

Times.

"These pages give, in the words of the Preface, 'as briefly and concisely as possible a general view both of the principles and practice of the law affecting companies.' The work is excellently printed, and authorities are cited; but in no case is the very language of the statutes copied. The plan is good, and shows both grasp and neatness, and, both amongst students and laymen, Mr. Smith's book ought to meet a ready sale."—Law Yournal.

"The book is one from which we have derived a large amount of valuable information, and we can be conscientiously recommend it to our readers."—Oxford and Cambridge Undergra-

heartily and conscientiously recommend it to our readers."—Oxford and Cambridge Undergraduates Fournal.

Snell's Principles of Equity.

Intended for the use of Students and the Profession. By EDMUND H. T. SNELL, of the Middle Temple, Barrister-at-Law. Fifteenth Edition. By ARCHIBALD-BROWN, M.A. Edin. and Oxon., and B.C.L. Oxon., of the Middle Temple, Barrister-at-Law; Author of "A New Law Dictionary," "An Analysis of Savigny on Obligations," and the "Law of Fixtures." In 8vo, price 21s., cioth. 1908.

South African Republic,

Cases decided in the High Court of the, during the Year 1893, as reported by J. B. M. HERTZOG, B.A., LL.D., (late) First Puisne Judge of the Orange Free State, formerly an Advocate of the High Court of the South African Republic. Translated by J. WOODFORD S. LEONARD, B.A., LL.B., formerly an Advocate of the High Court of the South African Republic, Advocate of the Supreme Court of the Transvaal Colony. And revised by the Hon. J. G. Kotze, K.C., late Chief Justice of the South African Republic, subsequently Attorney-General of Rhodesia, and now Judge President of the Eastern Districts' Court in the Cape Colony. In royal 8vo, bound in half-calf, price 50s. net; postage 1s. extra.

South African Republic,

The Official Reports of the High Court of, translated into English, with Index and Table of Cases. By WALTER S. WEBBER, and revised by the Hon. J. G. Kotzé, K.C., Late Chief Justice of the South African Republic, subsequently Attorney-General of Rhodesia, and now Judge President of the Eastern Districts' Court in the Cape Colony. Vol. I.—1894. Vol. II.—1895. Vol. III.—1896. Vol. IV.—1897. Translated by the Hon. Mr. Justice Kotzé. In royal 8vo, bound in half-calf, price 50s. net each; postage 1s. extra.

Story's Commentaries on Equity Juris= prudence.

Second English Edition. from the Twelfth American Edition. By W. E. GRIGSBY, LL.D. (Lond.), D.C.L. (Oxon.), and of the Inner Temple Barrister-at-Law.

In royal 8vo, 1100 pages, price 45s., cloth. 1892.

"It is high testimony to the reputation of Story, and to the editorship of Dr. Grigsby, that another edition should have been called for. . . . The work has been rendered more perfect by additional Indices."-Law Times.

Tarring's Chapters on the Law relating to the Colonies.

To which are appended Topical Indexes of Cases decided in the Privy Council on Appeal from the Colonies, Channel Islands and the Isle of Man, and of Cases relating to the Colonies decided in the English Courts otherwise than on Appeal from the Colonies. By CHARLES JAMES TARRING, M.A., sometime Judge of H.B.M.'s Consular Court, Constantinople, and H.M.'s Consul; late Chief Justice of Grenada, W. Indies; Author of "British Consular Jurisdiction in the East," "A Turkish Grammar," &c. Third Edition, much enlarged, in 8vo, price 21s., cloth. 1906.

Contents:—Table of Cases Cited—Table of Statutes Cited. Introductory: Definition of a Colony.—Chapter I. The laws to which the Colonies are subject: Section I. In newly-discovered countries; Section 2. In conquered or ceded countries; Section 3. Generally.—Chapter II. The Executive; Section I. The Governor (A. Nature of his office, power, and duties—B. Liability to answer for his acts: I. Civilly—I. (a.) In the courts of his Government, b. In the English courts. 2. For what causes of action. II. Criminally)—Section 2. The Executive Council. Chapter III. The Legislative Power: Section 1. Classification of Colonies; Section 2. Colonies with responsible government; Section 3. Privileges and powers of colonial Legislative Assemblies. Chapter IV. The Judiciary and the Bar. Chapter V. Appeals from the Colonies. Chapter VI. Imperial Statutes relating to the Colonies. Section 2. Subjects of Imperial Statutes relating to the Colonies in general; Section 3. Imperial Statutes relating to particular Colonies. Topical Index of Cases decided in the Privy Council on appeal from the Colonies, the Channel Islands, and the Isle of Man. Index of some Topics of English Law dealt with in the Cases. Topical Index of Cases relating to the Colonies decided in the English Courts otherwise than on appeal from the Colonies. Index of Names of Cases. Appendix I. Appendix II. General Index.

Tarring's British Consular Jurisdiction in the East.

With Topical Indices of Cases on Appeal from, and relating to, Consular Courts and Consuls: also a Collection of Statutes concerning Consuls. By C. J. TARRING, M.A., Chief Justice of Grenada. In 8vo, price 7s. 6d., cloth. 1887.

Tarring's Analytical Tables of the Law of Real Property.

Drawn up chiefly from Stephen's Blackstone, with Notes. By C. J. TARRING, of the Inner Temple, Barrister-at-Law. In royal 8vo, price 5s., cloth. 1882.

"Great care and considerable skill have been shown in the compilation of these tables, which will be found of nuch service to students of the Law of Real Property."—Law Times.

Taswell-Langmead's English Constitutional History.

From the Teutonic Invasion to the Present Time. Designed as a Text-book for Students and others. By T. P. TASWELL-LANGMEAD, B.C.L., of Lincoln's Inn, Barrister-at-Law, formerly Vinerian Scholar in the University and late Professor of Constitutional Law and History, University College, London. Sixth Edition, Revised throughout, with Notes. By Phillip A. Ashworth, Barrister-at-Law; Translator of Gneist's "History of the English Constitution." In 8vo, price 15s., cloth. 1905.

Thomas's Leading Statutes Summarised.

For the Use of Students. By ERNEST C. THOMAS, Bacon Scholar of the Hon. Society of Gray's Inn, late Scholar of Trinity College, Oxford; author of "Leading Cases in Constitutional Law Briefly Stated." In one volume, 8vo, price 9s., cloth. 1878.

Thomas's Leading Cases in Constitutional Law.

Briefly Stated, with Introduction and Notes. By ERNEST C. THOMAS, Bacon Scholar of the Hon. Society of Gray's Inn, late Scholar of Trinity College, Oxford. Fourth Edition by C. L. Attenborough, of the Inner Temple, Barrister-at-Law. In 8vo, enlarged, price 6s., cloth. 1908.

Thwaites's Articled Clerk's Guide to the Intermediate Examination.

As it now exists on Stephen's Commentaries. Containing a complete Scheme of Work, Notes and Test Questions on each Chapter: List of Statutes. Also a complete Selected Digest of the whole of the Questions and Answers set at the Examinations on those parts of "Stephen" now examined on, up to January, 1902. Intended for the use of all Articled Clerks who have not yet passed the Intermediate Examination. CHARLES THWAITES, Solicitor. In 8vo, price 10s. net, cloth. 1902.

Trial of Adelaide Bartlett for Murder.

Complete and Revised Report. Edited by EDWARD BEAL, B.A., of the Middle Temple, Barrister-at-Law. With a Preface by Sir EDWARD CLARKE, K.C. In 8vo, price 10s., cloth. 1886.

Leeuwen's Commentaries on the Roman=Dutch Law.

Revised and Edited with Notes in Two Volumes by C. W. DECKER, Advocate. Translated from the original Dutch by J. G. KOTZÉ, LL.B., of the Inner Temple, Barrister-at-Law, and Chief Justice of the Transvaal. With Facsimile Portrait in the Edition by DECKER of 1780. In 2 Vols., royal 8vo, price 90s., cloth. 1887.

Waite's Questions on Equity.

For Students preparing for Examination. Founded on the Ninth Edition of Snell's "Principles of Equity." By W. T. WAITE, Barrister-at-Law, Holt Scholar of the Honourable Society of Gray's Inn. In 8vo, price 2s., sewed. 1889.

Walker's Compendium of the Law relat= ing to Executors and Administrators.

With an Appendix of Statutes, Annotated by means of References to the Text. By W. GREGORY WALKER, B.A., Barrister-at-Law, and EDGAR J. ELGOOD, B.C.L., M.A., Barrister-at-Law. Fourth Edition by E. J. ELGOOD, B.C.L., M.A. In one volume, 8vo, price 21s., cloth. 1905.

"We highly approve of Mr. Walker's arrangement. . . . The Notes are full, and as far as we have been able to ascertain, carefully and accurately compiled. We can commend it as bearing on its face evidence of skilful and careful labour, and we anticipate that it will be found a very acceptable substitute for the ponderous tomes of the much esteemed and valued Williams."—
Law Times.

"Mr. Walker is fortunate in his choice of a subject, and the power of treating it succinctly; for the ponderous tomes of Williams, however satisfactory as an authority, are necessarily incoovenient for reference as well as expensive. On the whole we are inclined to think the book a good and useful one."—Law Yournal.

Walker's Partition Acts, 1868 & 1876.

A Manual of the Law of Partition and of Sale, in Lieu of Partition. With the Decided Cases, and an Appendix containing Judgments and Orders. By W. GREGORY WALKER, B.A., of Lincoln's Inn, Barrister-at-Law. Second Edition, in 8vo, price 8s., cloth. 1882.

Walker & Elgood's Administration of Deceased Persons by the Chancery Division of the High Court of Justice.

With an Addenda giving the alterations effected by the New Rules of 1883, and an Appendix of Orders and Forms, Annotated by References to the Text. By W. GREGORY WALKER and EDGAR J. ELGOOD, of Lincoln's Inn, Barristers-at-Law. In 8vo, price 15s., cloth. 1883.

Wertheimer's Law relating to Clubs.

Third Edition, by A. W. By the late John Wertheimer, Barrister-at-Law.

CHASTER, Barrister-at-Law. In crown 8vo, price 7s. 6d., cloth. 1903.

"A convenient handbook, drawn up with great judgment and perspicuity."—Morning Post.

"Both useful and interesting to those interested in club management."—Law Times.

"This is a very neat little book on an interesting subject. The law is accurately and well expressed."—Law Journal.

(Lord) Decisions Westbury's in the European Arbitration.

Reported by FRANCIS S. REILLY, of Lincoln's Inn, Barrister-at-Law. Part I.. price 7s. 6d., sewed.

Whiteford's Law relating to Charities,

Especially with reference to the validity and construction of Charitable Bequests and Conveyances. By FERDINAND M. WHITEFORD, of Lincoln's Inn, Barrister-at-Law. In 8vo, price 6s., cloth. 1878.

Whiteley's Licensing Act, 1904.

By GEORGE CECIL WHITELEY, M.A. Cantab., of the Middle Temple, Barrister-at-Law, Editor of the Third Edition of "Whiteley's Licensing Laws," and Author of "The Licensing Act, 1902." I'rice 5s. net.

Williams' Petition in Chancery Lunacy.

Including the Settled Estates Act, Lands Clauses Act, Trustee Act, Winding-up Petitions, Petitions Relating to Solicitors, Infants, etc., etc. With an Appendix of Forms and Precedents. By SYDNEY E. WILLIAMS, Barrister-at-Law. In one volume, 8vo, price 18s., cloth. 1880.

Willis's Negotiable Securities.

Contained in a Course of Six Lectures. Delivered by WILLIAM WILLIS, Esq., K.C., at the request of the Council of Legal Education. Second Edition, in 8vo, price 7s. 6d., cloth. 1901.

"No one can fail to benefit by a careful perusal of this volume."-Irish Law Times.

"We heartily commend them, not only to the student, but to everybody—lawyer and commercial man alike,"—The Accountant.

man alike. — The Accountant.

"Mr. Willis is an authority second to none on the subject, and in these lectures he summarizes for the benefit not only of his confreres, but of the lay public the knowledge he has gained through close study and lengthy experience."

Willis's Law of Contract of Sale.

Contained in a Course of Six Lectures. Delivered by WILLIAM WILLIS, one of His Majesty's Counsel. At the request of the Council of Legal Education. In 8vo, price 7s. 6d., cloth. 1902.

Analysis of Taswell=Lang= Wilshere's mead's Constitutional History.

By A. M. WILSHERE, LL.B., Barrister-at-Law, of Gray's Inn. In crown 8vo. price 3s. net. 1905.

STEVENS AND HAYNES' LAW PUBLICATIONS.

Second Edition, in 8vo, price 21s. cloth,

THE LAW RELATING TO THE ADMINISTRATION OF CHARITIES under the Charitable Trusts Act, 1853-1894, and Local Government Act, 1894. By THOMAS BOUROHIER-CHILCOTT, of the Middle Temple, Barrister-at-Law.

Fifth Edition. In One Volume, 30s. cloth,

HANSON'S DEATH DUTIES: Being the Fifth Edition of THE PROBATE, LEGACY, AND SUCCESSION DUTIES ACTS; Comprising 36 Geo. 3, c. 52; 45 Geo. 3, c. 28; 55 Geo. 3, c. 184; 16 & 17 Vict. c. 51; the Customs and Inland Revenue Acts, 43 Vict. c. 14; 44 Vict. c. 12; and the New Estate Duty Finance Acts, 57 & 58 Vict. c. 30, and 59 & 60 Vict. c. 28; with an Introduction and copious Notes, incorporating the Cases to 1896, &c. By Sir Lewis T. Dibdin, M.A., D.C.L., and F. H. L. Errington, M.A., Barrister-at-Law.

Fourth Edition, in 8vo, price 10s. 6d. cloth,

OUTLINES OF THE LAW OF TORTS. By RICHARD RING-WOOD, M.A., of the Middle Temple, Barrister-at-Law.

Third Edition, crown 8vo, price 6s. 6d. cloth,

THE PRINCIPLES OF MERCANTILE LAW. By JOSHUA SLATER, Barrister-at-Law.

Third Edition, in 8vo, price 16s. cloth,

A TREATISE ON THE STATUTE LAW OF THE LIMITATIONS OF ACTIONS. With an Appendix of Statutes, References to Cases, and French Code. By H. T. BANNING, M.A., Barrister-at-Law. Third Edition, by Archibald Brown, of the Middle Temple, Barrister-at-Law.

Fourth Edition, in 8vo, price 20s. cloth,

A COMPENDIUM OF THE LAW OF PROPERTY IN LAND. For the use of Students and the Profession. Fourth Edition, with Addenda, giving the Land Transfer Act, 1897, with references to the Text. By WILLIAM DOUGLAS EDWARDA, LL.B., of Lincoln's Inn, Barrister-at-Law.

Fourth Edition, crown 8vo, price 6s. 6d. cloth,

THE LAW OF ARBITRATION AND AWARDS. With Appendix containing the STATUTES RELATING TO ARBITRATION, and a collection of Forms and Index. By JOSHUA SLATER, of Gray's Inn, Barrister-at-Law.

Third Edition, in royal 8vo, price 25s.,

A CONCISE TREATISE ON PRIVATE INTERNA-TIONAL JURISPRUDENCE. Based on the decisions in the English Courts. By JOHN ALDERSON FOOTE, one of His Majesty's Counsel.

Second Edition, in 8vo, price 30s. cloth,

THE PRACTICE ON THE CROWN SIDE OF THE QUEEN'S BENCH DIVISION of Her Majesty's High Court of Justice (founded on Corner's Crown Office Practice), including Appeals from Inferior Courts. With Appendices of Rules and Forms. By F. Hugh Short, Chief Clerk of the Crown Office, and Francis H. Mellor, K.C., Barrister-at-Law.

Tenth Edition, 8vo, price 10s. 6d. cloth,

RINGWOOD'S PRINCIPLES OF THE LAW OF BANK-RUPTCY; Embodying the Bankruptcy Act, 1883 and 1890; part of the Debtors Act, 1869; the Bankruptcy Appeals (County Courts) Act, 1884. With an Appendix containing Schedules to the Bankruptcy Act, 1883; the Bankruptcy Rules, 1886 and 1890, &c. Tenth Edition. By R. Ringwood, of the Middle Temple, Barrieter-at-Law.

Fourth Edition, in demy 8vo, price 25s. cloth,

A TREATISE UPON THE LAW OF EXTRADITION and the Practice therender in Great Britain, Canada, the United States; and France, with the Conventions upon the Subject existing between England and Foreign Nations, and the Cases decided thereon By Sir Edward Clarke, Knt., K.C., Her Majesty's Solicitor-General, 1886-1892. Formerly Taucred Student of Lincoln's Inn. Fourth Edition, prepared by the Author and E. Percival Clarke, B.A., of Lincoln's Inn, Barrister-at-law.

Eighth Edition, in royal 8vo, price 36s. cloth,

BUCKLEY ON THE COMPANIES ACTS.—Being a Treatise on THE LAW AND PRACTICE UNDER THE COMPANIES ACTS, and the LIFE ASSURANCE COMPANIES ACTS. Containing the Statutes and the Rules, Orders, and Forms to Regulate Proceedings. Written originally by the Honourable Sir Henry Burton Buokley, Knt., M.A., now one of His Majesty's Judges. Eighth Edition by A. C. Clauson, M.A., of Lincoln's Inn, Barrister-at-Law.

Second Edition, in 8vo, price 9s. cloth,

THE LAW OF MAINTENANCE AND DESERTION, and the Ordera of Justices thereon. Second Edition, including the LAW ON AFFILIATION and BASTARDY. With an Appendix of Statutes and Forms, including the Summary Jurisdiction (Married Women) Act of Session, 1895. By TEMPLE CHEVALLIER MARTIN, Editor of the "Magisterial and Police Guide," &c., and George Temple Martin, M.A., of Lincoln's Inn, Barrister-at-Law.

Sixth Edition, in 8vo, price 21s. cloth,

THE LAW OF COMPENSATION FOR LANDS, HOUSES, &c., under the Lands Clauses, Railways Clauses Consolidation Acts, Public Health Act, 1875, Housing of the Working Classes Act, 1890, and other Acts, with a full Collection of Forms and Precedents. By Eyre LLOYD, of the Inner Temple, Barrister-at-Law. Sixth Edition. By W. J. BROOKS, of the Inner Temple, Barrister-at-Law. Fourth Edition, in crown 8vo, price 15s. cloth,

THE LAW OF EVIDENCE. By S. L. Phipson, M.A., of the Inner Temple, Barrister-at-Law.

THE HISTORY AND LAW OF FISHERIES. By STUART A.

MOORE, F.S.A., of the Inner Temple, Barrister-at-Law, Author of "The History and Law of the Forcshore," and Hubert Stuart Moore, of the Inner Temple, Barrister-at-Law.

In One Volume, royal 8vo, price 28s. cloth,

CRAIES' STATUTE LAW. FOUNDED ON AND BEING THE FOURTH EDITION OF HARDCASTLE ON STATUTORY LAW. With Appendices containing Words and Expressions used in Statutes which have been judicially or statutably construed, and the Popular and Short Titles of certain Statutes, and the Interpretation Act, 1899. By WILLIAM FEILDEN CRAIES, M.A., of the Inner Temple and Western Circuit, Barrister-at-Law.

ENGLISH CONSTITUTIONAL HISTORY: From the Teutonic Conquest to the Present Time. Designed as a Text-book for Students and others. By T. P. TASWELL-LANOMEAD, B.C.L., of Lincoln's Inn, Barrister-at-Law. Sixth Edition, with Notes. By PHILIP A. ASHWORTH, Barrister-at-Law, translator of Gneist's "History of the English Constitution."

Just published. Third Edition, in 8vo, price 21s. cloth,

A TREATISE ON THE LAW & PRACTICE RELATING TO INFANTS. By Archibald H. Simpson, M.A., Barrister-at-Law. Third Edition, by E. J. Elgood, B.C.L., M.A., Barrister-at-Law.

Now ready. Third Edition, in royal 8vo, price 20s. net, cloth,

A TREATISE ON THE STATUTES OF ELIZABETH against FRAUDULENT CONVEYANCES: the Bills of Sale Acts, 1878 and 1882; and the LAW of VOLUNTARY DISPOSITIONS of PROPERTY. By the late H. W. May, B. A. Third Edition, by W. D. EDWARDS, Barrister-at-Law.

Third Edition, in 8vo, in the Press,

LEADING CASES AND OPINIONS ON INTERNATIONAL LAW; Collected and Digested from English and Foreign Reports, Official Documents, Parliamentary Papers, and other Sources. With Notes and Excursus. By Pitt Correct, M.A., D.C.L., Barrister-at-Law, Professor of Law, Sydney University.

Fifth Edition, in 8vo, price 21s. cloth,

THE LAWS OF INSURANCE: FIRE, LIFE, ACCIDENT, AND GUARANTEE. Embodying Cases in the English, Scotch, Irish, American and Canadian Courts. By J. B. PORTER and W. F. CRAIES, Barristera-at-Law.

Just published. Eleventh Edition, in 8vo, 20s. cloth,

PRINCIPLES OF THE COMMON LAW. Intended for the Use of Students and the Profession. By John Indermane, Solicitor, and Charles Thwaltes, Solicitor.

